
A New "Golden Age"

Posted by dlouc - 2008/02/12 13:34

<http://www.ksl.com/?nid=498&sid=2627074>

I have to agree with Greg here.

The early 80s were Incredible, but we're starting into a period that could very well go down as the best football/basketball period in Cougar history....not to mention the overall success of the entire athletic program: EVERY single Cougar team finishing 1 or 2 in the MWC (or MPSF for volleyball) in the same year last year!!!

Re:A New \"Golden Age\"

Posted by Phillycougar - 2008/02/12 13:55

Absolutely. We are living the dream right now Cougar fans and there is no reason to believe (unless you are Airwolf) that it can't continue and even get better.

Re:A New \"Golden Age\"

Posted by CougarPeasant - 2008/02/12 13:59

Wrubell has a nice blog.

Here's another interesting comment he makes:

There have been some great days in Cougar sports in past decades, but the first decade of this new millenium will be among the brightest ever. Unfortunately, the advent of the BCS (which is both a football AND basketball term now)and the MWC's accompanying media distribution difficulties have placed BYU in a position in which many of its accomplishments may go unnoticed or ultimately unrewarded. That notwithstanding, BYU is on its way to being the "best of the rest," and one can only hope that some day soon, a situation will present itself that gives the program a chance to truly shine on the national stage it deserves.

Oh, and kudos, chagrins to KSL for when I clicked on the website, they have a Macy ad for panties with a beautiful woman modeling them. :woohoo:

Re:A New \"Golden Age\"

Posted by x_rugger - 2008/02/12 14:19

all i got was an rsl add, curse you ksl....

i realise it is a second tier sport, and i am a homer, but the byu rugby team has played in the natinal championship game for the past two years, and is currently ranked second in the nation. they have had a number of all-americans and national team players. the only time we are considered student athletes is when the athlitic department wants donations (i have a letter to prove it, it starts "dear former student athlete"). sad. at least to me.

Re:A New \"Golden Age\"

Posted by Mars - 2008/02/12 16:44

BYU's club Men's Lacrosse team won the National Championship last year.

Re:A New \"Golden Age\"

Posted by scott715 - 2008/02/13 14:33

Maybe if these champion club sports could get upgraded to NCAA then we would have a better chance of joining a BCS conference.

=====

Re:A New

Posted by Yndian - 2008/02/13 15:12

scott715 wrote:

Maybe if these champion club sports could get upgraded to NCAA then we would have a better chance of joining a BCS conference.

One reason that's hard to do, at least for the men's sports, is Title IX.

=====

Re:A New Golden Age - Title 9 is bunk!

Posted by runbyu1 - 2008/02/13 20:52

Yep, good old Title 9. It's not so bad if your school doesn't have a football team, but if they do---watch out! In a sport like track, the Women have 20 scholarships while the men ONLY HAVE 11. Then there are other sports, like Rugby and Lacrosse that there are NO scholarships for even though the school has a great program. Completely pathetic.

-G

=====

Re:A New \"Golden Age\"

Posted by Mars - 2008/02/14 00:23

If you would allow me to wax crude about Title IX,

I think it sucks monkey balls.

=====

Re:A New \"Golden Age\"

Posted by runbyu1 - 2008/02/14 01:07

Amen Brotha!

=====

Re:A New \"Golden Age\"

Posted by bball - 2008/02/14 07:31

All the D-1 football schools should boycott football for one year, then there would be no funding for any sport, and maybe they'd throw out that absurd Title IX junk. The majority of the women who play NCAA sports don't want to play anyway, they just want the free ride to a degree. I remember in the 90s, living in Utah County and the BYU women's coaches saying they couldn't find enough women to even offer scholarships to, they didn't want to play NCAA anything. That's when schools were scrambling to find ways to give as many women scholarships as men, and they began fielding teams

in field hockey, cricket, and other sports nobody cares about. But the NCAA was happy, and the feminist groups were all giddy about how "equality" was finally coming to college athletics. Whatever...

=====

Re:A New Golden Age

Posted by imuakahuku - 2008/02/14 08:09

dlcoug wrote:

<http://www.ksl.com/?nid=498&sid=2627074>

I have to agree with Greg here.

The early 80s were Incredible, but we're starting into a period that could very well go down as the best football/basketball period in Cougar history....not to mention the overall success of the entire athletic program: EVERY single Cougar team finishing 1 or 2 in the MWC (or MPSF for volleyball) in the same year last year!!!

So do comments like these from Chambers epitomize what Bronco is doing?

<http://byu.scout.com/2/728942.html>

I like to hear of players having actual dreams about playing for BYU and getting goosebumps when they see Bronco or clips of the games!

BTW does some of this fit in with Collie's "magic" theory? :)

=====

Re:A New

Posted by imuakahuku - 2008/02/14 08:18

bball wrote:

All the D-1 football schools should boycott football for one year, then there would be no funding for any sport, and maybe they'd throw out that absurd Title IX junk. The majority of the women who play NCAA sports don't want to play anyway, they just want the free ride to a degree. I remember in the 90s, living in Utah County and the BYU women's coaches saying they couldn't find enough women to even offer scholarships to, they didn't want to play NCAA anything. That's when schools were scrambling to find ways to give as many women scholarships as men, and they began fielding teams in field hockey, cricket, and other sports nobody cares about. But the NCAA was happy, and the feminist groups were all giddy about how "equality" was finally coming to college athletics. Whatever...

I think it was great legislation. They finally attracted enough women to play college bball that I got to see one dunk in a game! :laugh:

=====

Re:A New \"Golden Age\"

Posted by mormonrasta - 2008/02/14 11:24

What was with Wrubell's comment about the BCS? I don't understand how the BCS has muted BYU's recent success in football and basketball.

There is no question that BYU has accomplished great things in football and basketball over the last 3 years, and the credit that they have received has been most appropriate. However, it's not like they should be deserving MORE credit, but that dastardly BCS is suppressing BYU from enhanced national respect.

Bottom line, if BYU football wants more national respect they need to be able to beat average Pac-10 teams on the road and beat ranked teams in nonconference play. If BYU wants more respect in basketball then they need to start winning in the NCAA tournament.

These are factors that are within BYU's control not under the control of the BCS.

=====

Re:A New

Posted by etyotx - 2008/02/14 12:15

mormonrasta wrote:

Bottom line, if BYU football wants more national respect they need to be able to beat average Pac-10 teams on the road and beat ranked teams in nonconference play.

But why should BYU be forced to do things that BCS cartel teams don't have to do? (see OSU, Illiniois)

=====