

---

## Bronco's \"quest for perfection\"

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/14 10:07

---

In the MWC, it's certainly possible given the lack of good competition (except for Utah and TCU), but 12-0? :laugh: BYU was lucky they didn't end up with 4 losses this year, but next season, fans can look forward to "perfection"? Bronco is setting up expectations from fans that he won't be able to deliver. Talk about wishful thinking! Who is he kidding with all of this ridiculous talk about going undefeated next year with a BCS berth? Reality is that BYU only went up against two good, injury-free QB's all year (Paul Smith and Kevin O'Connell) and both times, got lit up. They were beaten early in the year by C-USA power Tulsa and a UCLA squad that got annihilated by Utah and the Cougs started 1-2, UNTIL... conference play, when they road became much easier with mediocrity as weekly opposition. Then, it took a minor miracle on 4th and 18 to overcome the Utes in Provo and they struggled to beat UCLA in the LV Bowl, even with the Bruins fielding a 4th string QB, where it took a blocked field goal to preserve a 1 point win, yet, Bronco and the BYU fan base are talking about perfection and busting the BCS next year??? Come on... get real already... enough with the nonsense!!

=====

## Re:Bronco's \"quest for perfection\"

Posted by frodo56 - 2008/01/14 10:15

---

Definition of NONSENSE...see previous post.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by YBNORMAL - 2008/01/14 10:27

---

Airwolf84 wrote:

In the MWC, it's certainly possible given the lack of good competition (except for Utah and TCU), but 12-0? :laugh: BYU was lucky they didn't end up with 4 losses this year, but next season, fans can look forward to "perfection"? Bronco is setting up expectations from fans that he won't be able to deliver. Talk about wishful thinking! Who is he kidding with all of this ridiculous talk about going undefeated next year with a BCS berth? Reality is that BYU only went up against two good, injury-free QB's all year (Paul Smith and Kevin O'Connell) and both times, got lit up. They were beaten early in the year by C-USA power Tulsa and a UCLA squad that got annihilated by Utah and the Cougs started 1-2, UNTIL... conference play, when they road became much easier with mediocrity as weekly opposition. Then, it took a minor miracle on 4th and 18 to overcome the Utes in Provo and they struggled to beat UCLA in the LV Bowl, even with the Bruins fielding a 4th string QB, where it took a blocked field goal to preserve a 1 point win, yet, Bronco and the BYU fan base are talking about perfection and busting the BCS next year??? Come on... get real already... enough with the nonsense!!

Some people set goals in life to try and improve, others post bitter non-sense in forums that makes you wonder why they keep posting. Your crap gets soooooooooo old....

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/14 10:44

---

I know... the truth is a hard pill to swallow.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by bennyhaha - 2008/01/14 10:45

---

Airwolf, you are genius man. I never thought that a sophmore laden team who went 11-2 would not benefit and get much better through the experiences the previous year. But you just made it crystal clear, BYU was lucky this year, and they are going to get no better. I am with you, we will be lucky to win four games next year.

It really must suck to be you. I feel sorry for your poor wife and kids. To be married to such a pessimist must really suck.

---

=====

## Re:Bronco\'s \\'quest for perfection\'

Posted by buzzard - 2008/01/14 10:49

---

Look at it another way-we were a couple of plays away from being 13-0 this year! Either of the phantom fumbles against UCLA, and the pick 6 against Tulsa that turned the game around. If those plays don't happen, we are BCSing this year! But guess what-they did happen, just like 4th-and-18, just like the block, just like a bunch of other plays that turned games around.  
What is past is past for good or ill. The future looks bright, and that is what I am concentrating on.

=====

## Re:Bronco\'s

Posted by Short\_Changed - 2008/01/14 10:52

---

Airwolf84 wrote:

In the MWC, it's certainly possible given the lack of good competition (except for Utah and TCU), but 12-0? :laugh: BYU was lucky they didn't end up with 4 losses this year, but next season, fans can look forward to "perfection"? Bronco is setting up expectations from fans that he won't be able to deliver. Talk about wishful thinking! Who is he kidding with all of this ridiculous talk about going undefeated next year with a BCS berth? Reality is that BYU only went up against two good, injury-free QB's all year (Paul Smith and Kevin O'Connell) and both times, got lit up. They were beaten early in the year by C-USA power Tulsa and a UCLA squad that got annihilated by Utah and the Cougs started 1-2, UNTIL... conference play, when they road became much easier with mediocrity as weekly opposition. Then, it took a minor miracle on 4th and 18 to overcome the Utes in Provo and they struggled to beat UCLA in the LV Bowl, even with the Bruins fielding a 4th string QB, where it took a blocked field goal to preserve a 1 point win, yet, Bronco and the BYU fan base are talking about perfection and busting the BCS next year??? Come on... get real already... enough with the nonsense!!

Have you ever seen the movie "Miracle" about the US olympic hockey team? If you haven't, you should. A part I especially like is a quote from coach Brooks. When Brooks was hired as HC he voiced that he wanted to beat the Russians. Everyone thought he was crazy and someone said, "That's a pretty lofty goal." Brooks replies, "That's exactly why I want to pursue it."

The odds that the US olympic hockey team would beat Russia and win the gold medal were a lot worse than BYU's odds going undefeated next year! You should praise a coach that's pursuing lofty goals! Go Bronco and go Cougs! Here's to hoping we go undefeated next year and reach out goal, whether lofty or not!

=====

## Re:Bronco\'s

Posted by YBNORMAL - 2008/01/14 10:52

---

Airwolf84 wrote:

I know... the truth is a hard pill to swallow.

How would you know, you rarely speak it!!!! You've been proven wrong over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over again and you wanna talk "truth?" You swallowed some pill, it sure had nothing to do with "truth."  
:)

=====

## Re:Bronco\'s

Posted by mormonrasta - 2008/01/14 10:54

---

buzzard wrote:

Look at it another way-we were a couple of plays away from being 13-0 this year!

I hate looking at things that way. While it is true BYU was close to beating Tulsa and UCLA (the first time), they were just as close losing to Utah and UCLA.

---

It's funny how things even out. BYU was somewhere between a 13-0 and 9-4 team on just 4 or 5 plays. 11-2 is about right.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Pikoi - 2008/01/14 10:54

---

Actually, I think Airwolf is right. We should just forfeit our 2008 non-conference games right now to avoid any possible injuries....:dry:

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by ABYUFAN - 2008/01/14 10:55

---

Airwolf84 wrote:

In the MWC, it's certainly possible given the lack of good competition (except for Utah and TCU), but 12-0? :laugh: BYU was lucky they didn't end up with 4 losses this year, but next season, fans can look forward to "perfection"? Bronco is setting up expectations from fans that he won't be able to deliver. Talk about wishful thinking! Who is he kidding with all of this ridiculous talk about going undefeated next year with a BCS berth? Reality is that BYU only went up against two good, injury-free QB's all year (Paul Smith and Kevin O'Connell) and both times, got lit up. They were beaten early in the year by C-USA power Tulsa and a UCLA squad that got annihilated by Utah and the Cougs started 1-2, UNTIL... conference play, when they road became much easier with mediocrity as weekly opposition. Then, it took a minor miracle on 4th and 18 to overcome the Utes in Provo and they struggled to beat UCLA in the LV Bowl, even with the Bruins fielding a 4th string QB, where it took a blocked field goal to preserve a 1 point win, yet, Bronco and the BYU fan base are talking about perfection and busting the BCS next year??? Come on... get real already... enough with the nonsense!!

Airwolf's motto: "If you have any poo... fling it now..."

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by imuakahuku - 2008/01/14 10:56

---

Airwolf84 wrote:

In the MWC, it's certainly possible given the lack of good competition (except for Utah and TCU), but 12-0? :laugh: BYU was lucky they didn't end up with 4 losses this year, but next season, fans can look forward to "perfection"? Bronco is setting up expectations from fans that he won't be able to deliver. Talk about wishful thinking! Who is he kidding with all of this ridiculous talk about going undefeated next year with a BCS berth? Reality is that BYU only went up against two good, injury-free QB's all year (Paul Smith and Kevin O'Connell) and both times, got lit up. They were beaten early in the year by C-USA power Tulsa and a UCLA squad that got annihilated by Utah and the Cougs started 1-2, UNTIL... conference play, when they road became much easier with mediocrity as weekly opposition. Then, it took a minor miracle on 4th and 18 to overcome the Utes in Provo and they struggled to beat UCLA in the LV Bowl, even with the Bruins fielding a 4th string QB, where it took a blocked field goal to preserve a 1 point win, yet, Bronco and the BYU fan base are talking about perfection and busting the BCS next year??? Come on... get real already... enough with the nonsense!!

Here is the beauty of Wolfie

He writes,

"They were beaten early in the year by C-USA power Tulsa and a UCLA squad that got annihilated by Utah..." then in the SAME sentence (he didn't even make it to a "."), writes "UNTIL... conference play, when they road became much easier with mediocrity as weekly opposition."

That is called having your cake and eating it too! Nice job wolfie!

I just wish Bronco could bring in some actual talent to the team instead of those less athletic and slow players that he does get. Maybe some players that top BCS schools might be interested in. But alas, it shall never be. Utah on the other hand....

=====

---

## Re:Bronco\'s "quest for perfection"

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/14 10:57

---

I'm not saying BYU is going to be mediocre next year or anything of the sort... only that talk of a BCS berth is absurd given the fact that BYU should have lost to 6-7 UCLA twice this year, and got humbled by a C-USA team (Tulsa), which rang the D's bell for 55 points. BYU struggled mightily (twice) against the Pac-10's 5th place team AND got smoked through the air by the only two good, pure-passing QB's they faced all year (Smith and O'Connell). BYU could very well go undefeated in conference again, but Utah and TCU are going to be better, so that won't be easy and UCLA and Washington (both with highly touted recruiting classes for '08) will be two tough games to start the year with and for Bronco to act like they'll be no different than a MWC contest is just plain ludicrous. Had BYU been in a BCS bowl this year, the outcome would have humbled you guys and made you think twice about being able to compete with the LSU's and USC's of the world.

=====

## Re:Bronco\'s

Posted by ABYUFAN - 2008/01/14 10:59

---

mormonrasta wrote:

buzzard wrote:

Look at it another way-we were a couple of plays away from being 13-0 this year!

I hate looking at things that way. While it is true BYU was close to beating Tulsa and UCLA (the first time), they were just as close losing to Utah and UCLA.

It's funny how things even out. BYU was somewhere between a 13-0 and 9-4 team on just 4 or 5 plays. 11-2 is about right.

I agree. I think that the 2007 Cougars were not as good of a team as the 2006 Cougars. I was comfortable with 2006 being 11-2; The 2007 Cougars maybe overachieved, maybe "exceeded expectations" but 11-2 was a great record. Anything more is being greedy. That said, 13-0 is possible in 2008. For, the 2006 team was an improvement over 2005, and 2007 was seen by many as a whole new teams. It still has room for improvement.

=====

## Re:Bronco\'s

Posted by snoscythe - 2008/01/14 11:14

---

I still don't see how a guy throwing 33-55 for only 288 yards is getting "smoked." His pass efficiency rating was 109, (compared to 210 for Paul Smith against the Cougs), which was substantially lower than his season efficiency rating of 128.

And then you see he averaged about 5 yards per attempt, which is about as sucky as you can get. BYU's dink and dunk passing game averages 7.75 yards, and our whole offense is predicated on the short passes.

Yeah, SDSU threw a lot of short stuff, but by no means did they smoke us. You are going to throw a lot when you are down, and the smart defenses give them the short stuff.

Kevin OConnell did not light up BYU. He completed a lot of short passes and completed only 6 passes of 10 yards or more on the night and never had his team in a position to threaten the Cougs. That is called good defense in my book.

=====

## Re:Bronco\'s

Posted by gmj81 - 2008/01/14 11:17

---

Airwolf84 wrote:

I'm not saying BYU is going to be mediocre next year or anything of the sort... only that talk of a BCS berth is absurd

---

given the fact that BYU should have lost to 6-7 UCLA twice this year, and got humbled by a C-USA team (Tulsa), which rang the D's bell for 55 points. BYU struggled mightily (twice) against the Pac-10's 5th place team AND got smoked through the air by the only two good, pure-passing QB's they faced all year (Smith and O'Connell). BYU could very well go undefeated in conference again, but Utah and TCU are going to be better, so that won't be easy and UCLA and Washington (both with highly touted recruiting classes for '08) will be two tough games to start the year with and for Bronco to act like they'll be no different than a MWC contest is just plain ludicrous. Had BYU been in a BCS bowl this year, the outcome would have humbled you guys and made you think twice about being able to compete with the LSU's and USC's of the world.

Wolfie, I honestly don't understand how you can say that we were lucky to escape the LV bowl with a win (which I somewhat agree with you about) but act as if BYU got annihilated in Pasadena. It could be argued that UCLA was lucky to escape that game. If one of those bad calls was different or one of the personal fouls on BYU didn't happen, the momentum would have completely swung in BYU's favor and they would have won. But regardless, both games ended the way they ended with players stepping up and preserving a win for their team (Davis and Manumaleuna).

---

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by byuinva - 2008/01/14 11:28

YBNORMAL wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

I know... the truth is a hard pill to swallow.

How would you know, you rarely speak it!!!! You've been proven wrong over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over again and you wanna talk "truth?" You swallowed some pill, it sure had nothing to do with "truth." :)

He knows because he has to swallow it so often:laugh:

---

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by imuakahuku - 2008/01/14 11:33

Airwolf84 wrote:

I'm not saying BYU is going to be mediocre next year or anything of the sort... only that talk of a BCS berth is absurd given the fact that BYU should have lost to 6-7 UCLA twice this year, and got humbled by a C-USA team (Tulsa), which rang the D's bell for 55 points. BYU struggled mightily (twice) against the Pac-10's 5th place team AND got smoked through the air by the only two good, pure-passing QB's they faced all year (Smith and O'Connell). BYU could very well go undefeated in conference again, but Utah and TCU are going to be better, so that won't be easy and UCLA and Washington (both with highly touted recruiting classes for '08) will be two tough games to start the year with and for Bronco to act like they'll be no different than a MWC contest is just plain ludicrous. Had BYU been in a BCS bowl this year, the outcome would have humbled you guys and made you think twice about being able to compete with the LSU's and USC's of the world.

And all those 08 recruits will be playing for UCLA and Washington next year!

---

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by MajorCougar - 2008/01/14 11:43

snoscythe wrote:

I still don't see how a guy throwing 33-55 for only 288 yards is getting "smoked." His pass efficiency rating was 109, (compared to 210 for Paul Smith against the Cougs), which was substantially lower than his season efficiency rating of 128.

And then you see he averaged about 5 yards per attempt, which is about as sucky as you can get. BYU's dink and dunk passing game averages 7.75 yards, and our whole offense is predicated on the short passes.

---

Yeah, SDSU threw a lot of short stuff, but by no means did they smoke us. You are going to throw a lot when you are down, and the smart defenses give them the short stuff.

Kevin OConnell did not light up BYU. He completed a lot of short passes and completed only 6 passes of 10 yards or more on the night and never had his team in a position to threaten the Cougs. That is called good defense in my book.

Amen, Sno. I was just going to point that out. Last time I checked, both O'Donnel and Paul Smith are seniors (we don't play Tulsa next year, anyways...)

As for AW's claim that Utah's and TCU's QB's are going to be better, I don't see them dramatically changing the outcome of the game. BYU's offense will be better, too. So what?

---

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by CougarPeasant - 2008/01/14 11:49

Dathan\*? DATHAN!!!! It is you, you ol' dog!!! I wondered where you've been all these years. Still playing the malcontent aren't you? Did it with Moses back in Egypt and then when the Israelites wandered in the desert. It's been too long, but I recognize your voice any day. Dathan, give it up, Bronco has led BYU football out of the wilderness and the next stop is the promised land of BCS accomplishment and undefeated glory. You can either join up with us and believe in Bronco and accept him as the chosen coach to lead BYU football, or you can get squashed by your own words of negativity. Come on Dathan, set yourself free and believe that all things are possible!!!

\*Dathan: the character played by Edward G. Robinson in the 10 Commandments who was always there to be the realist while Moses had a vision for the Israelites.

Airwolf84 wrote:

In the MWC, it's certainly possible given the lack of good competition (except for Utah and TCU), but 12-0? :laugh: BYU was lucky they didn't end up with 4 losses\*\* this year, but next season, fans can look forward to "perfection"? Bronco is setting up expectations from fans that he won't be able to deliver. Talk about wishful thinking! Who is he kidding with all of this ridiculous talk about going undefeated next year with a BCS berth? Reality is that BYU only went up against two good, injury-free QB's all year (Paul Smith and Kevin O'Connell) and both times, got lit up. They were beaten early in the year by C-USA power Tulsa and a UCLA squad that got annihilated by Utah and the Cougs started 1-2, UNTIL... conference play, when they road became much easier with mediocrity as weekly opposition. Then, it took a minor miracle on 4th and 18 to overcome the Utes in Provo and they struggled to beat UCLA in the LV Bowl, even with the Bruins fielding a 4th string QB, where it took a blocked field goal to preserve a 1 point win, yet, Bronco and the BYU fan base are talking about perfection and busting the BCS next year??? Come on... get real already... enough with the nonsense!!

\*\*And BYU was unlucky to be 3 plays away from being undefeated too.

---

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by frdbtr - 2008/01/14 11:50

Airwolf84 wrote:

In the MWC, it's certainly possible given the lack of good competition (except for Utah and TCU), but 12-0? :laugh: BYU was lucky they didn't end up with 4 losses this year, but next season, fans can look forward to "perfection"? Bronco is setting up expectations from fans that he won't be able to deliver. Talk about wishful thinking! Who is he kidding with all of this ridiculous talk about going undefeated next year with a BCS berth? Reality is that BYU only went up against two good, injury-free QB's all year (Paul Smith and Kevin O'Connell) and both times, got lit up. They were beaten early in the year by C-USA power Tulsa and a UCLA squad that got annihilated by Utah and the Cougs started 1-2, UNTIL... conference play, when they road became much easier with mediocrity as weekly opposition. Then, it took a minor miracle on 4th and 18 to overcome the Utes in Provo and they struggled to beat UCLA in the LV Bowl, even with the Bruins fielding a 4th string QB, where it took a blocked field goal to preserve a 1 point win, yet, Bronco and the BYU fan base are talking about perfection and busting the BCS next year??? Come on... get real already... enough with the nonsense!!

Airwolf, Winners shoot for perfections. Losers shoot for less. Oh and by the way on your "BYU should have 4 losses" BS. 17-10, and 17-16. Good teams find ways to win. Bad teams find ways to lose. BYU is a good team.

---

---

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/14 11:52

---

gmj81 wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

I'm not saying BYU is going to be mediocre next year or anything of the sort... only that talk of a BCS berth is absurd given the fact that BYU should have lost to 6-7 UCLA twice this year, and got humbled by a C-USA team (Tulsa), which rang the D's bell for 55 points. BYU struggled mightily (twice) against the Pac-10's 5th place team AND got smoked through the air by the only two good, pure-passing QB's they faced all year (Smith and O'Connell). BYU could very well go undefeated in conference again, but Utah and TCU are going to be better, so that won't be easy and UCLA and Washington (both with highly touted recruiting classes for '08) will be two tough games to start the year with and for Bronco to act like they'll be no different than a MWC contest is just plain ludicrous. Had BYU been in a BCS bowl this year, the outcome would have humbled you guys and made you think twice about being able to compete with the LSU's and USC's of the world.

Wolfie, I honestly don't understand how you can say that we were lucky to escape the LV bowl with a win (which I somewhat agree with you about) but act as if BYU got annihilated in Pasadena. It could be argued that UCLA was lucky to escape that game. If one of those bad calls was different or one of the personal fouls on BYU didn't happen, the momentum would have completely swung in BYU's favor and they would have won. But regardless, both games ended the way they ended with players stepping up and preserving a win for their team (Davis and Manumaleuna).

Wolfie, I honestly don't understand how you can say that we were lucky to escape the LV bowl with a win

Please don't tell me you're serious? The Cougs are up 17-16 with :03 to play and UCLA is lined up to kick a short field goal for the win but one BYU player manages to get a hand on the ball, making the field goal no good and that's not a lucky win???:laugh:

---

---

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by frdbtr - 2008/01/14 11:59

---

Airwolf84 wrote:

gmj81 wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

I'm not saying BYU is going to be mediocre next year or anything of the sort... only that talk of a BCS berth is absurd given the fact that BYU should have lost to 6-7 UCLA twice this year, and got humbled by a C-USA team (Tulsa), which rang the D's bell for 55 points. BYU struggled mightily (twice) against the Pac-10's 5th place team AND got smoked through the air by the only two good, pure-passing QB's they faced all year (Smith and O'Connell). BYU could very well go undefeated in conference again, but Utah and TCU are going to be better, so that won't be easy and UCLA and Washington (both with highly touted recruiting classes for '08) will be two tough games to start the year with and for Bronco to act like they'll be no different than a MWC contest is just plain ludicrous. Had BYU been in a BCS bowl this year, the outcome would have humbled you guys and made you think twice about being able to compete with the LSU's and USC's of the world.

Wolfie, I honestly don't understand how you can say that we were lucky to escape the LV bowl with a win (which I somewhat agree with you about) but act as if BYU got annihilated in Pasadena. It could be argued that UCLA was lucky to escape that game. If one of those bad calls was different or one of the personal fouls on BYU didn't happen, the momentum would have completely swung in BYU's favor and they would have won. But regardless, both games ended the way they ended with players stepping up and preserving a win for their team (Davis and Manumaleuna).

Wolfie, I honestly don't understand how you can say that we were lucky to escape the LV bowl with a win

Please don't tell me you're serious? The Cougs are up 17-16 with :03 to play and UCLA is lined up to kick a short field goal for the win but one BYU player manages to get a hand on the ball, making the field goal no good and that's not a lucky win???:laugh:

Yes, it did preserve the win, and you have been whining ever since to all forms of Media stupid enough to give you a

---

voice. Like I said, good teams find a way to win, and bad teams find a way to lose. BYU is a good team. Back in 2003, I didn't hear you saying how lucky Utah was to win a couple of the close games they won, just praise for how great Urban Meyer was. Dude I am so sick of the BYU fan Charade that you play, just admit it once and for all that you are a Ute fan.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/14 12:01

---

Short\_Changed wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

In the MWC, it's certainly possible given the lack of good competition (except for Utah and TCU), but 12-0? :laugh: BYU was lucky they didn't end up with 4 losses this year, but next season, fans can look forward to "perfection"? Bronco is setting up expectations from fans that he won't be able to deliver. Talk about wishful thinking! Who is he kidding with all of this ridiculous talk about going undefeated next year with a BCS berth? Reality is that BYU only went up against two good, injury-free QB's all year (Paul Smith and Kevin O'Connell) and both times, got lit up. They were beaten early in the year by C-USA power Tulsa and a UCLA squad that got annihilated by Utah and the Cougs started 1-2, UNTIL... conference play, when they road became much easier with mediocrity as weekly opposition. Then, it took a minor miracle on 4th and 18 to overcome the Utes in Provo and they struggled to beat UCLA in the LV Bowl, even with the Bruins fielding a 4th string QB, where it took a blocked field goal to preserve a 1 point win, yet, Bronco and the BYU fan base are talking about perfection and busting the BCS next year??? Come on... get real already... enough with the nonsense!!

Have you ever seen the movie "Miracle" about the US olympic hockey team? If you haven't, you should. A part I especially like is a quote from coach Brooks. When Brooks was hired as HC he voiced that he wanted to beat the Russians. Everyone thought he was crazy and someone said, "That's a pretty lofty goal." Brooks replies, "That's exactly why I want to pursue it."

The odds that the US olympic hockey team would beat Russia and win the gold medal were a lot worse than BYU's odds going undefeated next year! You should praise a coach that's pursuing lofty goals! Go Bronco and go Cougs! Here's to hoping we go undefeated next year and reach out goal, whether lofty or not!

Had BYU not struggled against the Pac 10's 5th place team twice, losing one of those games (and lucky not to lose both), not allowed Tulsa to go off for 55 points and not struggled against the Utes in Provo and the Bruins in the LV Bowl, I could probably stomach Bronco's remarks, but the fact is, BYU only soundly beat a handful of teams this year in the MWC and struggled with the rest of them, yet, they're BCS caliber???:laugh: Allow me...

Close games for the Cougs this year...

UNM - 31-24

TCU - 27-22

UNLV - 24-14

Utah - 17-10

UCLA - 17-16

Avg. margin of victory in these 5 games: 6 points. Had BCS teams like LSU, USC, OSU or Oklahoma played these same teams, the result would have looked more like a basketball score for them (except for maybe against UCLA).

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by sjcougfan - 2008/01/14 12:01

---

Airwolf84 wrote:

gmj81 wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

I'm not saying BYU is going to be mediocre next year or anything of the sort... only that talk of a BCS berth is absurd given the fact that BYU should have lost to 6-7 UCLA twice this year, and got humbled by a C-USA team (Tulsa), which rang the D's bell for 55 points. BYU struggled mightily (twice) against the Pac-10's 5th place team AND got smoked through the air by the only two good, pure-passing QB's they faced all year (Smith and O'Connell). BYU could very well go undefeated in conference again, but Utah and TCU are going to be better, so that won't be easy and UCLA and Washington (both with highly touted recruiting classes for '08) will be two tough games to start the year with and for

---

Bronco to act like they'll be no different than a MWC contest is just plain ludicrous. Had BYU been in a BCS bowl this year, the outcome would have humbled you guys and made you think twice about being able to compete with the LSU's and USC's of the world.

Wolfie, I honestly don't understand how you can say that we were lucky to escape the LV bowl with a win (which I somewhat agree with you about) but act as if BYU got annihilated in Pasadena. It could be argued that UCLA was lucky to escape that game. If one of those bad calls was different or one of the personal fouls on BYU didn't happen, the momentum would have completely swung in BYU's favor and they would have won. But regardless, both games ended the way they ended with players stepping up and preserving a win for their team (Davis and Manumaleuna).

Wolfie, I honestly don't understand how you can say that we were lucky to escape the LV bowl with a win

Please don't tell me you're serious? The Cougs are up 17-16 with :03 to play and UCLA is lined up to kick a short field goal for the win but one BYU player manages to get a hand on the ball, making the field goal no good and that's not a lucky win???:laugh:

Nope, there is nothing "lucky" about blocking a kick, it's all about effort and determination, you are wrong.... again.

It wasn't lucky, it was "MAGIC".B)

---

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Short\_Changed - 2008/01/14 12:09

Airwolf84 wrote:

Short\_Changed wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

In the MWC, it's certainly possible given the lack of good competition (except for Utah and TCU), but 12-0? :laugh: BYU was lucky they didn't end up with 4 losses this year, but next season, fans can look forward to "perfection"? Bronco is setting up expectations from fans that he won't be able to deliver. Talk about wishful thinking! Who is he kidding with all of this ridiculous talk about going undefeated next year with a BCS berth? Reality is that BYU only went up against two good, injury-free QB's all year (Paul Smith and Kevin O'Connell) and both times, got lit up. They were beaten early in the year by C-USA power Tulsa and a UCLA squad that got annihilated by Utah and the Cougs started 1-2, UNTIL... conference play, when they road became much easier with mediocrity as weekly opposition. Then, it took a minor miracle on 4th and 18 to overcome the Utes in Provo and they struggled to beat UCLA in the LV Bowl, even with the Bruins fielding a 4th string QB, where it took a blocked field goal to preserve a 1 point win, yet, Bronco and the BYU fan base are talking about perfection and busting the BCS next year??? Come on... get real already... enough with the nonsense!!

Have you ever seen the movie "Miracle" about the US olympic hockey team? If you haven't, you should. A part I especially like is a quote from coach Brooks. When Brooks was hired as HC he voiced that he wanted to beat the Russians. Everyone thought he was crazy and someone said, "That's a pretty lofty goal." Brooks replies, "That's exactly why I want to pursue it."

The odds that the US olympic hockey team would beat Russia and win the gold medal were a lot worse than BYU's odds going undefeated next year! You should praise a coach that's pursuing lofty goals! Go Bronco and go Cougs! Here's to hoping we go undefeated next year and reach our goal, whether lofty or not!

Had BYU not struggled against the Pac 10's 5th place team twice, losing one of those games (and lucky not to lose both), not allowed Tulsa to go off for 55 points and not struggled against the Utes in Provo and the Bruins in the LV Bowl, I could probably stomach Bronco's remarks, but the fact is, BYU only soundly beat a handful of teams this year in the MWC and struggled with the rest of them, yet, they're BCS caliber???

You're missing the point. Any coach who sets high goals should be praised, especially when they only lost two games in each of the past two years and have so much coming back on offense. What kind of goals should Bronco be setting for his team? Bronco, "Hmm... guys I think we should shoot for another two loss season or maybe we should shoot for just one loss. Actually since we were really lucky the past two years lets just shoot for a three loss season." Give me a break!

If you don't want a coach who's shooting for perfection and an undefeated season when his team has only lost 4 games in the past two years, then I'm sorry, but you're not a fan!

---

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by azcavalier - 2008/01/14 12:13

---

sjcougfan wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

gmj81 wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

I'm not saying BYU is going to be mediocre next year or anything of the sort... only that talk of a BCS berth is absurd given the fact that BYU should have lost to 6-7 UCLA twice this year, and got humbled by a C-USA team (Tulsa), which rang the D's bell for 55 points. BYU struggled mightily (twice) against the Pac-10's 5th place team AND got smoked through the air by the only two good, pure-passing QB's they faced all year (Smith and O'Connell). BYU could very well go undefeated in conference again, but Utah and TCU are going to be better, so that won't be easy and UCLA and Washington (both with highly touted recruiting classes for '08) will be two tough games to start the year with and for Bronco to act like they'll be no different than a MWC contest is just plain ludicrous. Had BYU been in a BCS bowl this year, the outcome would have humbled you guys and made you think twice about being able to compete with the LSU's and USC's of the world.

Wolfie, I honestly don't understand how you can say that we were lucky to escape the LV bowl with a win (which I somewhat agree with you about) but act as if BYU got annihilated in Pasadena. It could be argued that UCLA was lucky to escape that game. If one of those bad calls was different or one of the personal fouls on BYU didn't happen, the momentum would have completely swung in BYU's favor and they would have won. But regardless, both games ended the way they ended with players stepping up and preserving a win for their team (Davis and Manumaleuna).

Wolfie, I honestly don't understand how you can say that we were lucky to escape the LV bowl with a win

Please don't tell me you're serious? The Cougs are up 17-16 with :03 to play and UCLA is lined up to kick a short field goal for the win but one BYU player manages to get a hand on the ball, making the field goal no good and that's not a lucky win???:laugh:

Nope, there is nothing "lucky" about blocking a kick, it's all about effort and determination, you are wrong.... again.

It wasn't lucky, it was "MAGIC".B)

Two things:

One, if the quest isn't for perfection, then what should we shoot for? I don't remember Coach Mendenhall giving ANY promises or guarantees. He just said, "Join us in our quest for perfection." What would you have done if he had said, "Come join us in our quest for 9-4!" I have no problem with shooting for an undefeated season. Especially when we've come off back-to-back 11-2 seasons, and return almost everyone on offense, and will NOT be starting four walk-ons in the secondary.

Two, blocking a kick is not ALL luck, it's execution as well. Or you can call it desperation. Luck would have been if the snap had been messed up, or if a huge gust of wind came out of nowhere to blow the kick wide left. Also, UCLA was lucky to be close enough to try the FG for the win because, how often has Unga fumbled this season? And to fumble where he fumbled it, when he fumbled it?? What were the odds? And, if the DB had just tackled the UCLA TE who rumbled down to the 10 at the end there...if not for that boneheaded move, UCLA has no shot. No, they were lucky to be only down one, and to have made it that far.

---

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by craigscarson - 2008/01/14 12:24

---

This thread should be titled "Airwolfs quest for attention"

Just pathetic

---

---

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by bennyhaha - 2008/01/14 12:26

---

Florida had some really close games last year as well, and they were the national champions. Your logic really doesn't work.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by sjcougfan - 2008/01/14 12:27

---

craigoscarson wrote:

This thread should be titled "Airwolfs quest for attention"

Just pathetic

:laugh: So true.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by kenj - 2008/01/14 12:43

---

Squash the bug and let's get on with life. Go Cougs

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by PlayoffDude - 2008/01/14 12:45

---

Airwolf84 wrote:

In the MWC, it's certainly possible given the lack of good competition (except for Utah and TCU), but 12-0? :laugh: BYU was lucky they didn't end up with 4 losses this year, but next season, fans can look forward to "perfection"? Bronco is setting up expectations from fans that he won't be able to deliver. Talk about wishful thinking! Who is he kidding with all of this ridiculous talk about going undefeated next year with a BCS berth? Reality is that BYU only went up against two good, injury-free QB's all year (Paul Smith and Kevin O'Connell) and both times, got lit up. They were beaten early in the year by C-USA power Tulsa and a UCLA squad that got annihilated by Utah and the Cougs started 1-2, UNTIL... conference play, when they road became much easier with mediocrity as weekly opposition. Then, it took a minor miracle on 4th and 18 to overcome the Utes in Provo and they struggled to beat UCLA in the LV Bowl, even with the Bruins fielding a 4th string QB, where it took a blocked field goal to preserve a 1 point win, yet, Bronco and the BYU fan base are talking about perfection and busting the BCS next year??? Come on... get real already... enough with the nonsense!!

There are three games that concern me next year. I am optimistic, but have serious concerns.

My first real concern is that first game on September 6 at Huskies Stadium. The stadium seats 72K and is LOUD. It's a hard place to play. Washington went 4-9 this year, but beat Boise St. and Cal at home and was ahead of Ohio St. at half time, lost to USC by a field goal, was tied to Oregon (with Dixon) in the 4th quarter, and only lost to Arizona and Washington St. (rivalry game) by a touch down each, all at Huskies stadium. Also, Jake Locker is a great QB and will be much better next year. BYU has not started the season off well on the road. A win in Seattle will be a HUGE win for the Cougars.

50% chance to win.

Win or lose that game, the Cougars will be ready the next week for UCLA. It is the first home game of the year and the Cougs will be ready to play.

65% chance to win.

The schedule is fairly easy (depending on who we play to make up for the Nevada exodus) after that until November 8. We also get New Mexico and Wyoming at home, which is a great advantage. It doesn't really matter where we play Utah

---

St., UNLV, or SDSU, but we get them at home next year. Neither Air Force nor Colorado St. should pose much of a problem, so those road games aren't of any major concern.

On November 8, the Cougs travel to Texas for a matchup with TCU. That is a tough game. Fort Worth is a long road trip from Provo and a tough atmosphere.

50% chance to win.

The Utah rivalry game is always tough, regardless of how either team is doing. It is usually a toss up, but playing at Rice Chex stadium is definitely a minus for BYU.

40% chance to win.

Thus, the odds are in favor of BYU reaching 9 wins. The 3 games against Washington, TCU, and Utah will make or break the season and the Mountain West conference championship.

10% chance at 12-0

20% chance at 11-1

50% chance at 10-2

80% chance at 9-3

I think BYU will make the BCS with 11 wins. Two losses won't even ensure that conference championship, but should land us in the top 25 again. Three losses would almost certainly spell a second place finish in the MWC.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by ammoncougar1 - 2008/01/14 12:56

---

Did our engineer friend make up a new name? Who remembers his drivell about percentages a couple seasons back and it ended up 100% conference wins. Talk about defying odds. My guess is we'll be just fine next year. I'm thinking there is a 97.3% chance of it in fact.

Go Cougs!!! ;-)

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by PlayoffDude - 2008/01/14 13:06

---

BYU will have a much tougher row to hoe than Hawaii did this year or Boise St. did in '06 to go undefeated. We will have to beat two PAC 10 teams and play against much tougher conference competition in much tougher venues.

The point is, and I should have been a little more clear, if BYU does go undefeated next year, we should garner some serious national title game consideration. Even if we finish 11-1, we should be positioned for serious BCS consideration.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by sjcougfam - 2008/01/14 13:11

---

ammoncougar1 wrote:

Did our engineer friend make up a new name? Who remembers his drivell about percentages a couple seasons back and it ended up 100% conference wins. Talk about defying odds. My guess is we'll be just fine next year. I'm thinking there is a 97.3% chance of it in fact.

Go Cougs!!! ;-)

Ha ha ha, I remember that guy with all his 9/11 theories.

To quote Homer J Simpson "Oh, people can come up with statistics to prove anything, 14% of people know that."

---

=====

## Airwolf's quest for attention thread

Posted by CougarPeasant - 2008/01/14 13:13

---

So let it be written, so let it be done.

sjcougfan wrote:

craigoscarson wrote:

This thread should be titled "Airwolfs quest for attention"

Just pathetic

:laugh: So true.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Gymcoug318 - 2008/01/14 13:25

---

sjcougfan wrote:

ammoncougar1 wrote:

Did our engineer friend make up a new name? Who remembers his drivel about percentages a couple seasons back and it ended up 100% conference wins. Talk about defying odds. My guess is we'll be just fine next year. I'm thinking there is a 97.3% chance of it in fact.

Go Cougs!!! ;-)

Ha ha ha, I remember that guy with all his 9/11 theories.

To quote Homer J Simpson "Oh, people can come up with statistics to prove anything, 14% of people know that." Gotta love Homer!:laugh: :lol: :laugh: :lol: :laugh: :lol: :laugh:

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/14 16:34

---

You guys kill me (yeah, I know... "there's a thought!")

Please, answer this one question... do you believe BYU could have competed with the likes of USC, LSU, Ohio State or Oklahoma in a BCS bowl this year?

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by splitbamboo - 2008/01/14 16:41

---

Airwolf84 wrote:

You guys kill me (yeah, I know... "there's a thought!")

Please, answer this one question... do you believe BYU could have competed with the likes of USC, LSU, Ohio State or Oklahoma in a BCS bowl this year?

Where does a question like this go?

Stanford could play with USC, Arkansas with LSU, Illinois with OSU, and Colorado with Oklahoma. So yeah, BYU could have competed with the likes of each of these schools in a BCS bowl game this year.

---

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/14 16:49

---

splitbamboo wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

You guys kill me (yeah, I know... "there's a thought!")

Please, answer this one question... do you believe BYU could have competed with the likes of USC, LSU, Ohio State or Oklahoma in a BCS bowl this year?

Where does a question like this go?

Stanford could play with USC, Arkansas with LSU, Illinois with OSU, and Colorado with Oklahoma. So yeah, BYU could have competed with the likes of each of these schools in a BCS bowl game this year.

BYU would not be able to keep up on the scoreboard.

---

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by sjcougfan - 2008/01/14 16:52

---

Nope, BYU would destroy all of them.

Wow, throwing out crap that can't be proven is fun, I see why errorwolf does it so much now.:laugh:

---

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/14 16:54

---

sjcougfan wrote:

Nope, BYU would destroy all of them.

Wow, throwing out crap that can't be proven is fun, I see why errorwolf does it so much now.:laugh:

To anyone who thinks BYU could have competed in a BCS bowl this year, all I have to say is :laugh: .

---

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by craigoscarsen - 2008/01/14 17:04

---

Bowl games aren't played at the opposing teams field, nor do they give the opposing team 2 weeks to prepare for a home game while the away team only gets one. (convenient to forget those details eh?)

Only a child would pick the worst game a team has all year and suggest that because of that, that the other team is going to play their best or rather, not play their worst.

Every one of those teams lost to someone we could beat. Your logic, as usual, is terribly flawed.

Do I think we could beat USC? no....but if you're saying it's because we lost to Tulsa (who went 9-4 and dominated their bowl game), then you're going to have others pull out the Stanford card (who didn't finish .500)

Our quest for perfection next year is not a quest to be the '85 Bears or the '07 Patriots. It's to be the best BYU we can be, by winning all of our games. There isn't a USC or LSU on our schedule (of course there isn't, they'd never come to our place to play), every game we have is winnable. Our definition of perfect is to win all our games and let the chips fall where they may. I said before this season, I would be pleased with a conference championship and a 9-4 record; 11-2 was great.

Next season wouldn't be a failure if we went 11-2 but we certainly can win them all, and I don't see any reason that the

---

team and coaches aren't allowed to prepare to win them all. We certainly don't have to be perfect, but it's possible, as far as the W-L record goes.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Cougared - 2008/01/14 17:14

---

Why wouldn't USC come to Provo? They were here a few years ago and beat us. They went on the road last year to play and beat Nebraska and Notre Dame. I'm having a hard time believing Pete Carroll is afraid to bring his team to LES.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/14 17:18

---

craigoscarson wrote:

Bowl games aren't played at the opposing teams field, nor do they give the opposing team 2 weeks to prepare for a home game while the away team only gets one. (convenient to forget those details eh?)  
Only a child would pick the worst game a team has all year and suggest that because of that, that the other team is going to play their best or rather, not play their worst.  
Every one of those teams lost to someone we could beat. Your logic, as usual, is terribly flawed.

Do I think we could beat USC? no....but if you're saying it's because we lost to Tulsa (who went 9-4 and dominated their bowl game), then you're going to have others pull out the Stanford card (who didn't finish .500)

Our quest for perfection next year is not a quest to be the '85 Bears or the '07 Patriots. It's to be the best BYU we can be, by winning all of our games. There isn't a USC or LSU on our schedule (of course there isn't, they'd never come to our place to play), every game we have is winnable. Our definition of perfect is to win all our games and let the chips fall where they may. I said before this season, I would be pleased with a conference championship and a 9-4 record; 11-2 was great.

Next season wouldn't be a failure if we went 11-2 but we certainly can win them all, and I don't see any reason that the team and coaches aren't allowed to prepare to win them all. We certainly don't have to be perfect, but it's possible, as far as the W-L record goes.

Dang... you make some pretty good points in there. Nice, well thought- out post. The reason I am disagreeing with Bronco is because he was speaking to the Marriott Center crowd as if BYU was the next waiting to happen BCS-buster when they're not. Once the Cougs begin winning the majority of their games in convincing fashion and not struggling to beat the Pac 10's 5th best twice in one season, giving up 55 points to Tulsa or having to convert a 4th and 18 to beat the Utes in Provo, then you can start to talk. Until then... any BCS ramblings are just plain silly and for Bronco to surmise that perfection is within reach next year is just pandering to the fans and creating expectations that, once fail to materialize, will lead to disappointment among the BYU faithful.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by sjcougfan - 2008/01/14 17:25

---

Cougared wrote:

Why wouldn't USC come to Provo? They were here a few years ago and beat us. They went on the road last year to play and beat Nebraska and Notre Dame. I'm having a hard time believing Pete Carroll is afraid to bring his team to LES.

Because the MTN would have TV rights, and I'm guessing they would like their fans to actually be able to see the game.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by splitbamboo - 2008/01/14 17:30

---

Airwolf84 wrote:

---

any BCS ramblings are just plain silly and for Bronco to surmise that perfection is within reach next year is just pandering to the fans and creating expectations that, once fail to materialize, will lead to disappointment among the BYU faithful.

Oh brother. Let Coach Mendenhall earn his off-season pay and let the fans be fans. Talk about silly.

If BYU doesn't make it to the BCS next year, I may lose my testimony... Oh my.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by CougarPeasant - 2008/01/14 17:32

---

The game would be broadcast on CSTV or Versus, not the mtn. West coast and Texas schools like having BYU play knowing they will have a great turnout for the game. Playing in Provo is a nice trip because BYU fills the stands of one of the largest stadiums on the west coast.

Now, when Michigan and Ohio St. are bringing in \$6 million per game at home, you bet they ain't going out of conference unless they get comparable attendance on the road. Otherwise, it's just business to pay Utah to come to Ann Arbor and not schedule a return game in a 45k stadium.

I think it's time to reschedule USC for later next decade. Starting in 2014 or so.

sjcougfam wrote:

Cougared wrote:

Why wouldn't USC come to Provo? They were here a few years ago and beat us. They went on the road last year to play and beat Nebraska and Notre Dame. I'm having a hard time believing Pete Carroll is afraid to bring his team to LES.

Because the MTN would have TV rights, and I'm guessing they would like their fans to actually be able to see the game.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by CougarPeasant - 2008/01/14 17:46

---

Airwolf84 wrote:

Until then... any BCS ramblings are just plain silly and for Bronco to surmise that perfection is within reach next year is just pandering to the fans and creating expectations that, once fail to materialize, will lead to disappointment among the BYU faithful.

Brace yourself Airwolf, Santa Claus isn't real and neither is the Easter Bunny. Get real. Fans believe their teams will win, but know any give day they can actually lose. Thanks for trying to protect us from life.

I sure hope you don't perpetuate the myth of the tooth fairy, Santa Claus, and the Easter Bunny to your own kids so they won't be disappointed once they learn the truth.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/14 17:50

---

splitbamboo wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

any BCS ramblings are just plain silly and for Bronco to surmise that perfection is within reach next year is just pandering to the fans and creating expectations that, once fail to materialize, will lead to disappointment among the BYU faithful.

Oh brother. Let Coach Mendenhall earn his off-season pay and let the fans be fans. Talk about silly.

If BYU doesn't make it to the BCS next year, I may lose my testimony... Oh my.

---

I'd understand, after all... BYU football is a religion, just as much as the church is.:dry:

=====

**Re:Bronco's**

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/14 17:53

---

CougarPeasant wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

Until then... any BCS ramblings are just plain silly and for Bronco to surmise that perfection is within reach next year is just pandering to the fans and creating expectations that, once fail to materialize, will lead to disappointment among the BYU faithful.

Brace yourself Airwolf, Santa Claus isn't real and neither is the Easter Bunny. Get real. Fans believe their teams will win, but know any give day they can actually lose. Thanks for trying to protect us from life.

I sure hope you don't perpetuate the myth of the tooth fairy, Santa Claus, and the Easter Bunny to your own kids so they won't be disappointed once they learn the truth.

My kids actually figured it out for themselves... they aren't brain-dead sheep like a lot of you guys are. I'm 100% sure that most of the board members here probably just realized once they were at BYU that Santa Claus, the Easter Bunny and Tooth Fairy really don't exist. If you're just learning this for the first time, sorry to spoil your new year like this.

=====

**Re:Bronco's**

Posted by craigocarson - 2008/01/14 17:54

---

CougarPeasant wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

Until then... any BCS ramblings are just plain silly and for Bronco to surmise that perfection is within reach next year is just pandering to the fans and creating expectations that, once fail to materialize, will lead to disappointment among the BYU faithful.

Brace yourself Airwolf, Santa Claus isn't real and neither is the Easter Bunny. Get real. Fans believe their teams will win, but know any give day they can actually lose. Thanks for trying to protect us from life.

I sure hope you don't perpetuate the myth of the tooth fairy, Santa Claus, and the Easter Bunny to your own kids so they won't be disappointed once they learn the truth.

I wouldn't be so sure to assume that, he does in fact believe Donald Trump knows more about 911 than Rudy Guliani and that Ron Paul isn't nutty (if you don't think so, you missed the last debate). Santa Claus and the Easter Bunny couldn't be that hard to believe in.....

I wouldn't believe you if you told me that a middle aged man exists that obsesses about hot dogs, 80s bad television shows, and can't pull himself away from a forum all about a sports program that he despises. He sounds as cartoonish as the tooth fairy to me. Why would anyone waste their time?

=====

**Re:Bronco's**

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/14 17:57

---

craigocarson wrote:

CougarPeasant wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

Until then... any BCS ramblings are just plain silly and for Bronco to surmise that perfection is within reach next year is just pandering to the fans and creating expectations that, once fail to materialize, will lead to disappointment among the BYU faithful.

Brace yourself Airwolf, Santa Claus isn't real and neither is the Easter Bunny. Get real. Fans believe their teams will

---

win, but know any give day they can actually lose. Thanks for trying to protect us from life.

I sure hope you don't perpetuate the myth of the tooth fairy, Santa Claus, and the Easter Bunny to your own kids so they won't be disappointed once they learn the truth.

I wouldn't be so sure to assume that, he does in fact believe Donald Trump knows more about 911 than Rudy Guliani and that Ron Paul isn't nutty (if you don't think so, you missed the last debate). Santa Claus and the Easter Bunny couldn't be that hard to believe in.....

Ron Paul is the only hope this country has to survive.

---

## Airwolf's quest for attention thread

Posted by CougarPeasant - 2008/01/14 18:28

But you had them believing in Santa Claus etc. You were pandering to your kids and risked traumatizing them once they learned for themselves he didn't exist. The difference is Mendenhall is dealing with adults, not kids. And quit calling us fans names or insulting us as you do. I don't know of any brain-dead sheep on this board. I know of one brain-dead wolf, who comes in sheep's clothing.

Also, quit pissing in the kool-ade. We are FANS!!! This year's team has alot of returning talent, a great coaching staff, a good schedule and the chance to be extraordinary. I am looking forward to it.

Face it wolfie,  
Airwolf84 wrote:

My kids actually figured it out for themselves... they aren't brain-dead sheep like a lot of you guys are. I'm 100% sure that most of the board members here probably just realized once they were at BYU that Santa Claus, the Easter Bunny and Tooth Fairy really don't exist. If you're just learning this for the first time, sorry to spoil your new year like this.

---

## Re:Airwolf's quest for attention thread

Posted by Drwallawallabingbang - 2008/01/14 18:38

CougarPeasant wrote:

But you had them believing in Santa Claus etc. You were pandering to your kids and risked traumatizing them once they learned for themselves he didn't exist. The difference is Mendenhall is dealing with adults, not kids. And quit calling us fans names or insulting us as you do. I don't know of any brain-dead sheep on this board. I know of one brain-dead wolf, who comes in sheep's clothing.

Also, quit pissing in the kool-ade. We are FANS!!! This year's team has alot of returning talent, a great coaching staff, a good schedule and the chance to be extraordinary. I am looking forward to it.

Face it wolfie,  
Airwolf84 wrote:

My kids actually figured it out for themselves... they aren't brain-dead sheep like a lot of you guys are. I'm 100% sure that most of the board members here probably just realized once they were at BYU that Santa Claus, the Easter Bunny and Tooth Fairy really don't exist. If you're just learning this for the first time, sorry to spoil your new year like this. I guess no matter how many times the large dog bites, the smaller dog will ALWAYS bark, nip at heels and downright pester the larger dog. Dumb small dogs just never take the hint that they are not wanted and are just annoying as hell.

---

## Re:Bronco's \"quest for perfection\"

Posted by YBNORMAL - 2008/01/14 18:40

Wow, another Errorwolf thread based on nothingness. I must admit, it sucked me in at the beginning, I try not to respond to this dolt but sometimes I just can't help myself..... Sucks to be you errorwolf, I've said it many times, you're probably not the knucklehead you come off to be, it's just your methods man.... you truly make NO sense.....

"When you wrestle with pigs, you both get dirty, but the pig enjoys it."

---

## Re:Airwolf's quest for attention thread

Posted by lawman - 2008/01/14 18:40

---

Wolfie, that was uncalled for to blatantly name call like that. I think that despite the fact that we are fans here, many of us are open to thoughtful, reasoned arguments about both the strengths AND weaknesses of our team.

You can be counted on to point out the weaknesses. But to engage in name-calling like that is neither reasoned nor thoughtful--nor productive.

Besides, you even admitted in a recent post that you think we may have a good team next year...or was I mistaken (and should I re-post your quote)?

---

## Re:Airwolf's quest for attention thread

Posted by spaz - 2008/01/14 20:03

---

It never ceases to amaze me, during all my lurking, how easy it is for Airwolfe to take a fact (or make one up) out of context, and use it to 'prove' his arguement, thereby generating massive amounts of verbal diarrhea.

It doesn't matter if you point out the context of his arguement, thereby disproving his conclusion. It doesn't matter if you use his logic to prove the fallacies inherent in his own arguement. It doesn't matter if you use your own well-reasoned (or at least, better-reasoned, compared to his own) logic to show that he's wrong.

None of that will make him stop, or make him publicly change his mind.

Perhaps the best thing to do with these statements is to read them, laugh at the frail attempt at logic, and move on.

---

## Re:Airwolf \"quest for Attention"

Posted by rackem - 2008/01/14 20:21

---

I:P G:P N:P O:P R:P E

---

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by bostoncoug - 2008/01/14 21:50

---

Airwolf84 wrote:

craigoscarson wrote:

Bowl games aren't played at the opposing teams field, nor do they give the opposing team 2 weeks to prepare for a home game while the away team only gets one. (convenient to forget those details eh?)

Only a child would pick the worst game a team has all year and suggest that because of that, that the other team is going to play their best or rather, not play their worst.

Every one of those teams lost to someone we could beat. Your logic, as usual, is terribly flawed.

Do I think we could beat USC? no....but if you're saying it's because we lost to Tulsa (who went 9-4 and dominated their bowl game), then you're going to have others pull out the Stanford card (who didn't finish .500)

Our quest for perfection next year is not a quest to be the '85 Bears or the '07 Patriots. It's to be the best BYU we can be, by winning all of our games. There isn't a USC or LSU on our schedule (of course there isn't, they'd never come to our place to play), every game we have is winnable. Our definition of perfect is to win all our games and let the chips fall where they may. I said before this season, I would be pleased with a conference championship and a 9-4 record; 11-2 was great.

---

Next season wouldn't be a failure if we went 11-2 but we certainly can win them all, and I don't see any reason that the team and coaches aren't allowed to prepare to win them all. We certainly don't have to be perfect, but it's possible, as far as the W-L record goes.

Dang... you make some pretty good points in there. Nice, well thought- out post. The reason I am disagreeing with Bronco is because he was speaking to the Marriott Center crowd as if BYU was the next waiting to happen BCS-buster when they're not. Once the Cougs begin winning the majority of their games in convincing fashion and not struggling to beat the Pac 10's 5th best twice in one season, giving up 55 points to Tulsa or having to convert a 4th and 18 to beat the Utes in Provo, then you can start to talk. Until then... any BCS ramblings are just plain silly and for Bronco to surmise that perfection is within reach next year is just pandering to the fans and creating expectations that, once fail to materialize, will lead to disappointment among the BYU faithful.

Since when is Winning a majority of their games in convincing fashion a criteria for entrance into a BCS bowl? Hawaii had several close games this year, played against weaker competition and they WON all their games. That is what BYU must do next year to get into a BCS game. Easier said than done, no doubt, but NOWHERE is it written that they must win their games in convincing fashion. Besides, what do want Bronco to say, "Well shucks, we sure hope to win a game or two next year" Of course he's gonna want perfection. Didn't Coach Boone (remember the Titans) demand PERFECTION out of his players. What's the old saying, shoot for the stars, and if you miss you still have the moon. I love that Bronco has stated he is striving for perfection, makes me wonder what you are striving for wolfie, or what you have settled for?

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/15 15:10

---

bostoncoug wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

craigoscarson wrote:

Bowl games aren't played at the opposing teams field, nor do they give the opposing team 2 weeks to prepare for a home game while the away team only gets one. (convenient to forget those details eh?)

Only a child would pick the worst game a team has all year and suggest that because of that, that the other team is going to play their best or rather, not play their worst.

Every one of those teams lost to someone we could beat. Your logic, as usual, is terribly flawed.

Do I think we could beat USC? no....but if you're saying it's because we lost to Tulsa (who went 9-4 and dominated their bowl game), then you're going to have others pull out the Stanford card (who didn't finish .500)

Our quest for perfection next year is not a quest to be the '85 Bears or the '07 Patriots. It's to be the best BYU we can be, by winning all of our games. There isn't a USC or LSU on our schedule (of course there isn't, they'd never come to our place to play), every game we have is winnable. Our definition of perfect is to win all our games and let the chips fall where they may. I said before this season, I would be pleased with a conference championship and a 9-4 record; 11-2 was great.

Next season wouldn't be a failure if we went 11-2 but we certainly can win them all, and I don't see any reason that the team and coaches aren't allowed to prepare to win them all. We certainly don't have to be perfect, but it's possible, as far as the W-L record goes.

Dang... you make some pretty good points in there. Nice, well thought- out post. The reason I am disagreeing with Bronco is because he was speaking to the Marriott Center crowd as if BYU was the next waiting to happen BCS-buster when they're not. Once the Cougs begin winning the majority of their games in convincing fashion and not struggling to beat the Pac 10's 5th best twice in one season, giving up 55 points to Tulsa or having to convert a 4th and 18 to beat the Utes in Provo, then you can start to talk. Until then... any BCS ramblings are just plain silly and for Bronco to surmise that perfection is within reach next year is just pandering to the fans and creating expectations that, once fail to materialize, will lead to disappointment among the BYU faithful.

Since when is Winning a majority of their games in convincing fashion a criteria for entrance into a BCS bowl? Hawaii had several close games this year, played against weaker competition and they WON all their games. That is what BYU must do next year to get into a BCS game. Easier said than done, no doubt, but NOWHERE is it written that they must

---

win their games in convincing fashion. Besides, what do want Bronco to say, "Well shucks, we sure hope to win a game or two next year" Of course he's gonna want perfection. Didn't Coach Boone (remember the Titans) demand PERFECTION out of his players. What's the old saying, shoot for the stars, and if you miss you still have the moon. I love that Bronco has stated he is striving for perfection, makes me wonder what you are striving for wolfie, or what you have settled for?

I love that Bronco has stated he is striving for perfection, makes me wonder what you are striving for wolfie, or what you have settled for?

Truth and reality, that's all, not distortion of reality in an attempt to keep selling out LES six times a year. Allowing 55 points to Tulsa, succumbing to the Pac 10's 5th best in pre-season, beating 6 sub-par MWC teams, squeaking by TCU at home by 5 points, having to convert a 4th and 18 to beat Utah and blocking a last second field goal to defeat a 6-6 UCLA squad doesn't mean you're ready to compete in the BCS or go undefeated and for Bronco to actually say that to a Marriott Center crowd about the '08 campaign is just not being honest with yourself or fans.

---

### Re:Bronco's

Posted by domanater - 2008/01/15 15:28

---

You're a moron Airwolf, get lost and find a new hobby. Maybe you can spend some actualy time trolling a forum for a team you actually root for.

---

### Re:Bronco's

Posted by jhw5 - 2008/01/15 15:31

---

Is it my bad memory, or was UCLA playing for the PAC-10 crown up until their last conference game (L USC 24-7)?

Calling them the 5th best in the PAC-10 is unreasonable; there was essentially a 5-way tie (a la parity).

---

### Re:Bronco's

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/15 15:35

---

domanater wrote:

You're a moron Airwolf, get lost and find a new hobby. Maybe you can spend some actualy time trolling a forum for a team you actually root for.

<http://www.lunisea.com/school/Emulation/images/A%20child%20crying-NJ%201967.jpg>

---

### Re:Bronco's

Posted by rambo - 2008/01/15 15:36

---

You know, Airwolf, those are the same arguments that you've used like ten times already, and they have been addressed. No, BYU was not the best team in the country this year. That is why it is ranked #14 instead of #1, and every fan I know is happy with this outcome during a rebuilding year. Using the same arguments over and over again will not change our minds. Strict dogmatism is unfortunately a failing often present amongst the human race, but please don't demean us for sticking as strongly by our team as you choose to strongly rail against it.

Next year, we hope for a better finish, just like we always do. But consider this: If BYU goes to a BCS bowl game next year, it will be because they would be on a 22 game winning streak, with good wins over Arizona, Oregon, UCLA, Washington, TCU, Utah, Tulsa, New Mexico, and Air Force and close losses to Arizona (on the road), Cal (on the road), Boston College (on the road in double overtime), UCLA (at the Rose Bowl), and Tulsa (on the road) over the previous three seasons. Close games are inevitable for any team. Going to a BCS bowl game does not mean that you are necessarily a good team anyway. Just look at Pitt in 2004, Michigan and Notre Dame in '06, or Illinois and Hawaii this

---

year. Good teams get left out all the time, too. Oregon, Cal, ASU, and others I'm sure, have been shafted by the BCS system just BYU and TCU have been.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/15 15:40

---

rambo wrote:

You know, Airwolf, those are the same arguments that you've used like ten times already, and they have been addressed. No, BYU was not the best team in the country this year. That is why it is ranked #14 instead of #1, and every fan I know is happy with this outcome during a rebuilding year. Using the same arguments over and over again will not change our minds. Strict dogmatism is unfortunately a failing often present amongst the human race, but please don't demean us for sticking as strongly by our team as you choose to strongly rail against it.

Next year, we hope for a better finish, just like we always do. But consider this: If BYU goes to a BCS bowl game next year, it will be because they would be on a 22 game winning streak, with good wins over Arizona, Oregon, UCLA, Washington, TCU, Utah, Tulsa, New Mexico, and Air Force and close losses to Arizona (on the road), Cal (on the road), Boston College (on the road in double overtime), UCLA (at the Rose Bowl), and Tulsa (on the road) over the previous three seasons. Close games are inevitable for any team. Going to a BCS bowl game does not mean that you are necessarily a good team anyway. Just look at Pitt in 2004, Michigan and Notre Dame in '06, or Illinois and Hawaii this year. Good teams get left out all the time, too. Oregon, Cal, ASU, and others I'm sure, have been shafted by the BCS system just BYU and TCU have been.

You know, Airwolf, those are the same arguments that you've used like ten times already...

I know... I guess I'm consistent and persistent ay?

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by PlayoffDude - 2008/01/15 15:41

---

Airwolf84 wrote:

Truth and reality, that's all, not distortion of reality in an attempt to keep selling out LES six times a year.

At least the games are fun to watch.

Allowing 55 points to Tulsa, succumbing to the Pac 10's 5th best in pre-season, beating 6 sub-par MWC teams, squeaking by TCU at home by 5 points, having to convert a 4th and 18 to beat Utah and blocking a last second field goal to defeat a 6-6 UCLA squad doesn't mean you're ready to compete in the BCS or go undefeated

Yeah, it's called winning your conference, beating your rival, and finishing with an 11-2 record and a number 14 ranking. ???

Michigan finished's ranked 4 or 5 spots behind BYU.

Michigan lost to I-AA Appalachain State then was embarrassed by Oregon. I do not think that either of those losses compared in the slightest to BYU's close losses to UCLA and Tulsa. The Wolverines were also embarrassed at Wisconsin and had 91 total yards of offense against Ohio St. (who gave up 38 points to LSU).

Michigan also beat 5 subpar Big Ten teams and Notre Dame, squeaked by Penn St. at home by 5 points(4th quarter comebak, 330 yards of offense), and needed another 4th quarter comeback to beat Illinois.

You don't think that BYU could have competed against Michigan this year? You don't think BYU will be able to compete against the likes of Michigan with the improvements BYU has made over the course of this season and into next season?

BYU is also on the front track for top recruits in California and Florida over USC, UCLA, Florida, and South Carolina!

and for Bronco to actually say that to a Marriott Center crowd about the '08 campaign is just not being honest with

---

yourself or fans.

You are not being honest with yourself or Cougar fans.

=====

## Re:Bronco\'s "quest for perfection"

Posted by Ygridiron4ever - 2008/01/15 16:07

---

For the life of me I cant figure out why seemingly intelligent people continue to give so much energy to one poster who was offended by a mormon and has become fundamentally opposed to what many of us believe! BYU could go undefeated for three straight years, culminating in a National Championship, and he would still talk smack because he hates the church! Noone hear is going to convince him of anything postive about the core mission of BYU.

FYI: By his own admission his avatar comes from the television series. Here's the poop:

Airwolf is an American television series that ran from 1984 through 1987. The program concerned a supersonic military helicopter, code named Airwolf, and her crew as they undertook various missions, many involving espionage, with a Cold War theme.  
continued....

=====

## Re:Bronco\'s "quest for perfection"

Posted by Ygridiron4ever - 2008/01/15 16:08

---

continued since the cut a paste doesn't work:

The series protagonist is Stringfellow Hawke (played by Jan-Michael Vincent), a loner who lives in a cabin in the mountains, only accompanied by his Bluetick Coonhound, "Tet", and the surrounding wildlife. Hawke is a recluse, spending most of his time alone with his priceless collection of paintings, and serenading eagles with his equally priceless Stradivarius cello. His only real friend and mentor is the older, eternally cheerful Dominic Santini (Ernest Borgnine).

=====

## Re:Bronco\'s \"quest for perfection\"

Posted by Ygridiron4ever - 2008/01/15 16:14

---

So, by his own admission, Airwolfe's hero is a nut case who plays only with his dog and serenades eagles.

Airwolf, where are your kids and your wife? What brings you joy besides being a recluse and playing your cello? Seriously man, are you stuck in a cheap 1980's science fiction world? Jan Michael Vincent???? are you kidding me?

=====

## Re:Bronco\'s

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/15 16:51

---

Ygridiron4ever wrote:

So, by his own admission, Airwolfe's hero is a nut case who plays only with his dog and serenades eagles.

Airwolf, where are your kids and your wife? What brings you joy besides being a recluse and playing your cello? Seriously man, are you stuck in a cheap 1980's science fiction world? Jan Michael Vincent???? are you kidding me?

My love for the show has nothing to do with JMV... only the helicopter, the aerial footage and main theme. Personally, I detest JMV because he was the sole cause for the series' demise thanks to his drug abuse and binge drinking and increasing production costs didn't help either. Tell me... who of us wouldn't love to have their own kick-butt black and white helicopter hidden in a cave in southern Utah? Just think of the damage you guys could do to Rice-Eccles!;

---

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by splitbamboo - 2008/01/15 17:24

---

Airwolf84 wrote:

Tell me... who of us wouldn't love to have their own kick-butt black and white helicopter hidden in a cave in southern Utah? Just think of the damage you guys could do to Rice-Eccles!;

Don't need a helicopter to do damage in RES--we've got the BYU football team to do that!

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by vancouvercougar - 2008/01/15 17:41

---

splitbamboo wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

Tell me... who of us wouldn't love to have their own kick-butt black and white helicopter hidden in a cave in southern Utah? Just think of the damage you guys could do to Rice-Eccles!;

Don't need a helicopter to do damage in RES--we've got the BYU football team to do that!

I love it Splitbamboo!! karma to you.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/16 10:28

---

You guys would love this series (I think)... most of you on this board were probably born 1-2 years after it was cancelled by CBS... this mid '80's action-adventure show was great!

<http://www.dvdverdict.com/images/covers/airwolfseason1.jpg><http://www.dvdverdict.com/images/covers/airwolfseason2.jpg>  
<http://www.dvdverdict.com/images/covers/airwolfseason3.jpg>  
<http://www.rotaryaction.com/images/airwolf2.jpg>

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Yndian - 2008/01/16 10:53

---

Airwolf84 wrote:

You guys would love this series (I think)... most of you on this board were probably born 1-2 years after it was cancelled by CBS... this mid '80's action-adventure show was great!

<http://www.dvdverdict.com/images/covers/airwolfseason1.jpg><http://www.dvdverdict.com/images/covers/airwolfseason2.jpg>  
<http://www.dvdverdict.com/images/covers/airwolfseason3.jpg>  
<http://www.rotaryaction.com/images/airwolf2.jpg>

I'm only 24, and I remember it as being one of my favorite shows when I was little, but maybe I cut the syndicated reruns. It was pretty sweet, and I can still hum you the theme song.

=====

## Re:Bronco's \"quest for perfection\"

Posted by Ball4 - 2008/01/16 11:11

---

Listening to Airwolf talk is like having the new diet surgery where they surgically tie your throat to your rectum. It just goes IN AND OUT!:laugh:

---

=====

## Re:Bronco\'s

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/16 11:15

---

Yndian wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

You guys would love this series (I think)... most of you on this board were probably born 1-2 years after it was cancelled by CBS... this mid '80's action-adventure show was great!

<http://www.dvdverdict.com/images/covers/airwolfseason1.jpg>[http://www.dvdverdict.com/images/covers/airwolfseason2.jp](http://www.dvdverdict.com/images/covers/airwolfseason2.jpg)  
[ghhttp://www.dvdverdict.com/images/covers/airwolfseason3.jpg](http://www.dvdverdict.com/images/covers/airwolfseason3.jpg)  
<http://www.rotaryaction.com/images/airwolf2.jpg>

I'm only 24, and I remember it as being one of my favorite shows when I was little, but maybe I cut the syndicated reruns. It was pretty sweet, and I can still hum you the theme song.

Cool. It's been fun for me to watch the series again on DVD because the digital picture and sound quality is so much more superior compared to when it was on TV. The only thing that irks me about the show is how they'll use the same helicopters exploding in different episodes after battle sequences and the same mid-air explosions for sunburst deploys. Battlestar Galactica did the same thing, but it had to be done that way to keep budgets in tact. If you can get past the repetitive footage being used in many of the episodes, it's a great hour of entertainment and the best guy show ever made.

---

=====

## Re:Bronco\'s

Posted by snoscythe - 2008/01/16 11:18

---

Airwolf84 wrote:

it's a great hour of entertainment and the best guy show ever made.

Pshaw---you dare denigrate MacGyver and/or Charlies' Angels??? Now I have heard it all from Airwolf.

---

=====

## Re:Bronco\'s

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/16 12:01

---

snoscythe wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

it's a great hour of entertainment and the best guy show ever made.

Pshaw---you dare denigrate MacGyver and/or Charlies' Angels??? Now I have heard it all from Airwolf.

MacGyver was also a classic. But Charlie's Angels? Are you serious? Ah yes, nothing like taking three female models and slapping a detective label on them in order to produce a show so guys can drool for an hour. I guess if you're one of those who needs a hormonal jolt by looking at female models, it's the show for you. Other than that...:P :laugh: .

---

=====

## Re:Bronco\'s

Posted by Uncle Iroh - 2008/01/16 12:23

---

Airwolf84 wrote:

snoscythe wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

it's a great hour of entertainment and the best guy show ever made.

---

Pshaw---you dare denigrate MacGyver and/or Charlies' Angels??? Now I have heard it all from Airwolf.

MacGyver was also a classic. But Charlie's Angels? Are you serious? Ah yes, nothing like taking three female models and slapping a detective label on them in order to produce a show so guys can drool for an hour. I guess if you're one of those who needs a hormonal jolt by looking at female models, it's the show for you. Other than that...:P :laugh: .

I loved all those shows. I was five and remember watching Charlies' Angels and being totally infatuated with Cheryl Ladd. ( I don't really remember the Farah days). And I loved Airwolf, Stringfellow Hawk. That is a cool name. Michael Archangel, Dominic Santini. It was the coolest show. It's too bad how it ended. The "New" Airwolf sucked with Barry VanDyke. I love talking classic TV. If this is what all your posts were about Airwolf, and not complaining about having to drop a dime at LES, it would be a happier world. :)

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by domanater - 2008/01/16 12:50

---

Airwolf84 wrote:

Yndian wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

You guys would love this series (I think)... most of you on this board were probably born 1-2 years after it was cancelled by CBS... this mid '80's action-adventure show was great!

<http://www.dvdverdict.com/images/covers/airwolfseason1.jpg><http://www.dvdverdict.com/images/covers/airwolfseason2.jpg>  
<http://www.dvdverdict.com/images/covers/airwolfseason3.jpg>  
<http://www.rotaryaction.com/images/airwolf2.jpg>

I'm only 24, and I remember it as being one of my favorite shows when I was little, but maybe I cut the syndicated reruns. It was pretty sweet, and I can still hum you the theme song.

Cool. It's been fun for me to watch the series again on DVD because the digital picture and sound quality is so much more superior compared to when it was on TV. The only thing that irks me about the show is how they'll use the same helicopters exploding in different episodes after battle sequences and the same mid-air explosions for sunburst deploys. Battlestar Galactica did the same thing, but it had to be done that way to keep budgets in tact. If you can get past the repetitive footage being used in many of the episodes, it's a great hour of entertainment and the best guy show ever made.

Airwolf never even cracked the top 25 rated shows for any given year. <http://eightiesclub.tripod.com/id167.htm>

Every Airwolf episode was the same. Problem arises, problem requires an advanced fighting machine, airwolf comes to the rescue, airwolf hides from the authorities or not. The writing was absolutely terrible. The characters were static and boring.

Example: The Horn of Plenty (9.28.85):

When a master criminal wants to take over a small country, he plans to use a hypnotized Hawke to deliver Airwolf to him

The best shows in that decade were 1. MASH 2. Cheers 3. Moonlighting 4. Magnum PI 5. The A team 6. The Cosby Show 7. MacGyver

MASH and Cheers are the only 2 shows that will stand the test of time.

Btw - the same year that the show "Airwolf" went on the air, a certain Cougar football team won the national championship. So much for telling any of us that we can't live in the past? Eh, 1984 crappy helicopter show fan?

Now for the best television series ever? I'm not even sure anyone on this forum has seen it, but The Wire on HBO is hands down the best show I've ever seen, writing, story, realism, acting....you name it.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by craigscarson - 2008/01/16 13:04

---

<http://www.time.com/time/magazine/article/0,9171,1570781,00.html>

---

<http://www.slate.com/id/2149566/>

You're not the only one that thinks that The Wire is the best television show ever. Add me to the list. It's fairly PG-13 rated, but it's far and away the most amazing work of art that television has ever seen. It's a great police drama, but under that superficial portion, it's an extremely vivid portrayal of the inner-city, urban politics, etc. It's really education and entertainment.

Maybe if more of us could empathize with that slice of the country, they'd understand the difficulty that some non-LDS athletes coming to BYU have. Utah County certainly lives in a relative bubble.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by imuakahuku - 2008/01/16 13:25

---

I have to give the vote to MASH, Cheers, Seinfeld, Firefly, and Friends (the early years - Ross got to be TOO annoying and the show went downhill). MASH was great start to finish, while Cheers and Seinfeld got better with age. I saw the movie Serenity, found out it was based on the sci-fi show firefly and have enjoyed watching the reruns. I just wish the girl kicked butt the whole series. Nowadays I watch very little TV except for sports and some learning channels.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by snoscythe - 2008/01/16 13:28

---

Arrested Development.

That is all.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Hawkwing - 2008/01/16 13:41

---

snoscythe wrote:  
Arrested Development.

That is all.

Arrested Development, may it rest in peace, was awesome.

I really think this may be the best television... (minus of course the loss of Arrested Development and the stupid writers strike).

We have,  
The Office (the American version is way more funny than the British)  
Friday Night Lights  
24  
House  
The Unit  
And as AW said, a show full of hot models for that jolt of hormones  
Las Vegas

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by kiwibacon - 2008/01/16 13:53

---

Heroes...that is all I can add.

---

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Hawkwing - 2008/01/16 13:56

---

kiwibacon wrote:  
Heroes...that is all I can add.

I debated adding heroes, but season 2 (as much of it as there was) was a lot weaker than season 1.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/16 14:28

---

Uncle Iroh wrote:  
Airwolf84 wrote:  
snoscythe wrote:  
Airwolf84 wrote:  
it's a great hour of entertainment and the best guy show ever made.

Pshaw---you dare denigrate MacGyver and/or Charlies' Angels??? Now I have heard it all from Airwolf.

MacGyver was also a classic. But Charlie's Angels? Are you serious? Ah yes, nothing like taking three female models and slapping a detective label on them in order to produce a show so guys can drool for an hour. I guess if you're one of those who needs a hormonal jolt by looking at female models, it's the show for you. Other than that...:P :laugh: .

I loved all those shows. I was five and remember watching Charlies' Angels and being totally infatuated with Cheryl Ladd. ( I don't really remember the Farah days). And I loved Airwolf, Stringfellow Hawk. That is a cool name. Michael Archangel, Dominic Santini. It was the coolest show. It's too bad how it ended. The "New" Airwolf sucked with Barry VanDyke. I love talking classic TV. If this is what all your posts were about Airwolf, and not complaining about having to drop a dime at LES, it would be a happier world. :)

I thought the episodes in the 4th season of Airwolf (1987) were far better written than those in the 2nd half of season 2 and most of season 3 of the original CBS series, but as far as special effects, aerial combat sequences and all of that... horrnedous. All they did was re-use stock footage from '84-'86 because the actual helicopter (a Bell 222) was sold to Germany in late '86 and then in June, 1991, crashed in a thunderstorm trying to get patients to a hospital. I thought Barry Van Dyke did a fine job as Sinjin and felt like the acting was good overall by all 4 cast members, but man... the special effects looked like something from a home video... atrocious, but on a shoestring budget, the USA Network only had so much to work with. What I really liked about season 4 was the music. The episodic scores were great... it's too bad another network or show didn't pick them up after final episode #80. I'm glad we share a similar interest here Uncle. I don't know how much of the show you remember (unless you've seen the new DVD's of seasons 1-3), but what was your favorite Airwolf episode?

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by craigocarson - 2008/01/16 14:31

---

Airwolf84 wrote:  
Uncle Iroh wrote:  
Airwolf84 wrote:  
snoscythe wrote:  
Airwolf84 wrote:  
it's a great hour of entertainment and the best guy show ever made.

Pshaw---you dare denigrate MacGyver and/or Charlies' Angels??? Now I have heard it all from Airwolf.

MacGyver was also a classic. But Charlie's Angels? Are you serious? Ah yes, nothing like taking three female models

---

and slapping a detective label on them in order to produce a show so guys can drool for an hour. I guess if you're one of those who needs a hormonal jolt by looking at female models, it's the show for you. Other than that...:P :laugh: .

I loved all those shows. I was five and remember watching Charlies' Angels and being totally infatuated with Cheryl Ladd. ( I don't really remember the Farah days). And I loved Airwolf, Stringfellow Hawk. That is a cool name. Michael Archangel, Dominic Santini. It was the coolest show. It's too bad how it ended. The "New" Airwolf sucked with Barry VanDyke. I love talking classic TV. If this is what all your posts were about Airwolf, and not complaining about having to drop a dime at LES, it would be a happier world. :)

I thought the episodes in the 4th season of Airwolf (1987) were far better written than those in the 2nd half of season 2 and most of season 3 of the original CBS series, but as far as special effects, aerial combat sequences and all of that... hornedous. All they did was re-use stock footage from '84-'86 because the actual helicopter (a Bell 222) was sold to Germany in late '86 and then in June, 1991, crashed in a thunderstorm trying to get patients to a hospital. I thought Barry Van Dyke did a fine job as Sinjin and felt like the acting was good overall by all 4 cast members, but man... the special effects looked like something from a home video... atrocious, but on a shoestring budget, the USA Network only had so much to work with. What I really liked about season 4 was the music. The episodic scores were great... it's too bad another network or show didn't pick them up after final episode #80. I'm glad we share a similar interest here Uncle. I don't know how much of the show you remember (unless you've seen the new DVD's of seasons 1-3), but what was your favorite Airwolf episode?

The final one.....

=====

### Re:Bronco's

Posted by splitbamboo - 2008/01/16 14:41

---

I think it's time we change this thread title to I love Airwolf.

That is until we get back to talking BYU football and we are stuck listening to AW's drivel...

=====

### Re:Bronco's

Posted by Uncle Iroh - 2008/01/16 15:16

---

splitbamboo wrote:

I think it's time we change this thread title to I love Airwolf.

That is until we get back to talking BYU football and we are stuck listening to AW's drivel...

It's only drivel to those who don't appreciate the "Classics"

=====

### Re:Bronco's

Posted by Uncle Iroh - 2008/01/16 15:20

---

Airwolf84 wrote:

Uncle Iroh wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

snoscythe wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

it's a great hour of entertainment and the best guy show ever made.

Pshaw---you dare denigrate MacGyver and/or Charlies' Angels??? Now I have heard it all from Airwolf.

MacGyver was also a classic. But Charlie's Angels? Are you serious? Ah yes, nothing like taking three female models and slapping a detective label on them in order to produce a show so guys can drool for an hour. I guess if you're one of those who needs a hormonal jolt by looking at female models, it's the show for you. Other than that...:P :laugh: .

I loved all those shows. I was five and remember watching Charlies' Angels and being totally infatuated with Cheryl Ladd. ( I don't really remember the Farah days). And I loved Airwolf, Stringfellow Hawk. That is a cool name. Michael Archangel, Dominic Santini. It was the coolest show. It's too bad how it ended. The "New" Airwolf sucked with Barry VanDyke. I love talking classic TV. If this is what all your posts were about Airwolf, and not complaining about having to drop a dime at LES, it would be a happier world. :)

I thought the episodes in the 4th season of Airwolf (1987) were far better written than those in the 2nd half of season 2 and most of season 3 of the original CBS series, but as far as special effects, aerial combat sequences and all of that... horrendous. All they did was re-use stock footage from '84-'86 because the actual helicopter (a Bell 222) was sold to Germany in late '86 and then in June, 1991, crashed in a thunderstorm trying to get patients to a hospital. I thought Barry Van Dyke did a fine job as Sinjin and felt like the acting was good overall by all 4 cast members, but man... the special effects looked like something from a home video... atrocious, but on a shoestring budget, the USA Network only had so much to work with. What I really liked about season 4 was the music. The episodic scores were great... it's too bad another network or show didn't pick them up after final episode #80. I'm glad we share a similar interest here Uncle. I don't know how much of the show you remember (unless you've seen the new DVD's of seasons 1-3), but what was your favorite Airwolf episode?

My memory has muddled them all together, and I don't own them on DVD. But I loved the pilot. That is still one of the coolest pilots I can remember. I'm sorry that the rest of the board can't chill for a while from all the "football" talk and appreciate some nostalgia.

I do have to say that I will have to make this a journal entry as the day I accomplished one of my New Year's Resolutions,..... to have civil dialogue with Airwolf.

---

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/16 15:42

domanater wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

Yndian wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

You guys would love this series (I think)... most of you on this board were probably born 1-2 years after it was cancelled by CBS... this mid '80's action-adventure show was great!

<http://www.dvdverdict.com/images/covers/airwolfseason1.jpg><http://www.dvdverdict.com/images/covers/airwolfseason2.jpg>  
<http://www.dvdverdict.com/images/covers/airwolfseason3.jpg>  
<http://www.rotaryaction.com/images/airwolf2.jpg>

I'm only 24, and I remember it as being one of my favorite shows when I was little, but maybe I cut the syndicated reruns. It was pretty sweet, and I can still hum you the theme song.

Cool. It's been fun for me to watch the series again on DVD because the digital picture and sound quality is so much more superior compared to when it was on TV. The only thing that irks me about the show is how they'll use the same helicopters exploding in different episodes after battle sequences and the same mid-air explosions for sunburst deploys. Battlestar Galactica did the same thing, but it had to be done that way to keep budgets in tact. If you can get past the repetitive footage being used in many of the episodes, it's a great hour of entertainment and the best guy show ever made.

Airwolf never even cracked the top 25 rated shows for any given year. <http://eightiesclub.tripod.com/id167.htm>

Every Airwolf episode was the same. Problem arises, problem requires an advanced fighting machine, airwolf comes to the rescue, airwolf hides from the authorities or not. The writing was absolutely terrible. The characters were static and boring.

Example: The Horn of Plenty (9.28.85):

When a master criminal wants to take over a small country, he plans to use a hypnotized Hawke to deliver Airwolf to him

The best shows in that decade were 1. MASH 2. Cheers 3. Moonlighting 4. Magnum PI 5. The A team 6. The Cosby Show 7. MacGyver

MASH and Cheers are the only 2 shows that will stand the test of time.

---

Btw - the same year that the show "Airwolf" went on the air, a certain Cougar football team won the national championship. So much for telling any of us that we can't live in the past? Eh, 1984 crappy helicopter show fan?

Now for the best television series ever? I'm not even sure anyone on this forum has seen it, but The Wire on HBO is hands down the best show I've ever seen, writing, story, realism, acting....you name it.

True, Airwolf never did crack the top 25, but consider why... it was on Saturdays at 8:00 PM on KSL and had to compete against shows like the Love Boat or Fantasy Island (gag me), programs loved by women and in a home with one TV, who's going to win... the husband or the wife? Airwolf did manage to rank consistently in the top 50 though, which isn't bad considering its time slot and competition from the other gender. If you rank in the top 50, you're going to last for at least 3-4 seasons, which Airwolf did. It would have lasted longer, but Don Bellisario left the show halfway through season 2 because CBS wanted it to become more family oriented instead of the foreign/espionage stories that made it so appealing in the first place, and as a result, the quality of the episodes slowly went downhill, except for gems like "Airwolf II" and "Annie Oakley". Throw in Jan-Michael Vincent's drug abuse and binge drinking with increasing production costs and you had a recipe for cancellation. Season 1 was by far the best, as were the first 3 eps of season 2 ("Sweet Britches", "Firestorm" and "Moffett's Ghost"). Annie Oakley is my 2nd favorite, next to Sweet Britches... that one was awesome!! MASH is also one of my all-time favorite shows... I can almost quote every line from every episode! It's one of those old shows you never get tired of.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/16 15:52

---

craigoscarson wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

Uncle Iroh wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

snoscythe wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

it's a great hour of entertainment and the best guy show ever made.

Pshaw---you dare denigrate MacGyver and/or Charlies' Angels??? Now I have heard it all from Airwolf.

MacGyver was also a classic. But Charlie's Angels? Are you serious? Ah yes, nothing like taking three female models and slapping a detective label on them in order to produce a show so guys can drool for an hour. I guess if you're one of those who needs a hormonal jolt by looking at female models, it's the show for you. Other than that...:P :laugh: .

I loved all those shows. I was five and remember watching Charlies' Angels and being totally infatuated with Cheryl Ladd. ( I don't really remember the Farah days). And I loved Airwolf, Stringfellow Hawk. That is a cool name. Michael Archangel, Dominic Santini. It was the coolest show. It's too bad how it ended. The "New" Airwolf sucked with Barry VanDyke. I love talking classic TV. If this is what all your posts were about Airwolf, and not complaining about having to drop a dime at LES, it would be a happier world. :)

I thought the episodes in the 4th season of Airwolf (1987) were far better written than those in the 2nd half of season 2 and most of season 3 of the original CBS series, but as far as special effects, aerial combat sequences and all of that... horrnedous. All they did was re-use stock footage from '84-'86 because the actual helicopter (a Bell 222) was sold to Germany in late '86 and then in June, 1991, crashed in a thunderstorm trying to get patients to a hospital. I thought Barry Van Dyke did a fine job as Sinjin and felt like the acting was good overall by all 4 cast members, but man... the special effects looked like something from a home video... atrocious, but on a shoestring budget, the USA Network only had so much to work with. What I really liked about season 4 was the music. The episodic scores were great... it's too bad another network or show didn't pick them up after final episode #80. I'm glad we share a similar interest here Uncle. I don't know how much of the show you remember (unless you've seen the new DVD's of seasons 1-3), but what was your favorite Airwolf episode?

The final one.....

OK, is this a shot at me or are you serious? "Birds of Paradise"? That is one of the worst eps of the whole series or are you talking about "Welcome to Paradise", the last ep of season 4? I highly doubt that is your fave.

=====

---

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/16 15:53

---

Uncle Iroh wrote:

splitbamboo wrote:

I think it's time we change this thread title to I love Airwolf.

That is until we get back to talking BYU football and we are stuck listening to AW's drivel...

It's only drivel to those who don't appreciate the "Classics"

Dang right!B)

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/16 16:00

---

Uncle Iroh wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

Uncle Iroh wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

snoscythe wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

it's a great hour of entertainment and the best guy show ever made.

Pshaw---you dare denigrate MacGyver and/or Charlies' Angels??? Now I have heard it all from Airwolf.

MacGyver was also a classic. But Charlie's Angels? Are you serious? Ah yes, nothing like taking three female models and slapping a detective label on them in order to produce a show so guys can drool for an hour. I guess if you're one of those who needs a hormonal jolt by looking at female models, it's the show for you. Other than that...:P :laugh: .

I loved all those shows. I was five and remember watching Charlies' Angels and being totally infatuated with Cheryl Ladd. ( I don't really remember the Farah days). And I loved Airwolf, Stringfellow Hawk. That is a cool name. Michael Archangel, Dominic Santini. It was the coolest show. It's too bad how it ended. The "New" Airwolf sucked with Barry VanDyke. I love talking classic TV. If this is what all your posts were about Airwolf, and not complaining about having to drop a dime at LES, it would be a happier world. :)

I thought the episodes in the 4th season of Airwolf (1987) were far better written than those in the 2nd half of season 2 and most of season 3 of the original CBS series, but as far as special effects, aerial combat sequences and all of that... hornedous. All they did was re-use stock footage from '84-'86 because the actual helicopter (a Bell 222) was sold to Germany in late '86 and then in June, 1991, crashed in a thunderstorm trying to get patients to a hospital. I thought Barry Van Dyke did a fine job as Sinjin and felt like the acting was good overall by all 4 cast members, but man... the special effects looked like something from a home video... atrocious, but on a shoestring budget, the USA Network only had so much to work with. What I really liked about season 4 was the music. The episodic scores were great... it's too bad another network or show didn't pick them up after final episode #80. I'm glad we share a similar interest here Uncle. I don't know how much of the show you remember (unless you've seen the new DVD's of seasons 1-3), but what was your favorite Airwolf episode?

My memory has muddled them all together, and I don't own them on DVD. But I loved the pilot. That is still one of the coolest pilots I can remember. I'm sorry that the rest of the board can't chill for a while from all the "football" talk and appreciate some nostalgia.

I do have to say that I will have to make this a journal entry as the day I accomplished one of my New Year's Resolutions,..... to have civil dialogue with Airwolf.

You know... to be honest, I never really cared for the pilot. I thought they could have done a much better job with the plot and storyline than they did, but oh well... I love the part where Hawke and Dom manage to commandeer 'the Lady' and then they just level Gahdafi's palace and compound! The ending was also suspenseful, with Moffett staring down Airwolf in the desert with his pistol aimed at the re-fueling intake, before Hawke fires a missile and wastes him. I guess torturing

---

your girlfriend in the desert will piss you off enough to do that. Yeah, I wish the other guys would just chill, take a break from football and enjoy some classic TV talk. As for your last comment... ;) ... happy to oblige.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Uncle Iroh - 2008/01/16 16:04

---

Airwolf84 wrote:  
Uncle Iroh wrote:  
Airwolf84 wrote:  
Uncle Iroh wrote:  
Airwolf84 wrote:  
snoscythe wrote:  
Airwolf84 wrote:  
it's a great hour of entertainment and the best guy show ever made.

Pshaw---you dare denigrate MacGyver and/or Charlies' Angels??? Now I have heard it all from Airwolf.

MacGyver was also a classic. But Charlie's Angels? Are you serious? Ah yes, nothing like taking three female models and slapping a detective label on them in order to produce a show so guys can drool for an hour. I guess if you're one of those who needs a hormonal jolt by looking at female models, it's the show for you. Other than that...:P :laugh: .

I loved all those shows. I was five and remember watching Charlies' Angels and being totally infatuated with Cheryl Ladd. ( I don't really remember the Farah days). And I loved Airwolf, Stringfellow Hawk. That is a cool name. Michael Archangel, Dominic Santini. It was the coolest show. It's too bad how it ended. The "New" Airwolf sucked with Barry VanDyke. I love talking classic TV. If this is what all your posts were about Airwolf, and not complaining about having to drop a dime at LES, it would be a happier world. :)

I thought the episodes in the 4th season of Airwolf (1987) were far better written than those in the 2nd half of season 2 and most of season 3 of the original CBS series, but as far as special effects, aerial combat sequences and all of that... horrnedous. All they did was re-use stock footage from '84-'86 because the actual helicopter (a Bell 222) was sold to Germany in late '86 and then in June, 1991, crashed in a thunderstorm trying to get patients to a hospital. I thought Barry Van Dyke did a fine job as Sinjin and felt like the acting was good overall by all 4 cast members, but man... the special effects looked like something from a home video... atrocious, but on a shoestring budget, the USA Network only had so much to work with. What I really liked about season 4 was the music. The episodic scores were great... it's too bad another network or show didn't pick them up after final episode #80. I'm glad we share a similar interest here Uncle. I don't know how much of the show you remember (unless you've seen the new DVD's of seasons 1-3), but what was your favorite Airwolf episode?

My memory has muddled them all together, and I don't own them on DVD. But I loved the pilot. That is still one of the coolest pilots I can remember. I'm sorry that the rest of the board can't chill for a while from all the "football" talk and appreciate some nostalgia.

I do have to say that I will have to make this a journal entry as the day I accomplished one of my New Year's Resolutions,..... to have civil dialogue with Airwolf.

You know... to be honest, I never really cared for the pilot. I thought they could have done a much better job with the plot and storyline than they did, but oh well... I love the part where Hawke and Dom manage to steal and take off in 'the Lady' and then they just level Gahdafi's palace and compound! The ending was also suspenseful, with Moffett staring down Airwolf in the desert with his pistol aimed at the re-fueling intake, before Hawke fires a missile and wastes him. I guess torturing your girlfriend in the desert will piss you off enough to do that. Yeah, I wish the other guys would just chill, take a break from football and enjoy some classic TV talk. As for your last comment... ;) ... happy to oblige.

I was only eight when the pilot ran. And to an eight year old who wanted to be a chopper pilot, that was a dream come true. I loved that show so much, I forgave Jan Michael Vincent for some horrible movies he made, just because he was Stringfellow Freakin' Hawk.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Aw come on. The best show on TV (excluding MASH) was Gilligan's Island. Hands down a classic that is missed today. Too bad it can't be found anymore.

=====

## Re:Bronco\'s "quest for perfection"

Posted by Ygridiron4ever - 2008/01/16 16:20

---

Someone, please wake me up! I'm stuck in this dream where a bunch of trekky nerds are at a convention spewing lines from episode 113, "Daises is the gamma nebula" - but wait, its not Star Trek, its....its...almost got it...yeah..ITS AIRWOLF?!?!?

So I'm stuck; A few pages back in this thread I brought up the topic of a TV show to try and take energy away from a certain poster's disdain for BYU. And it worked - but I think I might be sorry!

But just for kicks - Airwolfe I have a suggestion: If you don't like JMV and blame him for the fall of your show, then get rid of his picture as your Avatar - put up the actually helicopter!  
And Uncle Iroh - I have to say that the animated series "Avater: the last Airbender" is a great show I enjoy watching with my kids and we have every episode that is available in DVD.

=====

## Re:Bronco\'s

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/16 16:35

---

Uncle Iroh wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

Uncle Iroh wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

Uncle Iroh wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

snoscythe wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

it's a great hour of entertainment and the best guy show ever made.

Pshaw---you dare denigrate MacGyver and/or Charlies' Angels??? Now I have heard it all from Airwolf.

MacGyver was also a classic. But Charlie's Angels? Are you serious? Ah yes, nothing like taking three female models and slapping a detective label on them in order to produce a show so guys can drool for an hour. I guess if you're one of those who needs a hormonal jolt by looking at female models, it's the show for you. Other than that...P :laugh: .

I loved all those shows. I was five and remember watching Charlies' Angels and being totally infatuated with Cheryl Ladd. ( I don't really remember the Farah days). And I loved Airwolf, Stringfellow Hawk. That is a cool name. Michael Archangel, Dominic Santini. It was the coolest show. It's too bad how it ended. The "New" Airwolf sucked with Barry VanDyke. I love talking classic TV. If this is what all your posts were about Airwolf, and not complaining about having to drop a dime at LES, it would be a happier world. :)

I thought the episodes in the 4th season of Airwolf (1987) were far better written than those in the 2nd half of season 2 and most of season 3 of the original CBS series, but as far as special effects, aerial combat sequences and all of that... horrnedous. All they did was re-use stock footage from '84-'86 because the actual helicopter (a Bell 222) was sold to Germany in late '86 and then in June, 1991, crashed in a thunderstorm trying to get patients to a hospital. I thought Barry Van Dyke did a fine job as Sinjin and felt like the acting was good overall by all 4 cast members, but man... the special effects looked like something from a home video... atrocious, but on a shoestring budget, the USA Network only had so much to work with. What I really liked about season 4 was the music. The episodic scores were great... it's too bad another network or show didn't pick them up after final episode #80. I'm glad we share a similar interest here Uncle. I don't know how much of the show you remember (unless you've seen the new DVD's of seasons 1-3), but what was your favorite Airwolf episode?

---

My memory has muddled them all together, and I don't own them on DVD. But I loved the pilot. That is still one of the coolest pilots I can remember. I'm sorry that the rest of the board can't chill for a while from all the "football" talk and appreciate some nostalgia.

I do have to say that I will have to make this a journal entry as the day I accomplished one of my New Year's Resolutions,..... to have civil dialogue with Airwolf.

You know... to be honest, I never really cared for the pilot. I thought they could have done a much better job with the plot and storyline than they did, but oh well... I love the part where Hawke and Dom manage to steal and take off in 'the Lady' and then they just level Gahdafi's palace and compound! The ending was also suspenseful, with Moffett staring down Airwolf in the desert with his pistol aimed at the re-fueling intake, before Hawke fires a missile and wastes him. I guess torturing your girlfriend in the desert will piss you off enough to do that. Yeah, I wish the other guys would just chill, take a break from football and enjoy some classic TV talk. As for your last comment... ;) ... happy to oblige.

I was only eight when the pilot ran. And to an eight year old who wanted to be a chopper pilot, that was a dream come true. I loved that show so much, I forgave Jan Michael Vincent for some horrible movies he made, just because he was Stringfellow Freakin' Hawk.

I was 13. Yeah, JMV's antics that helped kill the show never sat well with me, but what can you do? The funny thing is, I actually never saw one episode of Airwolf while it was on CBS. My parents got us cable for the first time in 1987 and that's when I first saw it (running in syndication on the USA Network). Ever since, I've been a fanatic of the show and man... the theme music is sure catchy and stays with you. Did you know that an obsessive compulsive fanatic of the show over in Ireland produced a CD soundtrack for the show about 8 years ago? Check it out...

<http://www.musicfromthemovies.com/review.asp?ID=1684>

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/16 16:36

---

hamrdown wrote:

Aw come on. The best show on TV (excluding MASH) was Gilligan's Island. Hands down a classic that is missed today. Too bad it can't be found anymore.

I'm lovin' this trip down memory lane. Gilligan's Island is another lost classic. It was corny, but the characters grew on you.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/16 16:39

---

Ygridiron4ever wrote:

Someone, please wake me up! I'm stuck in this dream where a bunch of trekky nerds are at a convention spewing lines from episode 113, "Daises is the gamma nebula" - but wait, its not Star Trek, its....its...almost got it...yeah..ITS AIRWOLF?!?!?

So I'm stuck; A few pages back in this thread I brought up the topic of a TV show to try and take energy away from a certain poster's disdain for BYU. And it worked - but I think I might be sorry!

But just for kicks - Airwolfe I have a suggestion: If you don't like JMV and blame him for the fall of your show, then get rid of his picture as your Avatar - put up the actually helicopter!  
And Uncle Iroh - I have to say that the animated series "Avater: the last Airbender" is a great show I enjoy watching with my kids and we have every episode that is available in DVD.

If you don't like JMV and blame him for the fall of your show, then get rid of his picture as your Avatar - put up the actually helicopter!

Nah... he looks pretty cool in that uniform and helmet.

=====

---

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by carolina\_cougar - 2008/01/16 17:05

---

Airwolf84 wrote:

Ygridiron4ever wrote:

Someone, please wake me up! I'm stuck in this dream where a bunch of trekky nerds are at a convention spewing lines from episode 113, "Daises is the gamma nebula" - but wait, its not Star Trek, its....its...almost got it...yeah..ITS AIRWOLF?!?!?

So I'm stuck; A few pages back in this thread I brought up the topic of a TV show to try and take energy away from a certain poster's disdain for BYU. And it worked - but I think I might be sorry!

But just for kicks - Airwolfe I have a suggestion: If you don't like JMV and blame him for the fall of your show, then get rid of his picture as your Avatar - put up the actually helicopter!

And Uncle Iroh - I have to say that the animated series "Avater: the last Airbender" is a great show I enjoy watching with my kids and we have every episode that is available in DVD.

If you don't like JMV and blame him for the fall of your show, then get rid of his picture as your Avatar - put up the actually helicopter!

Nah... he looks pretty cool in that uniform and helmet.

Not as good as today's NASCAR rigs, dude.~)

=====

---

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/16 17:37

---

carolina\_cougar wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

Ygridiron4ever wrote:

Someone, please wake me up! I'm stuck in this dream where a bunch of trekky nerds are at a convention spewing lines from episode 113, "Daises is the gamma nebula" - but wait, its not Star Trek, its....its...almost got it...yeah..ITS AIRWOLF?!?!?

So I'm stuck; A few pages back in this thread I brought up the topic of a TV show to try and take energy away from a certain poster's disdain for BYU. And it worked - but I think I might be sorry!

But just for kicks - Airwolfe I have a suggestion: If you don't like JMV and blame him for the fall of your show, then get rid of his picture as your Avatar - put up the actually helicopter!

And Uncle Iroh - I have to say that the animated series "Avater: the last Airbender" is a great show I enjoy watching with my kids and we have every episode that is available in DVD.

If you don't like JMV and blame him for the fall of your show, then get rid of his picture as your Avatar - put up the actually helicopter!

Nah... he looks pretty cool in that uniform and helmet.

Not as good as today's NASCAR rigs, dude.~)

Here's what's sad...

Jan-Michael Vincent, 1984:

<http://content.answers.com/main/content/wp/en/c/c6/String2.jpg><http://www.fiftiesweb.com/tv/airwolf-jmv.jpg>

Jan-Michael Vincent, 1996 (after years of drug abuse and alcoholism)...

<http://cache.viewimages.com/xc/1145596.jpg?v=1&c=ViewImages&k=2&d=17A4AD9FDB9CF1939057D9939C83F1064B719FB2F2B70F3E5A5397277B4DC33E>

---

---

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by carolina\_cougar - 2008/01/16 17:45

---

Ouch! That is sad! I'm in total agreement with you. What a wasted life.

---

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by sjcougfan - 2008/01/16 17:50

---

Airwolf84 wrote:

carolina\_cougar wrote:

Airwolf84 wrote:

Ygridiron4ever wrote:

Someone, please wake me up! I'm stuck in this dream where a bunch of trekky nerds are at a convention spewing lines from episode 113, "Daises is the gamma nebula" - but wait, its not Star Trek, its....its...almost got it...yeah..ITS AIRWOLF?!?!?

So I'm stuck; A few pages back in this thread I brought up the topic of a TV show to try and take energy away from a certain poster's disdain for BYU. And it worked - but I think I might be sorry!

But just for kicks - Airwolfe I have a suggestion: If you don't like JMV and blame him for the fall of your show, then get rid of his picture as your Avatar - put up the actually helicopter!  
And Uncle Iroh - I have to say that the animated series "Avater: the last Airbender" is a great show I enjoy watching with my kids and we have every episode that is available in DVD.

If you don't like JMV and blame him for the fall of your show, then get rid of his picture as your Avatar - put up the actually helicopter!

Nah... he looks pretty cool in that uniform and helmet.

Not as good as today's NASCAR rigs, dude.;

Here's what's sad...

Jan-Michael Vincent, 1984:

<http://content.answers.com/main/content/wp/en/c/c6/String2.jpg><http://www.fiftiesweb.com/tv/airwolf-jmv.jpg>

Jan-Michael Vincent, 1996 (after years of drug abuse and alcoholism)...

<http://cache.viewimages.com/xc/1145596.jpg?v=1&c=ViewImages&k=2&d=17A4AD9FDB9CF1939057D9939C83F1064B719FB2F2B70F3E5A5397277B4DC33E>

I'm pretty sure he was in a car wreck and went through the wind shield too. Seriously.

---

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/16 17:59

---

He did... in 1997, driving drunk. When he was Stringfellow Hawke from 1984-1986 on Airwolf, he was the highest paid actor in television. After about 1990, he was lucky to get any acting roles. What a waste!!

---

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by carolina\_cougar - 2008/01/16 18:01

---

---

Substance abuse (brain damage) + through the windshield (more brain damage), doesn't get much worse than that.

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Uncle Iroh - 2008/01/16 18:18

---

Ygridiron4ever wrote:

Someone, please wake me up! I'm stuck in this dream where a bunch of trekky nerds are at a convention spewing lines from episode 113, "Daises is the gamma nebula" - but wait, its not Star Trek, its....its...almost got it...yeah..ITS AIRWOLF?!?!?

So I'm stuck; A few pages back in this thread I brought up the topic of a TV show to try and take energy away from a certain poster's disdain for BYU. And it worked - but I think I might be sorry!

But just for kicks - Airwolfe I have a suggestion: If you don't like JMV and blame him for the fall of your show, then get rid of his picture as your Avatar - put up the actually helicopter!  
And Uncle Iroh - I have to say that the animated series "Avater: the last Airbender" is a great show I enjoy watching with my kids and we have every episode that is available in DVD.

Avatar is a great show for the family. (Although a couple of episodes this year have been a little intense for my kids). But I am, or was a TV-aholic. I have lessened somewhat over the last few years.

"It's a long, long way to Ba Sing Se, but the girls in the city are oohh, so pretty!"  
Uncle Iroh, Avatar:The Last Airbender:lol:

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Ball4 - 2008/01/16 19:24

---

I have heard of Trexies...but seriously...AirWolfies?

I can't see many attending that convention!

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by BoiseBYU - 2008/01/16 21:22

---

Ball4 wrote:

I have heard of Trexies...but seriously...AirWolfies?

I can't see many attending that convention!

Beam up Scottie, I've had enough here!

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by MajorCougar - 2008/01/18 09:13

---

"What a COMPLETE waste of time...!"

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/18 12:28

---

---

MajorCougar wrote:  
"What a COMPLETE waste of time...!"

... and a game where grown men throw a pigskin around a field and tackle each other for it isn't?

=====

### Re:Bronco's

Posted by MajorCougar - 2008/01/18 13:20

---

ummm.... better than posting about a 25-year-old 3-year-long TV show....

Nope.

=====

### Re:Bronco's

Posted by iShowsToGo.com - 2008/01/18 14:32

---

What is a joke is Hawaii had the 2nd weakest schedule in the country and they got into the BCS! That is a joke. BYU had a much harder schedule, and next year we play 2 Pac-10 teams. We beat them both, go undefeated in conference play, and I think BYU deserves a BCS berth. Can you argue with that? And you can't predict that BYU is going to lose, just like I can't predict that Utah is going to lose next season. Go Cougars!

=====

### Re:Bronco's

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/18 14:43

---

MajorCougar wrote:  
ummm.... better than posting about a 25-year-old 3-year-long TV show....

Nope.

Actually, it's 21 years old (lasted until '87) and why are you so bitter about a little TV nostalgia anyway?

=====

### Re:Bronco's

Posted by MajorCougar - 2008/01/18 15:00

---

Airwolf84 wrote:  
MajorCougar wrote:  
ummm.... better than posting about a 25-year-old 3-year-long TV show....

Nope.

Actually, it's 21 years old (lasted until '87) and why are you so bitter about a little TV nostalgia anyway?

Ok, so I rounded...

It was a waste of time, because this thread is now on 16 pages... :laugh:

=====

### Re:Bronco's

Posted by Airwolf84 - 2008/01/18 15:11

---

---

MajorCougar wrote:  
Airwolf84 wrote:  
MajorCougar wrote:  
ummm.... better than posting about a 25-year-old 3-year-long TV show....

Nope.

Actually, it's 21 years old (lasted until '87) and why are you so bitter about a little TV nostalgia anyway?

Ok, so I rounded...

It was a waste of time, because this thread is now on 16 pages... :laugh:

OK, so it's 16 pages and many have contributed to the TV talk, which means that there are a few guys who don't mind this, right?

=====

## Re:Bronco's

Posted by imuakahuku - 2008/01/18 15:23

---

Die thread die!!! This is almost as bad as advertising shoes!

=====