

---

## Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by TimO - 2008/03/06 14:13

---

With BYU BcS bound for 2008, LV may have to look to and respect the MWC next choice: yewts or tcu? The date for the las vegas bowl has been set

Dec 20 5pm ESPN-MWC #1 vs. Pac-10 #4(sucks)

I suppose if we have one or two losses we'll be back for a 4th consecutive sell-out, largest crowd, most tv ratings of non-bcs season, etc..etc...

But hold out and take the opportunity-buy BcS because #2 is going to LV Bowl this year

---

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by Pwide - 2008/03/06 14:38

---

I noticed the wording this time when I read it:

#1 pick in MWC and #4 of the Pac 10. They can pick anyone on the MWC, but are auto-assigned the 4th ranked in the Pac 10.

---

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by Mars - 2008/03/06 19:23

---

TimO wrote:

With BYU BcS bound for 2008, LV may have to look to and respect the MWC next choice: new mexico?

Sorry bro, but you're smoking CRACK if you think New Mexico finishes 2nd in the MWC next season.

They're way behind BYU, Utah, and TCU (who beat them 37-0 last season), all of who should be stronger next season. Meanwhile, the Lobos lose their 1000+ yard RB and top 2 WRs, among a slew of defensivemen. They should be right there with Air Force (who also loses a lot, including QB Carney and RB Hall) and Wyoming (who may be improved), but likely still ahead of CSU (new head coach makes it hard to say, though the returning talent is there), SDSU (who should be a little better, minus the QB loss), and UNLV (who should be improved with returning offensive talent).

In fact, with games against Texas A&M, Arizona, and on the road at Tulsa, New Mexico may have a tough time getting 6 wins next season...

---

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by TimO - 2008/03/06 21:43

---

mars, notice the question mark next to new mexico, it was a way of suggesting that its a toss-i would argue a team however that has won a bowl game for the first time since 1964 has a momentum swing, however AF, yewts i guess could be next in line, true

---

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by Mars - 2008/03/06 21:56

---

TimO wrote:

mars, notice the question mark next to new mexico, it was a way of suggesting that its a toss-i would argue a team however that has won a bowl game for the first time since 1964 has a momentum swing, however AF, yewts i guess could be next in line, true

And I'm saying that there's no question about it, neither New Mexico nor Air Force will have even a remote shot at playing in the Las Vegas Bowl this coming season, even if the MWC champ were to bust the BCS again... :huh:

---

---

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by gmj81 - 2008/03/06 22:20

---

I just wish this was the year that the LV bowl pitted the #5 pac-10 team against the MWC #1 pick. With BYU bound for the BCS, it would really help to continue the positive momentum for the conference to have our #2 beat the pac-ers. If it being a #5 helps us do that, I'm alright with it. Although if the pukes make it to that game I think they could potentially do it even against the #4. They always seem to get up for their bowl game and it could potentially be a rematch of the OSU game.

=====

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by Mars - 2008/03/06 22:50

---

In 2005, Cal beat BYU in a bowl game.  
In 2007, Cal beat Air Force in a bowl game.

If the MWC #2 (Utah) met the PAC-10 #4 (Cal) in 2008, that might be a fun win for the conference (assuming that the top 3 are USC, UCLA, and Arizona State).

Or maybe Oregon will play their way back into the Vegas Bowl. :P

=====

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by A\_King - 2008/03/06 23:23

---

Mars wrote:

TimO wrote:

With BYU BcS bound for 2008, LV may have to look to and respect the MWC next choice: new mexico?

Sorry bro, but you're smoking CRACK if you think New Mexico finishes 2nd in the MWC next season.

Man, Mars...do you ever take a breath before you start the "crack" allegations at others who have a different opinion to you?!

For the love man, keep your head cool. If you have a different opinion share it...but you don't need to accompany all your opinions of someone else's opinions with your overly heavy "you're an idiot/you're on crack" style.

You don't need to harsh on someone's else's opinion when yours isn't always what you want it to be either, case in point:

-----

My post

Mar 3 2007, 05:25 AM

I actually think Air Force will play much better this upcoming season. I think they will do with their new coach what SDSU wanted with theirs last year but fell much shorter than hoped. I think Air Force gets 2 more wins this year than last. I'm predicting a 6 win season.

Your post

Mar 3 2007, 07:34 AM

Post #10

QUOTE

I actually think Air Force will play much better this upcoming season... I'm predicting a 6 win season.

Air Force, bowl eligible next year? I'll give that a 1% chance.

-----

You're not always right either Mars, so don't criticize so harshly with your immediate jump to "you must be smoking crack" kind of comments.

Take it easy.

---

=====

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by raidingtombs - 2008/03/07 01:09

---

Mars wrote:

TimO wrote:

With BYU BcS bound for 2008, LV may have to look to and respect the MWC next choice: new mexico?  
Sorry bro, but you're smoking CRACK if you think New Mexico finishes 2nd in the MWC next season.

They're way behind BYU, Utah, and TCU (who beat them 37-0 last season), all of who should be stronger next season. Meanwhile, the Lobos lose their 1000+ yard RB and top 2 WRs, among a slew of defensivemen. They should be right there with Air Force (who also loses a lot, including QB Carney and RB Hall) and Wyoming (who may be improved), but likely still ahead of CSU (new head coach makes it hard to say, though the returning talent is there), SDSU (who should be a little better, minus the QB loss), and UNLV (who should be improved with returning offensive talent).

In fact, with games against Texas A&M, Arizona, and on the road at Tulsa, New Mexico may have a tough time getting 6 wins next season...

Actually Mars NM returns their RB from last season, though they do lose their two top WR. but you are right they are not better then Utah or TCU.

---

=====

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by Mars - 2008/03/07 01:53

---

A\_King wrote:

Man, Mars... do you ever take a breath before you start the "crack" allegations at others who have a different opinion to you?! For the love man, keep your head cool. If you have a different opinion share it... but you don't need to accompany all your opinions of someone else's opinions with your overly heavy "you're an idiot/you're on crack" style. You don't need to harsh on someone's else's opinion when yours isn't always what you want it to be either...

:dry:

I WAS just going to tell you to shut your hole King, seeing as how you don't talk sports anymore but decided to butt-in as ethics police today, but instead I decided to re-check New Mexico's losses:

Travis Brown WR- 1st Team All-MWC  
Marcus Smith WR- 1st Team All-MWC  
Devin Clark OT- 1st Team All-MWC  
Vince Natali C- 1st Team All-MWC  
Tyler Donaldson DE- 1st Team All-MWC  
John Sullivan K- 1st Team All-MWC  
Michael Tuohy DE- 2nd Team All-MWC  
O.J. Swift S- MWC Honorable Mention  
Jordan Scott P- MWC Honorable Mention

RT is correct, the Lobos return Ferguson, which I had completely forgotten. That should make a huge difference for them at running back (solid 4th-place team?), despite losing 2 1st-Team All-MWC O-linemen to block for him. So King crying a river did some good after-all. Congrats whiner!

Your mother was a hamster and your father smelled of elderberries. Come back again and I shall taunt you some more. :dry:

---

=====

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by Yndian - 2008/03/07 06:37

---

Mars wrote:

---

Your mother was a hamster and your father smelled of elderberries. Come back again and I shall taunt you some more.  
:dry:

You must be a MORON not to know it's "Go away, or I shall taunt you a second time." ;)

=====

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by bengel - 2008/03/07 07:40

---

Mars wrote:

I WAS just going to tell you to shut your hole King, seeing as how you don't talk sports anymore but decided to butt-in as ethics police today, but instead I decided to re-check New Mexico's losses:

Seems to me someone needs to play that role for you, Mars. Your posts demonstrate an inability to police yourself.

=====

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by cougarfan87 - 2008/03/07 07:59

---

Yndian wrote:

Mars wrote:

Your mother was a hamster and your father smelled of elderberries. Come back again and I shall taunt you some more.  
:dry:

You must be a MORON not to know it's "Go away, or I shall taunt you a second time." ;)

Actually, I think it's "Come back again, and I shall taunt you a second time." So you're both right--or wrong, however you want to look at it.;

=====

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by WACoug - 2008/03/07 08:10

---

cougarfan87 wrote:

Yndian wrote:

Mars wrote:

Your mother was a hamster and your father smelled of elderberries. Come back again and I shall taunt you some more.  
:dry:

You must be a MORON not to know it's "Go away, or I shall taunt you a second time." ;)

Actually, I think it's "Come back again, and I shall taunt you a second time." So you're both right--or wrong, however you want to look at it.;

Ok,I will settle this. Watch and learn.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=R7qxqvjTbu0&feature=related>

It's at about the 2:25 mark. This exchange gets me every time. It this doesn't make you laugh there is something seriously wrong.

=====

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by Mars - 2008/03/07 13:18

---

WACoug wrote:

Ok,I will settle this. Watch and learn.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=R7qxqvjTbu0&feature=related>

It's at about the 2:25 mark. This exchange gets me every time. It this doesn't make you laugh there is something seriously wrong.

Haha, I stand corrected! :laugh:

---

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by A\_King - 2008/03/08 05:20

---

Mars,

I didn't butt in. I have to do this whenever I feel necessary as a moderator to this board. The only thing I regret is calling scoreboard for something as shallow as a close-to-correct prediction. Predictions are mostly luck if you even catch a whiff at a correct guess. So sorry about that quote I added in, it wasn't necessary. It was mostly just emotional reaction to your attitude that I shouldn't have followed through with. Sorry about that.

And trust me, I don't relish being the ethics-police at all, I miss the days when it was still appropriate for me to post on here alot. I really do.

---

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by A\_King - 2008/03/08 05:23

---

Mars wrote:

So King crying a river did some good after-all. Congrats whiner!

I missed this gem when I first read through your post, wish I hadn't seen it the second go round.

You're real class, Mars.

---

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by HoosierCougar - 2008/03/08 06:23

---

Hillary, Barak: please, please, please, end the personal attacks. It will serve only to fracture and destroy the party. And this party is a place we want to be. Keep it about the issues. That will keep it above the fray. Peace!

Oops, that was a post for another board; mixed up my cut and paste:S

However in retrospect, seems kind of appropriate. Aaron, lock this thread before it gets more stupid. I get that you are passionate, and these are the same reasons there are fights in spring ball and fall camp: no one else to hit! But come on! This is not what makes CougarBlue the deal.

A\_King wrote:

Mars wrote:

So King crying a river did some good after-all. Congrats whiner!

I missed this gem when I first read through your post, wish I hadn't seen it the second go round.

You're real class, Mars.

---

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

---

Posted by OLB - 2008/03/08 10:20

Mars wrote:

I WAS just going to tell you to shut your hole King, seeing as how you don't talk sports anymore but decided to butt-in as ethics police today, but instead I decided to re-check New Mexico's losses:

Mars is like BYUtx 2.0. You better watch yourself if you decide to disagree with you, because he'll ultimately resort to platitudes and personal attacks.

---

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by BYUtx - 2008/03/08 11:02

OLB wrote:

Mars wrote:

I WAS just going to tell you to shut your hole King, seeing as how you don't talk sports anymore but decided to butt-in as ethics police today, but instead I decided to re-check New Mexico's losses:

Mars is like BYUtx 2.0. You better watch yourself if you decide to disagree with you, because he'll ultimately resort to platitudes and personal attacks.

:dry:

---

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by Mars - 2008/03/08 13:12

OLB wrote:

Mars is like BYUtx 2.0. You better watch yourself if you decide to disagree with you, because he'll ultimately resort to platitudes...

How could I resort to something I don't even know what it is?

Is it anything like the Beattitudes? Yeah, that sounds like me. :lol:

---

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by akcougar - 2008/03/10 09:14

GO COUGARS!

---

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by jimmyz2 - 2008/03/10 14:03

Mars wrote:

A\_King wrote:

Man, Mars... do you ever take a breath before you start the "crack" allegations at others who have a different opinion to you?! For the love man, keep your head cool. If you have a different opinion share it... but you don't need to accompany all your opinions of someone else's opinions with your overly heavy "you're an idiot/you're on crack" style. You don't need to harsh on someone's else's opinion when yours isn't always what you want it to be either...

:dry:

---

I WAS just going to tell you to shut your hole King, seeing as how you don't talk sports anymore but decided to butt-in as ethics police today, but instead I decided to re-check New Mexico's losses:

Travis Brown WR- 1st Team All-MWC  
Marcus Smith WR- 1st Team All-MWC  
Devin Clark OT- 1st Team All-MWC  
Vince Natali C- 1st Team All-MWC  
Tyler Donaldson DE- 1st Team All-MWC  
John Sullivan K- 1st Team All-MWC  
Michael Tuohy DE- 2nd Team All-MWC  
O.J. Swift S- MWC Honorable Mention  
Jordan Scott P- MWC Honorable Mention

RT is correct, the Lobos return Ferguson, which I had completely forgotten. That should make a huge difference for them at running back (solid 4th-place team?), despite losing 2 1st-Team All-MWC O-linemen to block for him. So King crying a river did some good after-all. Congrats whiner!

Your mother was a hamster and your father smelled of elderberries. Come back again and I shall taunt you some more. :dry:

I like raspberry jello

=====

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by bluesista - 2008/03/11 11:05

---

jimmyz2 wrote:

Mars wrote:

A\_King wrote:

Man, Mars... do you ever take a breath before you start the "crack" allegations at others who have a different opinion to you?! For the love man, keep your head cool. If you have a different opinion share it... but you don't need to accompany all your opinions of someone else's opinions with your overly heavy "you're an idiot/you're on crack" style. You don't need to harsh on someone's else's opinion when yours isn't always what you want it to be either...

:dry:

I WAS just going to tell you to shut your hole King, seeing as how you don't talk sports anymore but decided to butt-in as ethics police today, but instead I decided to re-check New Mexico's losses:

Travis Brown WR- 1st Team All-MWC  
Marcus Smith WR- 1st Team All-MWC  
Devin Clark OT- 1st Team All-MWC  
Vince Natali C- 1st Team All-MWC  
Tyler Donaldson DE- 1st Team All-MWC  
John Sullivan K- 1st Team All-MWC  
Michael Tuohy DE- 2nd Team All-MWC  
O.J. Swift S- MWC Honorable Mention  
Jordan Scott P- MWC Honorable Mention

RT is correct, the Lobos return Ferguson, which I had completely forgotten. That should make a huge difference for them at running back (solid 4th-place team?), despite losing 2 1st-Team All-MWC O-linemen to block for him. So King crying a river did some good after-all. Congrats whiner!

Your mother was a hamster and your father smelled of elderberries. Come back again and I shall taunt you some more. :dry:

I like raspberry jello

When in doubt, cite Monty Python and the Holy Grail. Nice.

=====

---

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by iShowsToGo.com - 2008/03/12 00:15

---

I will love to see the #2 from the MWC go to the Las Vegas bowl. It will probably be Utah, just being honest. I think New Mexico goes to the Poinsettia bowl or armed forces bowl. BYU is going to the Fiesta bowl! I already know where I am going to be on January 1st! Phoenix, Arizona! Go Cougars!

=====

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by Real.Men.Wear.Blue - 2008/03/12 07:46

---

Putting aside all the of the personal attacks, #2 in the MWC next year will be either Utah or TCU. My money is on Utah, as I think they are more talented on boths sides of the ball. UNM will battle it out for no better than fourth place in all likelihood. But they are clearly a better team than either UNLV, Wyoming, SDSU or CSU. Only AF should really challenge the Lobos for the 4th spot, but that assumes AF can replace their lost talent.

=====

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by A\_King - 2008/03/12 16:35

---

Hey guys,

Relax about all this. It wasn't a lot of personal attacks. It was just me moderating mars posts concerning his quick jump to "you are on crack" when offering a rebuttal to someone else's opinion.

Mars, responded angrily about me moderating and that was it. There wasn't more than three posts on it from me. It didn't get out of control by any means.

It certainly wasn't a personal "attack" it was me moderating.

=====

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by decloucog - 2008/03/12 17:32

---

cool out. COOL OUT!!!!

=====

## Re:Las Vegas Bowl: gets #2 and Pac10 #4

Posted by A\_King - 2008/03/12 19:47

---

Hey hey...no worries.

Please when you read my posts although I am annoyed please read them without attaching an angry tone to them. I am not angry at all. Annoyed yes, sure. But not angry.

When I do, should I ever get angry, I will attach multiple !!! to the sentences to make it clear.

Please, give me the benefit of the doubt that I can moderate and make comments without being mad.

=====