
Why oh why

Posted by HeismanTy - 2007/11/24 05:54

I was happy to watch the game on KBYU last night, but I was absolutely annoyed with what I was hearing at the end of the game. Even our own broadcasters were giving excuses to why BYU was going to win instead of just relishing the win. Who cares that Louisville didn't have two of its stars for this game, in the end and the books it is going to say BYU won, not that BYU won because Louisville had two injured players. What ifs are so pointless in sports. Who knows, would BYU win with their two players, we do not know, so just leave it out.

=====

Re:Why oh why

Posted by BigL - 2007/11/24 05:56

I agree completely. It wasn't Toolson though. It was the lead announcer. (Can't remember his name.) It was like he couldn't believe we could beat mighty Louisville.

=====

Re:Why oh why

Posted by gordito - 2007/11/24 06:35

You're right that it was a great win but you can't deny that we beat a depleted team. I didn't notice him harping on that fact. If he said it again and again then that's poor broadcasting but it's his job to mention it at least.

=====

Re:Why oh why

Posted by craigoscarrson - 2007/11/24 06:36

BYU did not play a perfect game last night either, we gave up about 20 points in easy missed shots.

Louisville shot 70% in the 1st half and may not do that again all season.

For all practical purposes we could have just as easily won by 15-20 if we had executed and UL had played like they did in the 2nd half, in the 1st.

Credit Louisville for being very good and yes, short Palacios and Padgett, but we outplayed them more than the score would show.

=====

Re:Why oh why

Posted by Metal Bubba - 2007/11/24 06:45

If I had a nickle for every time the guy said "BYU is hanging in there"... well sir, I'd have a lot of nickes! "Hanging in there" implies that an inferior opponent is keeping the game close, but is still losing. Besides the fact that we led most of the game, we were also clearly NOT the inferior opponent.

Craig, I'm not sure we gave up 20 points with missed layups, though it felt like that. We probably left 10-12. On the other hand, UL probably gave up 6-8 points on missed layups also.

=====

Re:Why oh why

Posted by gordito - 2007/11/24 06:49

That's true, I did notice that they said things like 'hanging in there' or 'keeping it close' when we were leading. I thought that to be a bit strange, especially for a BYU broadcast.

=====

Re:Why oh why

Posted by Cosmo9798 - 2007/11/24 07:39

We may have led most of the game, but I was thinking we were "hanging in there" because I expected Louisville at any moment to unleash a barrage of threes and leave us in the dust.

This may be the most fun BYU team to watch of all time. They pass like pros and they have more speed than any BYU team I remember.

=====

Re:Why oh why

Posted by KYCoug - 2007/11/24 07:45

I was offended by the lack of objectivity of the announcers all night. One great post script to it all though. In the paper this morning it describes an encounter between Roy Williams of North Carolina and Rick Patino. Roy as his team was coming on the floor saw Rick and went over to console him on the loss. Rick shook his hand and said "you better be careful because they are a very good team". There isn't much talk here about "what if's" with the injured players because they have plenty of talent at Louisville and they know you can't build a season on what if's. Louisville will win a lot of games and will continue to be a huge win for us, but for now, BRING ON #1 NORTH CAROLINA!!!!!!!

Go Cougs

L Hatton
Louisville KY

=====

Re:Why oh why

Posted by frdbtr - 2007/11/24 08:19

I watched last nights game and here are some of my thoughts. Trent Plaisted has really improved. He was not intimidated by Louisville's speed or size and played a good game. Tavernari has a SWEET shot and BYU needs to get him the ball A LOT. This team is going to win a lot of games and is going to spend a lot of time in the top 25 this year. Guys, don't be suprised if BYU "upsets" North carolina tonight. This team is good and beat a good team last night. I am not predicting victory, just saying that if BYU wins, it won't be a suprise to me. These southern teams look at the group of "white guys from Utah" and they automatically think that they will have a cake walk. I could see it in the eyes of the Louisville players as they walked on the court last night. Dave Rose has this team playing fast and crisp and they are going to be really good this year.

=====

Re:Why oh why

Posted by frdbtr - 2007/11/24 08:21

KYCoug wrote:

I was offended by the lack of objectivity of the announcers all night. One great post script to it all though. In the paper this morning it describes an encounter between Roy Williams of North Carolina and Rick Patino. Roy as his team was coming on the floor saw Rick and went over to console him on the loss. Rick shook his hand and said "you better be careful because they are a very good team". There isn't much talk here about "what if's" with the injured players because they have plenty of talent at Louisville and they know you can't build a season on what if's. Louisville will win a lot of games and will continue to be a huge win for us, but for now, BRING ON #1 NORTH CAROLINA!!!!!!!

Go Cougs

L Hatton
Louisville KY

I was also suprised by the "hanging in there" comment when BYU was up by a couple of points in the second half. BYU was in control of that game from start to finish. The only run that Louisville made on them, BYU answered with a run of its own to put the game away. The difference between BYU winning this game last night and when they lost the UCLA game in football this year, is the team felt they were better then Louisville and were going to prove it on the court/(field). I didn't see that confidence in the football players this year when they went on the field against UCLA. Unfortunately for the football team, they were better then UCLA all along but didn't realize that until it was too late.

=====

Re:Why oh why

Posted by sjcougfan - 2007/11/24 08:42

JT just exudes confidence, that guy is going to be something special for years to come, he also has much better ball handling skills than I realised before last night.

=====

Re:Why oh why

Posted by HeismanTy - 2007/11/24 16:23

Though that three at the end of the game with 10 seconds still on the shot clock (I believe the game clock was around 1 minute) was horrid. I screamed at him and almost forgot how well he played. Fortunately it was forgotten because he was awesome.

=====

Re:Why oh why

Posted by Short_Changed - 2007/11/25 00:04

sjcougfan wrote:

JT just exudes confidence, that guy is going to be something special for years to come, he also has much better ball handling skills than I realised before last night.

He played some point guard in HS.

=====