
USC...

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/02 16:34

is exactly why a playoff is needed. The Trojans would beat LSU or Ohio State right now, but because of their two season losses when they had guys banged up, they were thrust out of national championship contention. USC is the best team in the nation right now and they'll be sitting at home watching LSU and Ohio State compete for the title. Money wins, players lose.

=====

Re:USC...

Posted by bennyhaha - 2008/01/02 16:37

I think for the first time ever, I actually agree with you. Did you start taking your medication again? This is way to logical for you.

=====

Re:USC...

Posted by Knucklehead - 2008/01/02 16:45

If there were a playoff and I were a betting man, I would put my money an Southern Cal too.

You gotta love teams like Southern Cal that schedule good teams during non-conference as well. The Trojans have Ohio State, Virginia, and Notre Dame on tap for their OOC games next year.

=====

Re:USC...

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/02 16:53

bennyhaha wrote:

I think for the first time ever, I actually agree with you. Did you start taking your medication again? This is way to logical for you.

I actually went off them. Meds will do that to you you know.;

=====

Re:USC...

Posted by bennyhaha - 2008/01/02 16:58

i figured it was something like that. :)

=====

Re:USC...

Posted by 97Alum - 2008/01/02 17:02

You're 100% right about this. I can only imagine a playoff game between USC and Georgia (the OTHER team I think would be capable of making a run). That would be an absolutely fantastic game.

=====

Re:USC...

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/02 17:03

bennyhaha wrote:

i figured it was something like that. :)

OK, the real truth... I actually halved my dosages.

=====

Re:USC...

Posted by Ys_One - 2008/01/02 17:06

I do agree with you that a playoff is needed, but who is to say that USC would get through? All the Rose Bowl proved is that the Big 10 is not good. Illinois looked like the JV out there against the Trojans. Even UCLA, who was plagued with injuries this season, looked better against USC than the Illini.

That is as far as I can go in agreement. Out of all the top teams, USC had the worst loss. That loss to Stanford was unexcusable. When they lost that game, their championship hopes were lost, and justifiably so. Even with the injuries, they should have crushed Stanford. Sure, they are playing as well as anyone right now, but you can't just write off the Stanford loss. While they would at least have a shot at the championship if there was a playoff, there is no guarantee. How many times have the no. 1 seeds been upset in the NCAA Basketball tourney? How many times has a team come from a lower seed to win the superbowl? Sure, they deserve a chance to play for the title, but championships are won on the field, and USC lost their shot against Stanford.

=====

Re:USC...

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/02 17:06

97Alum wrote:

You're 100% right about this. I can only imagine a playoff game between USC and Georgia (the OTHER team I think would be capable of making a run). That would be an absolutely fantastic game.

We'll never see a true playoff in college football as long as money dictates everything. If something ever happened to where the bowls started losing money, then maybe they'd think about it, but until then... the BCS will continue to be BS!

=====

Re:USC...

Posted by Mingjai - 2008/01/02 19:43

Ys_One wrote:

I do agree with you that a playoff is needed, but who is to say that USC would get through? All the Rose Bowl proved is that the Big 10 is not good. Illinois looked like the JV out there against the Trojans. Even UCLA, who was plagued with injuries this season, looked better against USC than the Illini.

That is as far as I can go in agreement. Out of all the top teams, USC had the worst loss. That loss to Stanford was unexcusable. When they lost that game, their championship hopes were lost, and justifiably so. Even with the injuries, they should have crushed Stanford. Sure, they are playing as well as anyone right now, but you can't just write off the Stanford loss. While they would at least have a shot at the championship if there was a playoff, there is no guarantee. How many times have the no. 1 seeds been upset in the NCAA Basketball tourney? How many times has a team come from a lower seed to win the superbowl? Sure, they deserve a chance to play for the title, but championships are won on the field, and USC lost their shot against Stanford.

I'm with you on the Stanford loss. Anyone who lost to Stanford, Notre Dame, or Minnesota this year doesn't deserve the national championship. USC lost to the Cardinal, so they're out.

As far as the Rose Bowl goes, they really should have taken Michigan over Illinois. The two schools finished tied for second in the Big Ten with Michigan beating the Illini in their head-to-head matchup. If the Wolverines played USC like they played Florida, it would have been a great game. Other more suitable teams than Illinois would have been Missouri or Georgia.

Re:USC...

Posted by yutka - 2008/01/02 20:03

Of note... Illinois lost by a larger Margin than Hawaii... Perhaps the USC win was more telling of a weak opponent, not of USC's dominance...

That is the argument whenever BYU wins... Especially in a blow out...

=====

Re:USC...

Posted by splitbamboo - 2008/01/03 09:32

Ys_One wrote:

I do agree with you that a playoff is needed, but who is to say that USC would get through? All the Rose Bowl proved is that the Big 10 is not good.

The Rose Bowl for USC is essentially a home game. Other than when playing powerhouses like Stanford (and App State), the home field advantage is huge. Not sure why the Big 10 is so keen on playing in the Rose Bowl every year...

=====

Re:USC...

Posted by mountaincougar - 2008/01/03 09:40

Big 10 has been playing in the Rose Bowl for decades. Long before the BCS mess, when the Rose Bowl, year in and year out, was the top dog of bowl games. With 100K oeoople in the stands the payouts are and have been pretty impressive. That is why they come. And you would suprised to see how many fans from those visiting teams show up.

=====

Re:USC...

Posted by Real.Men.Wear.Blue - 2008/01/03 09:48

Talk to Commissioner Delaney -- head of the Big 10. He is the single biggest proponent of the BCS system and wields a disproportionate amount of influence. It is no coincidence that Ohio State makes it to the NC nearly every year (and the BCS every year), deserving or not. OSU had one of the weakest schedules in the country and is one of the most overrated teams. They barely beat that midwest powerhouse Akron. USC, Georgia, West Virginia, Oklahoma and just about every other team in the top 15 (with the exception of Illinois and maybe Kansas) would defeat the Luckeyes.

=====

Re:USC...

Posted by Knucklehead - 2008/01/03 09:56

Real.Men.Wear.Blue Wrote:

USC, Georgia, West Virginia, Oklahoma and just about every other team in the top 15 (with the exception of Illinois and maybe Kansas) would defeat the Luckeyes.

No exception for Illinois, I recall the Illini beat the Luckeyes?

=====

Re:USC...

Posted by BigTex - 2008/01/04 08:51

Big10 overated?

That'll end when OhioState puts LSU away next monday.

Didn't Michigan just travel down to Orlando and beat Florida? PennState had a nice win against a surging Big12 team TexasA&M.

Don't read to much into Ohio States loss to Florida last year. Ohio didn't show up, and the Gators played their best game in 5yrs.

Illinois is a very very very good football team. They have a highly underrated defense, and this will probably be the only team that hung a loss on a healthy Ohio State team

Injuries derailed USC this season, much like they got Oregon.

USC would easily be favored in a playoff setup with all that depth.

=====

Re:USC...

Posted by lovelldog - 2008/01/04 09:17

BigTex wrote:
Big10 overated?

That'll end when OhioState puts LSU away next monday.

Didn't Michigan just travel down to Orlando and beat Florida? PennState had a nice win against a surging Big12 team TexasA&M.

Don't read to much into Ohio States loss to Florida last year. Ohio didn't show up, and the Gators played their best game in 5yrs.

Illinois is a very very very good football team. They have a highly underrated defense, and this will probably be the only team that hung a loss on a healthy Ohio State team

Injuries derailed USC this season, much like they got Oregon.

USC would easily be favored in a playoff setup with all that depth.

I am having hard time following your logic on why the Big 10 is not overrated. The Michigan win over Florida was pretty impressive. I seriously doubt Ohio State is going to put LSU away let alone get out of there with even a close loss. I hate to break it to you but losing 3 of your last 4 regular season games does not make Texas A&M a surging team. Illinois didn't look like a very very very good football team against USC.

=====

Re:USC...

Posted by BigTex - 2008/01/04 17:25

They beat Texas...that's surging in the Big12

=====

Re:USC...

Posted by raidingtombs - 2008/01/05 02:17

Airwolf84 wrote:

is exactly why a playoff is needed. The Trojans would beat LSU or Ohio State right now, but because of their two season losses when they had guys banged up, they were thrust out of national championship contention. USC is the best team in the nation right now and they'll be sitting at home watching LSU and Ohio State compete for the title. Money wins, players lose.

Slapping down an overrated Big 10 team means about as much to me as Georgia fans screaming they are the best team in the country because they spanked Hawaii. Both teams played match-ups vs teams that had no buisness playing in a BCS game period. Is USC a NC team? Maybe but they lost to Stanford for heaven sake and i know they had injuries but are you telling me USC's isn't deep enough to beat a team that is easily one of the worst BCS teams in the country as of late? Maybe they don't have the depth to beat a LSU or even a Georgia if that is the case.

Regardless a playoff system is needed period.

=====

Re:USC...

Posted by domanater - 2008/01/06 15:49

Dont' pat that retard pn the back for having an obvious thought...next he'll come and say OJ did it and that Brittney Spears has a screwed up home life.

We all know USC is better than Ohio State....come back with an original thought.

=====

Re:USC...

Posted by Metal Bubba - 2008/01/06 17:33

I would love to see a USC-UGA matchup. Man, that would be a great game. I'd take the Dawgs in that one.

=====

Re:USC...

Posted by Illinois1Cougar - 2008/01/06 23:50

"play-offs are about championships, and bowl games are about tourism!!"

=====

Re:USC...

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/07 16:47

Metal Bubba wrote:

I would love to see a USC-UGA matchup. Man, that would be a great game. I'd take the Dawgs in that one.

Totally agree, but I think it would be too close to call.

=====

Re:USC...

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/07 16:48

Illinois1Cougar wrote:

"play-offs are about championships, and bowl games are about tourism!!"

You know it!

=====