

---

## Utah-Navy

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2007/12/20 15:51

---

I'm surprised no one has started a thread yet for this game. I like the Utes in somewhat of a shootout... 42-35.

=====

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by CougarPeasant - 2007/12/20 15:53

---

I think it's because it's Utah and the game isn't for a couple of more hours.

I think Utah dominates on defense and gets their offense rolling.

Utah 31-14

=====

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by harlinestillopen - 2007/12/20 16:00

---

I don't see utah's defense stopping the option, the utes will get torched on D, but will make for it on offense!

=====

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by PlayoffDude - 2007/12/20 16:02

---

Utah 31-23.

=====

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by UliUli79 - 2007/12/20 16:02

---

While I hope that Wolf is right about it being high scoring (more entertaining) my gut says that only one team breaks 20 and it won't be by much. Utah wins 24-17.

=====

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by Metal Bubba - 2007/12/20 16:03

---

Yootah 41, Navy 17

=====

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by MajorCougar - 2007/12/20 16:12

---

Navy's scoring defense is REALLY bad... they gave up 59 to Delaware (eeshh), and 62 to North Texas.

It's going to be ugly. 45-17.

=====

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by Hengst - 2007/12/20 16:31

---

---

I think Utah would be the easy pick, except for one thing . . . Utah seem to struggle with the triple option. I can't remember the last time (other than 2004) utah blew out airforce. That is always a very close game. This year, airforce won, but not by much. Last year, utah won by a field goal. It's like that every year. I don't think kyle really does a good job at preparing utah for the tripple option attack. So I like utah to win this game, but as Lee Corsou loves to say . . . "much closer than the experts think"

=====

### Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by Hipsdamann - 2007/12/20 16:47

---

I am going to go against the grain but I can't say the Yewts will win. i say 28-27. Navy wins.

=====

### Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by ABYUFAN - 2007/12/20 16:53

---

Utah v. Navy.....yawn ...will not watch a second of it...

=====

### Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by lovelldog - 2007/12/20 17:04

---

Utah has a hard time beating easy opponents. I think they lose this one.

=====

### Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2007/12/20 17:20

---

ABYUFAN wrote:

Utah v. Navy.....yawn ...will not watch a second of it...

;):laugh:

=====

### Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by craigocarson - 2007/12/20 17:39

---

There's a reason no one made a thread, we don't care and neither does anyone else

"If a small shrub falls in a forest and no one is around to hear it, does it make noise?"

Utahs 2007 season will be remembered for 4th and 18 and a 27-0 blowout loss to UNLV. I prefer to win with a 4 and 18, it hurts so much more....

=====

### Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by blue42 - 2007/12/20 17:43

---

craigocarson wrote:

---

"If a small shrub falls in a forest and no one is around to hear it, does it make noise?"

Only if it is cut down "wwwiiiiitthh a herring!"

=====

**Re:Utah-Navy**

Posted by kitic77 - 2007/12/20 17:51

---

On the one hand I'm a Cougar fan so I can't cheer for the Utes. On the other I'm in the Army so I can't cheer for the Navy. I'm torn. I don't think I'll "root" or "cheer" for either team, but I will pull for the conference.

MWC 35 Navy 21

=====

**Re:Utah-Navy**

Posted by idahocougarfan - 2007/12/20 18:46

---

I was listening to KSL while driving to SLC tonight and Rod Zundel said that there was a banner that was behind an airplane that said, "Harline is still open, and Collie is too" or something to that effect. It made me laugh.

GO COUGARS!!!

=====

**Re:Utah-Navy**

Posted by Charger - 2007/12/20 18:51

---

My dad is a Utah grad and a die-hard Ute fan. He just called me from Qualcomm and said that there's an airplane flying around the stadium with a long banner that says:

"Harline is still open.....and Collie is too!"

I love the fact that someone spent money to rub salt in an open Ute wound. One more reason to love this rivalry!

=====

**Re:Utah-Navy**

Posted by Seldawg - 2007/12/20 19:05

---

Anyone know where to listen to the game online?

=====

**Re:Utah-Navy**

Posted by Seldawg - 2007/12/20 19:07

---

oops double post

=====

**Re:Utah-Navy**

Posted by Florwood - 2007/12/20 19:16

---

Seldawg wrote:  
Anyone know where to listen to the game online?

<http://www.kall700sports.com/>

---

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by lawman - 2007/12/20 19:59

Charger wrote:

My dad is a Utah grad and a die-hard Ute fan. He just called me from Qualcomm and said that there's an airplane flying around the stadium with a long banner that says:

"Harline is still open.....and Collie is too!"

I love the fact that someone spent money to rub salt in an open Ute wound. One more reason to love this rivalry!

That is classic! It can't be true, can it?

---

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by CougarPeasant - 2007/12/20 20:10

That is too rich. I can't wait to see the pics show up here soon.

lawman wrote:

Charger wrote:

My dad is a Utah grad and a die-hard Ute fan. He just called me from Qualcomm and said that there's an airplane flying around the stadium with a long banner that says:

"Harline is still open.....and Collie is too!"

I love the fact that someone spent money to rub salt in an open Ute wound. One more reason to love this rivalry!

That is classic! It can't be true, can it?

---

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by bball - 2007/12/20 20:23

I thought I would cheer for Utah (and the MWC) tonight, but as I watch the game I'm reminded why I dislike Utah so much, and the fact my dad served in the Navy in WWII--I'm cheering for Navy...and hoping Utah gets killed, or at least gets their hearts broken with a Navy TD as time runs out.

---

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by bball - 2007/12/20 20:28

I'm delighted Utah's trick plays have gotten them nothing, and Robert Johnson's arrogance and attitude should have gotten him two flags by now. Time for Unga to steamroll him on his way to the endzone.

---

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by cougbluetrue - 2007/12/20 21:13

Does anyone know who is calling the Vegas Bowl? I kind of hope it isn't Musberger or the crew calling this game. thanks

---

=====

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by jjmterrain - 2007/12/20 21:15

---

navy is up 17-7 and you can watch the game on channelsurfing.net but only if you have internet explorer go nave and harlines still open

---

=====

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by bball - 2007/12/20 21:17

---

Thankfully it's not Musberger, it's the "A" crew, Mark May, Lou Holtz, et al. It's probably why I'm enjoying the game--plus Utah is getting beat by Navy. I got tired of Utah fans' yapping about all their bowl victories.

---

=====

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by bball - 2007/12/20 21:26

---

I think the problem with Utah is they fancy themselves as a rushing team, but they don't do it very well, Mack is ok, but not great. And their passing game is also just so-so. Soooo, Ludwig turns to his wondrous trick plays which only work occasionally. So Utah ends up looking stupid against the likes of UNLV, then when all the trick plays work, they look like world beaters against UCLA.

---

=====

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by McLovin - 2007/12/20 22:27

---

haha stuffed on 4th and goal go navy

---

=====

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by CougarPeasant - 2007/12/20 22:31

---

And Utah returns the favor inside Navy's 10. Utah ball.

I am sick of Holtz singing Utah's praises. He's calling them the best non-bcs talented team in the country. \*GAG\*

Utah should win this game.

---

=====

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by ltpwfdcm - 2007/12/20 22:35

---

Navy got screwed on the Utrah 3rd down play. That should have been a touchback and Navy ball on the 20.

---

=====

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by CougarPeasant - 2007/12/20 22:38

---

---

It's not over till it's over. Navy just scored a long TD with 57 secs left. If they get the onsides kick, they could tie it up and go into OT.

=====

**Re:Utah-Navy**

Posted by etyotx - 2007/12/20 22:40

---

And Navy recovers!!!

=====

**Re:Utah-Navy**

Posted by CougarPeasant - 2007/12/20 22:41

---

And Navy recovered the onside kick that was in a utes hands and the smaller Navy player stole it away from him.

It's almost as exciting as the 4th and 18.

=====

**Re:Utah-Navy**

Posted by cougarfan144 - 2007/12/20 22:41

---

Apparently Utah likes giving up touchdowns in the last minute of the game. I hope it isn't contagious!

=====

**Re:Utah-Navy**

Posted by CougarPeasant - 2007/12/20 22:41

---

Utah just intercepted the ball. Utah will escape with a win.

=====

**Re:Utah-Navy**

Posted by etyotx - 2007/12/20 22:42

---

dang it. I guess Utah's secondary FINALLY learned their lesson.

=====

**Re:Utah-Navy**

Posted by cougarfan144 - 2007/12/20 22:44

---

On a positive note, MWC 1-0.

=====

**Re:Utah-Navy**

Posted by etyotx - 2007/12/20 22:44

---

cougarfan144 wrote:  
On a positive note, MWC 1-0.

---

Absolutely. It was a win-win night going into the game (but why am I sort of bummed?)

Is it Saturday yet?

---

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by Fully\_Invested\_Fan - 2007/12/20 22:51

---

ltpwfdcm wrote:

Navy got screwed on the Utrah 3rd down play. That should have been a touchback and Navy ball on the 20.

I don't get that call, they guy was in the air and the ball hit the pile on, and the pile on is part of the goal line.

---

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by cougarfan144 - 2007/12/20 22:52

---

etyotx wrote:

cougarfan144 wrote:

On a positive note, MWC 1-0.

Absolutely. It was a win-win night going into the game (but why am I sort of bummed?)

Is it Saturday yet?

The same reason we all are. We just hate 'em.

---

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by Mars - 2007/12/21 02:47

---

I hate Utah, but they play in the MWC, including their game against BYU this year. I like Navy, but a win here would diminish our win over the Utes and our conference strength. I found myself cheering for whoever was behind.

"GO NAVY, SLAP THOSE UTARDS!"

"COME ON UTAH, YOU ARE EMBARASSING THE MOUNTAIN WEST!"

"HERE WE GO NAVY, MIRACLE WIN!"

It was actually pretty fun. No matter who lost I was happy.

---

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by kitic77 - 2007/12/21 08:28

---

Fully\_Invested\_Fan wrote:

ltpwfdcm wrote:

Navy got screwed on the Utrah 3rd down play. That should have been a touchback and Navy ball on the 20.

I don't get that call, they guy was in the air and the ball hit the pile on, and the pile on is part of the goal line.

The only thing I can think of is the reviewing official did not know the rule and was looking to see if the ball crossed the line and checking to see if it was a touchdown.

The pylon is part of the goal line, but once control is lost, it becomes part of the sideline. A fumble by an offensive player that goes through the endzone is a turnover and touchback. The refs blew a very simple and obvious call.

---

I don't think it cost Navy the game because I'm not sure they would have driven 80 yards for the tying or winning score, but the refs cost them that chance.

From the NCAA Rule book:

Rule Four, Section Two, Article 3 A and B: "A ball not in player possess, other than a kick that scores a field goal, is out of bounds within it touches the ground, a player, a game official or anything else that is on or outside a boundary line.

"A ball that touches a pylon is out of bounds behind the goal line."

And from Rule Eight, Section Six, Article 1: "Team A's fumble strikes the pylon at the intersection of Team B's goal line and sideline. RULING: Team B's ball at the 20-yard line (Rule 7-2-4-b)."

The rule is clear and the officials missed it.

=====

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by Redrules - 2007/12/21 08:40

---

You're going to get more tired. Thats 7 straight.

bball wrote:

Thankfully it's not Musberger, it's the "A" crew, Mark May, Lou Holtz, et al. It's probably why I'm enjoying the game--plus Utah is getting beat by Navy. I got tired of Utah fans' yapping about all their bowl victories.

=====

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by jhw5 - 2007/12/21 09:03

---

I heard before, and now after, about a fan flying that airplane with the banner. I see both positives and negatives to that:

Positive: Gives the rivalry some national exposure, showing the nation that we can compete with, "Rudy Sucks!"

Negative: What have BYU fans done to warrant this kind of taunting with two consecutive wins over their rivals? I mean, freakin' A!, Utah beat us four times in a row THIS DECADE! They owned us for almost five years before we could do anything about it! They could have flown a B-2 with a banner after that performance, and we could only sit on our seats, fold our arms, and make that Lavell scowl because we could do nothing about it!

I hope we never lose to Utah again, after this flighty episode. I mean I REALLY hope we don't because I don't want to see what the U fans would have in store for us.

=====

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by Yzzazz - 2007/12/21 09:17

---

Airwolf84 wrote:

I'm surprised no one has started a thread yet for this game. I like the Utes ...

Yeah... now see... about that.

Since you are officially, totally OUTing yourself as a Ute, I can say that it's understandable why you're so surprised. I guess I thought the color scheme was a bigger clue than that.

But then again, you've always been a bit short on having clues anyway.

=====

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by shakeNbake - 2007/12/21 10:20

---

I watched this game...well, 3 and 1/4 quarters of it...sweet deal with the Dukies going into overtime, btw.

I rooted for Navy...and no, I won't defend not rooting for a fellow conference team in a bowl game.

I have but just a few thoughts on this thing.

1) Navy has no semblance of defense, yet they stymied Yewtah's O until the Yewts tried stretching the field. Either Ludwig VonBeethoven doesn't trust BJ's arm, or he's just too slow to re-act and adjust - it almost cost them a game they should have easily won.

2) Yewtah still can't defend the option. You play AFA every year. How in the world do you not get better at it? Yewtah always seems to struggle against anything on the edge...here's a hint fellas: slow LB's (and only 3 on the field due to the 4-3) and cornerbacks being asked to be the contain man at the point of attack. Oh, and Robert Johnson doesn't want to have to tackle another RB on a pitch again after last night I promise you.

3) Yewtah still can't get a foot when they need it. Good thing the Navy D was dead by time the Yewts got the ball back inside the 20 or the Yewts lose another game because they are pu\$\$ie\$ up front.

4) If you stop the trick plays, Yewtah struggles to score. Navy did it well until Brooks breaks the reverse for the TD. BYU stopped that non-sense and it killed Yewtah's offense.

All in all, Navy COULD have won this game easily based on the opportunities they left on the field, and Yewtah SHOULD have won this game easily given Navy's defensive deficiencies.

Good to see a RM head coach who happens to be Poly as well. Big fan of the guy.

---

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2007/12/21 10:24

Yzzazz wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

I'm surprised no one has started a thread yet for this game. I like the Utes ...

Yeah... now see... about that.

Since you are officially, totally OUTing yourself as a Ute, I can say that it's understandable why you're so surprised. I guess I thought the color scheme was a bigger clue than that.

But then again, you've always been a bit short on having clues anyway.

Yeah, you're right... what was I thinking picking Utah 42-35 in a shootout?

---

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by hamrdown - 2007/12/21 11:11

kitic77 wrote:

Fully\_Invested\_Fan wrote:

ltpwfdcm wrote:

Navy got screwed on the Utrah 3rd down play. That should have been a touchback and Navy ball on the 20.

I don't get that call, they guy was in the air and the ball hit the pile on, and the pile on is part of the goal line.

The only thing I can think of is the reviewing official did not know the rule and was looking to see if the ball crossed the line and checking to see if it was a touchdown.

---

The pylon is part of the goal line, but once control is lost, it becomes part of the sideline. A fumble by an offensive player that goes through the endzone is a turnover and touchback. The refs blew a very simple and obvious call.

I don't think it cost Navy the game because I'm not sure they would have driven 80 yards for the tying or winning score, but the refs cost them that chance.

From the NCAA Rule book:

Rule Four, Section Two, Article 3 A and B: "A ball not in player possess, other than a kick that scores a field goal, is out of bounds within it touches the ground, a player, a game official or anything else that is on or outside a boundary line.

"A ball that touches a pylon is out of bounds behind the goal line."

And from Rule Eight, Section Six, Article 1: "Team A's fumble strikes the pylon at the intersection of Team B's goal line and sideline. RULING: Team B's ball at the 20-yard line (Rule 7-2-4-b)."

The rule is clear and the officials missed it.

There is an article on ESPN this morning about the game last night. They are reporting that the officials issued a statement about this play and that they made a mistake. The ball should have been given to Navy at the 20.

Well, that does a lot of good now. We will never know if it would have changed the outcome. BUT, these officials need to know the rules! If they are going to review a call to see if it right or not, they have got to know the rule book!

=====

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by whiteshoes - 2007/12/21 11:44

---

Here's an article in the Deseret News about how the refs admitted they blew the call. I've never heard of this happening before

<http://deseretnews.com/article/1,5143,695237982,00.html>

=====

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by WinnemuccaCougar - 2007/12/21 13:17

---

It's sad that the Ute's needed their typical trick play to get momentum then roll a little against NAVY!!! That's the Ute's for you if they have the momentum they can beat anyone in the country, and I truly believe that. However live by momentum only die by the lack thereof (AFA, UNLV, and OSU). That is the problem when you play with inferior talent but an incredible amount of emotion. I will give you props that you guys play better on momentum highs than any other team in the country.

I can already hear the taunts INFERIOR TALENT WHAT???? your stud RB had 22 touches rushing for 76 YDS against NAVY the 92nd ranked defense in the country, weak!!!! He did have two touchdowns but should have done better against a small fat and slow D-Line and slower LB's.

I hope the rest of the MWC teams perform during this bowl seasons and people will quickly forget about that crap last night.

=====

## Re:Utah-Navy

Posted by HoosierCougar - 2007/12/21 13:40

---

Charger wrote:

My dad is a Utah grad and a die-hard Ute fan. He just called me from Qualcomm and said that there's an airplane flying around the stadium with a long banner that says:

"Harline is still open.....and Collie is too!"

---

I love the fact that someone spent money to rub salt in an open Ute wound. One more reason to love this rivalry!

PLEASE, PLEASE, PLEASE, PLEASE! Somebody tell me there is a picture of this somewhere! There is a Yewt VP in my company that needs it to wallpaper his office or something like that.

---

=====

**Re:Utah-Navy**

Posted by Gymcoug318 - 2007/12/21 13:46

---

HoosierCougar wrote:

Charger wrote:

My dad is a Utah grad and a die-hard Ute fan. He just called me from Qualcomm and said that there's an airplane flying around the stadium with a long banner that says:

"Harline is still open.....and Collie is too!"

I love the fact that someone spent money to rub salt in an open Ute wound. One more reason to love this rivalry!

PLEASE, PLEASE, PLEASE, PLEASE! Somebody tell me there is a picture of this somewhere! There is a Yewt VP in my company that needs it to wallpaper his office or something like that.

Wow! That is awesome! Suckers! Because they are both so true! I still have no idea how the pukes have won that many bowl games in a row especially the last three years! :angry:

---

=====

**Re:Utah-Navy**

Posted by MajorCougar - 2007/12/21 15:11

---

Look at the "quality" of the opponents. That explains their record...

---

=====

**Re:Utah-Navy**

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2007/12/21 16:38

---

MajorCougar wrote:

Look at the "quality" of the opponents. That explains their record...

Uh, since 2001, BYU has lost to Louisville, Tulane and Marshall in bowl games. Are they "quality" opponents?

---

=====

**Re:Utah-Navy**

Posted by MajorCougar - 2007/12/21 19:56

---

Airwolf84 wrote:

MajorCougar wrote:

Look at the "quality" of the opponents. That explains their record...

Uh, since 2001, BYU has lost to Louisville, Tulane and Marshall in bowl games. Are they "quality" opponents?

You must mean, since 1998.

Yes they were - for the years BYU played them. Both Marshall & Tulane went undefeated & Louisville was a very good 2-loss C-USA team.