
Simple Website Error?

Posted by rambo - 2008/02/25 11:43

I notice that when there is only one entry on a new page within the thread, that the new page does not show up on the numbering on the main forum page.

For example, if there are 16 responses, and 8 entries per page, then the main forum page only lists pages 1 and 2, leaving off the third page that contains the 17th entry (16th response).

I don't know if this can be fixed by the website developers or not. It's just a little nit-picky thing anyway.

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by Mars - 2008/02/25 11:51

I've noticed the same thing.

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by Hawkwing - 2008/02/25 12:54

I don't believe any of the errors on this page will ever be fixed.

link

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by MajorCougar - 2008/02/26 13:09

player

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by kiwibacon - 2008/02/26 13:20

blink

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by Phillip_Morgan - 2008/02/26 13:25

I have sent the admin an email about this thread hopefully he will read it and fix the problems.

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by Conosticator - 2008/02/26 14:05

Must be a bunch of techno-neophytes here. That isn't a BUG. That is a FEATURE. Don't expect it to be fixed. The programmer that created that FEATURE was rewarded with a nice cushy job creating "FEATURES" for Microsoft Vista. Rumor has it that he has been very successful... ;)

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by rambo - 2008/02/26 14:24

I have sent the admin an email about this thread hopefully he will read it and fix the problems.

Thanks Phillip.

=====

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by rambo - 2008/02/26 14:44

Conosticator said:

Must be a bunch of techno-neophytes here.

Ah shucks. My two degrees in engineering were all for nought, then.

That isn't a BUG. That is a FEATURE.

I know your joking, but I'll offer a rebuttal anyway :) When the program doesn't function as intended due to programming error, it is called a bug. The way to fix it is simple if you have the source code or the base file. One uses the following format:

```
if
int((n+1)/8) == (n+1)/8
```

```
then
p = (n+1)/8;
```

```
else
p = 1 + int((n+1)/8);
```

where n is the number of responses and p is the number of pages. The programmer must have accidentally omitted the "+1" in the numerator.

The programmer that created that FEATURE was rewarded with a nice cushy job creating "FEATURES" for Microsoft Vista. Rumor has it that he has been very successful...

LOL!!! Agreed. Microsoft Windows has been referred to by many a Linux-junkie as the largest virus ever made. Sadly, its still easier to use than Linux.

=====

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by Ritter - 2008/02/26 15:44

I've published a new version of the forums and it seems to address the majority of errors being seen. Hopefully this resolves issues with a minimal amount of introduction of new issues.

=====

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by kiwibacon - 2008/02/26 15:50

Holy cow...something happened.:blink:

=====

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by Hawkwing - 2008/02/26 16:48

I think there may be some problems with the update...

I have spent the last several minutes trying to login from every site on cougarblue.com. It would popup "You are not authorized to view this resource" and I would remain not logged in.

Finally I changed the site to <http://cougarblue.com> and tried to login and it let me. But the 'www' before the cougarblue.com was somehow keeping me from logging in.

Also, please restore the breadcrumbs to the bottom of the page. If you read through a thread and get to the end, there is no link back to the forum you're in and you have to scroll back up to the top to get it, or hit back through how ever many pages of responses you've read.

Thanks.

=====

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by Ritter - 2008/02/26 16:50

Actually, I broke the logins for a bit there (sorry). You should be able to login normally now with either domain.

=====

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by Ritter - 2008/02/26 17:14

Hawkwing wrote:

Also, please restore the breadcrumbs to the bottom of the page. If you read through a thread and get to the end, there is no link back to the forum you're in and you have to scroll back up to the top to get it, or hit back through how ever many pages of responses you've read.

I think I added what you are asking for, if not let me know.

=====

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by Hengst - 2008/02/26 17:16

players

just checking

=====

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by Hawkwing - 2008/02/26 17:20

Ritter wrote:

Hawkwing wrote:

Also, please restore the breadcrumbs to the bottom of the page. If you read through a thread and get to the end, there is no link back to the forum you're in and you have to scroll back up to the top to get it, or hit back through how ever many pages of responses you've read.

I think I added what you are asking for, if not let me know.

Yes, the breadcrumbs are there (the links back to the forum/subforums you are currently in). One small aesthetic item, it normally is on the right, but now is on the left. If there's an easy way to put it right aligned that would be preferred.

Thanks again.

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by Ritter - 2008/02/26 17:26

Hawkwing wrote:

Yes, the breadcrumbs are there (the links back to the forum/subforums you are currently in). One small aesthetic item, it normally is on the right, but now is on the left. If there's an easy way to put it right aligned that would be preferred.

Okay, I hope thats better for you.

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by Mars - 2008/02/26 17:28

What happens when I type in here and click "Submit"?

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by Mars - 2008/02/26 17:29

Ah.

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by runbyu1 - 2008/02/26 17:44

I wanna play...

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by kiwibacon - 2008/02/26 17:56

Ritter, Thank you for the update. I did not to mean to come off as unappreciative in my first post after the update.

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by Conosticator - 2008/02/26 18:14

rambo wrote:

Conosticator said:

Must be a bunch of techno-neophytes here.

Ah shucks. My two degrees in engineering were all for nought, then.

That isn't a BUG. That is a FEATURE.

I know your joking, but I'll offer a rebuttal anyway :) When the program doesn't function as intended due to programming error, it is called a bug. The way to fix it is simple if you have the source code or the base file. One uses the following format:

```
if
int((n+1)/8) == (n+1)/8

then
p = (n+1)/8;

else
p = 1 + int((n+1)/8);
```

where n is the number of responses and p is the number of pages. The programmer must have accidentally omitted the "+1" in the numerator.

The programmer that created that FEATURE was rewarded with a nice cushy job creating "FEATURES" for Microsoft Vista. Rumor has it that he has been very successful...

LOL!!! Agreed. Microsoft Windows has been referred to by many a Linux-junkie as the largest virus ever made. Sadly, its still easier to use than Linux.

Two engineering degrees. Must be a glutton for punishment.

My experience being in the Honors Program and a CS major at BYU was that the most helpful class I ever survived was a technical writing course. The next most helpful was a house wiring class I took for fun. In the 28 years I have spent as a software developer since I finished my BSCS curriculum at BYU, I have found little beyond Dr. Ashton's introductory programming concepts class that transferred to a real world work environment.

Currently I start a 15-month contract position next monday as the software architect for a Boeing 737 flight simulator being built for a airline pilot training facility under construction in India. I'll be looking to hire a few experienced software developers with C/C++, Qt, graphics, Linux, Windows, & etc. Experience with flight dynamics, control laws, DO-178B standards, is highly desirable.

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by detmer4prez - 2008/02/26 19:36

Is it going to stay this way where the quote windows are all crazy and there is a typing box for every single post that makes it nearly impossible to read through a thread, or is this some temporary fix?

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by Hawkwing - 2008/02/26 20:34

Funny, when I first got signed in, I saw the little quick reply box in every message, but now that it's been awhile, they have gone away. I wonder if you need to refresh or clear your cache.

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by detmer4prez - 2008/02/26 22:41

Hawkwing wrote:

Funny, when I first got signed in, I saw the little quick reply box in every message, but now that it's been awhile, they have gone away. I wonder if you need to refresh or clear your cache.

Oh thanks. I was about to pull my hair out. I reset my cache and it is better now.

=====

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by snoscythe - 2008/02/27 12:16

So what's up with all of the quick reply boxes assaulting my eyes??? Anyone know how to bypass that within Firefox??

Then again, I have been labeled a "user" again, so it could just be the doobie-vision.

=====

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by Ritter - 2008/02/27 12:33

Quick reply should not be showing, try clearing your cache. Or in Firefox simply hold the SHIFT key down when you click the REFRESH button. Hope that helps.

=====

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by Ritter - 2008/02/27 12:38

snoscythe wrote:
Then again, I have been labeled a "user" again, so it could just be the doobie-vision.

Should be fixed now as well.

=====

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by snoscythe - 2008/02/27 12:50

Ritter wrote:
snoscythe wrote:
Then again, I have been labeled a "user" again, so it could just be the doobie-vision.

Should be fixed now as well.

The Shift+Refresh fixed both the User label and the quick reply snafus. Thanks.

=====

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by MajorCougar - 2008/02/27 13:17

I still see these "Quick Reply" buttons. Even after refreshing the Cache...

=====

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by blue42 - 2008/02/27 14:16

Conosticator wrote:

Currently I start a 15-month contract position next monday as the software architect for a Boeing 737 flight simulator being built for a airline pilot training facility under construction in India. I'll be looking to hire a few experienced software

developers with C/C++, Qt, graphics, Linux, Windows, & etc. Experience with flight dynamics, control laws, DO-178B standards, is highly desirable.

I knew I should have majored in CS because in my classes these are the grades I usually got.:silly:

=====

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by MajorCougar - 2008/02/29 08:37

I still get the "Quick Reply" box. Any real solution on this? I have even created a new computer account (not a CB account); no change.

=====

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by connecticutcougar - 2008/02/29 09:08

Is there a way to get rid of these enormous quote boxes showing up everytime you quote a previous post?

=====

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by Ritter - 2008/02/29 10:25

MajorCougar wrote:

I still get the "Quick Reply" box. Any real solution on this? I have even created a new computer account (not a CB account); no change.

I've tried as aggressively as I can to hide these, let me know if you are still seeing them.

=====

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by Ritter - 2008/02/29 10:43

connecticutcougar wrote:

Is there a way to get rid of these enormous quote boxes showing up everytime you quote a previous post?
Is that any better?

=====

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by MajorCougar - 2008/02/29 14:02

Yes, the Quick Reply boxes are gone. However, is that what the new "QUOTE" boxes look like???

=====

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by Ritter - 2008/02/29 14:50

MajorCougar wrote:

Yes, the Quick Reply boxes are gone. However, is that what the new "QUOTE" boxes look like???

I'm not locked in to keeping it any which way, I can adjusted them a bit. What are you suggesting you'd rather have? I am trying (currently) to determine where the literal "QUOTE:" prefix is getting added so I can remove that, any other suggestions?

=====

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by connecticutcougar - 2008/02/29 14:57

Ritter wrote:

connecticutcougar wrote:

Is there a way to get rid of these enormous quote boxes showing up everytime you quote a previous post?
Is that any better?

That looks much better in my opinion. Thanks!

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by Ritter - 2008/02/29 15:12

Okay, I finally tracked down the elusive "QUOTE:" prefix, which should tighten up the quote boxes considerably.

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by CougarPeasant - 2008/02/29 15:31

Ritter wrote:

Okay, I finally tracked down the elusive "QUOTE:" prefix, which should tighten up the quote boxes considerably.

That looks better!

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by connecticutcougar - 2008/02/29 15:31

Ritter wrote:

Okay, I finally tracked down the elusive "QUOTE:" prefix, which should tighten up the quote boxes considerably.

Let's test this shall we?

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by connecticutcougar - 2008/02/29 15:32

Thanks Ritter. This looks much better than before.

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by detmer4prez - 2008/02/29 15:39

Thanks Ritter for listening to everyones concerns and fixing the little problems. It makes the site much more enjoyable.

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by Ritter - 2008/02/29 15:42

detmer4prez wrote:

Thanks Ritter for listening to everyones concerns and fixing the little problems. It makes the site much more enjoyable. You're all welcome, I'm glad to do what I can, and we have a new hire joining the team soon that should solve me being swamped all the time. Which means better customizations and attention to those awful spammers. I've been a team of 1 for a few months and we've had some product launches that have taken 100% of my time and then some. Thanks for your patience and please let me know if there is anything else that needs addressing.

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by Mars - 2008/03/03 10:57

Ritter-

I thought you had fixed the bug where the first post on a new page doesn't add that page number's link, while the second post does? If you had, now it's back again, and annoying as ever. Thanks.

-Mars

Re:Simple Website Error?

Posted by Mars - 2008/03/03 12:20

Ritter-

Also, it appears that the "SIZE" function no longer works.

Very Small
Small
Normal
Big
Very Big
HUGE
Test
