
Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by hypercoug - 2008/03/20 19:35

Please no complaining or whining.

BYU basketball is what it is.

Again it is proven that our seeding was as deserved, we just aren't a clutch enough team to win a close game against a decent team.

We'll get another shot next year.

=====

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by CougarPeasant - 2008/03/20 19:38

no complaints. AM played a better game in the final 8 mins to earn the win.

UCLA will destroy them however. GO BRUINS!

=====

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by Gunk - 2008/03/20 19:40

They (A&M) played well. We couldn't stop one guy and played flat the last 10 min...again...we got what we deserved.

=====

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by hypercoug - 2008/03/20 19:40

Yep UCLA will absolutely clean their clocks.

=====

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by Illinois1Cougar - 2008/03/20 19:40

Wish I could say it was a good game, but I cant! we played like crap!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

=====

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by Hawkeye-Coug - 2008/03/20 19:49

I disagree. With our RPI we were deserving of a 6 seed. For example, compare our RPI (25) to Purdue, who had a 44 RPI and a 6 seed. We played consistently well during the season, and deserved the rewards that come with it. Our streak of post-season futility should have no bearing on this years seed.

What is frustrating is that we played so poorly. We may not be able to shake tough defense, but we can make our free throws.

Go Cougs!!!!!!

=====

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by WACoug - 2008/03/20 19:52

hypercoug wrote:

Please no complaining or whining.

BYU basketball is what it is.

Again it is proven that our seeding was as deserved, we just aren't a clutch enough team to win a close game against a decent team.

We'll get another shot next year.

And the same thing will happen again next year unless the team learns how to shoot free throws, rebound, and play tough.

=====

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by detmer4prez - 2008/03/20 19:52

We played like we always play in big games that aren't in the MC... like a bunch of pansies. Am I shocked? Not a bit. That's why I picked Texas A&M in my brackets. (That and I thought since I had always picked them and they lose that if I picked against them I would win. I was wrong.)

I will not be fooled into getting my hopes up for our basketball team anytime in the near future. Texas A&M was not a good team. They had one guy making shots so what did we do? Let him get open some more. We didn't put Lee on him or anything to see if that would help, we left Burgess to get his lunch handed to him.

When the MWC champion can't beat the 6th place team from the Big 12 we don't deserve to think we have a good program.

The only thing I will look forward in the next few years will be football. I have had my heart broken too many times by this crappy backstabbing girlfriend that we call our basketball team.

Come on football season.

=====

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by Gunk - 2008/03/20 19:59

Hawkeye-Coug wrote:

I disagree. With our RPI we were deserving of a 6 seed. For example, compare our RPI (25) to Purdue, who had a 44 RPI and a 6 seed. We played consistently well during the season, and deserved the rewards that come with it. Our streak of post-season futility should have no bearing on this year's seed.

What is frustrating is that we played so poorly. We may not be able to shake tough defense, but we can make our free throws.

Go Cougs!!!!!!

I think we got the seeding we deserved. We haven't shown the committee we're legitimate contenders. All we showed them this season was we're close. We were close to winning against UNC, close against MSU, close against WF, close against UNLV in the MWC tourney and close against A&M.

Close, but no cigar. We want love and "respect" from the committee we have to show we can finish close, important games, and we can't.

Only "respectable" win this season was over a depleted Louisville team. Sure our RPI was 25, but a lot of that had to do with the fact we just played UNC, MSU and WF. We got brownie points for losing to them. Next year, if we want the seed we "deserve" we have to win those games.

=====

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by Cougfans84 - 2008/03/20 20:05

good season cougs. One thing I have a problem with is Tavernari forcin' 3's like crazy! The man is on fire when hes hot, but hes gotta have better restraint and take higher percentage shots. We also need an enforcer down low. We have a finesse player like plaisted which is great, but what were missing was a defensive force down low and that could have made a big difference. Im still impressed with the season, hopefully next year we can get out of the first round though.

=====

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by blue42 - 2008/03/20 20:08

My biggest fear has come to pass, BYU basketball has become the Notre Dame of football. They can play with the big boys in the regular season but they can't win in the post season.

=====

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by NikeFootball - 2008/03/20 20:11

Rebound, bottom line! You're right; we lost, fair and square. That game was ours.

=====

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by cszjr - 2008/03/20 20:12

The difference in the game is that each team needed someone to step forward. Carter did it. Congrats to the Aggies. Like usual, the cougs waited for someone else to step up, and we got caught watching.

=====

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by Hawkeye-Coug - 2008/03/20 20:14

I think we got the seeding we deserved. We haven't shown the committee we're legitimate contenders. All we showed them this season was we're close. We were close to winning against UNC, close against MSU, close against WF, close against UNLV in the MWC tourney and close against A&M.

Close, but no cigar. We want love and "respect" from the committee we have to show we can finish close, important games, and we can't.

Only "respectable" win this season was over a depleted Louisville team. Sure our RPI was 25, but a lot of that had to do with the fact we just played UNC, MSU and WF. We got brownie points for losing to them. Next year, if we want the seed we "deserve" we have to win those games.

Still disagree. Each year should be seeded independently, and depend only on the games played that year. Also, we weren't close to WF. But, unlike A&M who we just lost to, we didn't have any games where we only scored 10 points in a half. Purdue played high RPI teams in the Big 10 that would pad their RPI as well. They have no excuse for such a bad RPI.

Now, having said that, UNLV got hosed worse than we did. Not only should they have had a 5 seed with their RPI, they certainly played like a 5 seed today.

Go Cougs!!!!!!!

=====

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by WACoug - 2008/03/20 20:19

Hawkeye-Coug wrote:

I think we got the seeding we deserved. We haven't shown the committee we're legitimate contenders. All we showed them this season was we're close. We were close to winning against UNC, close against MSU, close against WF, close against UNLV in the MWC tourney and close against A&M.

Close, but no cigar. We want love and "respect" from the committee we have to show we can finish close, important games, and we can't.

Only "respectable" win this season was over a depleted Louisville team. Sure our RPI was 25, but a lot of that had to do with the fact we just played UNC, MSU and WF. We got brownie points for losing to them. Next year, if we want the seed we "deserve" we have to win those games.

Still disagree. Each year should be seeded independently, and depend only on the games played that year. Also, we weren't close to WF. But, unlike A&M who we just lost to, we didn't have any games where we only scored 10 points in a half. Purdue played high RPI teams in the Big 10 that would pad their RPI as well. They have no excuse for such a bad RPI.

Now, having said that, UNLV got hosed worse than we did. Not only should they have had a 5 seed with their RPI, they certainly played like a 5 seed today.

Go Cougs!!!!!!!

Part of the problem is that the committee is inconsistent with RPI. There were a few teams with RPI in the 30's that didn't even get in so obviously there is a lot more that they consider when seeding besides RPI. And right or wrong, your tournament reputation is going to play in to that. They need to decide what RPI means. Either it is a key indicator of team quality or it isn't.

=====

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by TrueBlueFREAK - 2008/03/20 20:34

I was disappointed AND excited by the following:

DISAPPOINTED

1. As a team, BYUs complete lack of heart. I don't say that lightly, they deserve that criticism. Where was the fire? Where was the jumping up and down, psyching up your teammates, showing a little spunk? Every face shot of BYU players--both on the court and on the bench--showed either a "frightened to death, here we go again," look or what looked to me like complete disinterest. That lack of determination and heart was clearly demonstrated by BYUs complete failure to rebound the ball. A&M did NOT put on some clinic on aggressive, sound rebound skills. They outrebounded us because we were SO often flat footed and weak (i.e., Plaisted letting guys push him entirely under the basket).

EXCITED

1. All of what I just said doesn't apply to Fredetter or Collinsworth. I have started to feel "giddy" when Collinsworth takes the floor. He often makes an instant impact; Jimmer, meanwhile, did have the fire I so wanted to see in everyone else. So maybe the future boards better than we think?

=====

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by Gunk - 2008/03/20 21:06

TrueBlueFREAK wrote:

I was disappointed AND excited by the following:

DISAPPOINTED

1. As a team, BYUs complete lack of heart. I don't say that lightly, they deserve that criticism. Where was the fire? Where was the jumping up and down, psyching up your teammates, showing a little spunk? Every face shot of BYU players--both on the court and on the bench--showed either a "frightened to death, here we go again," look or what looked to me like complete disinterest. That lack of determination and heart was clearly demonstrated by BYUs complete failure to rebound the ball. A&M did NOT put on some clinic on aggressive, sound rebound skills. They outrebounded us because we were SO often flat footed and weak (i.e., Plaisted letting guys push him entirely under the basket).

EXCITED

1. All of what I just said doesn't apply to Fredetter or Collinsworth. I have started to feel "giddy" when Collinsworth takes the floor. He often makes an instant impact; Jimmer, meanwhile, did have the fire I so wanted to see in everyone else. So maybe the future boards better than we think?

Jimmer was the only one out there showing some passion. The kid wants to win and thirsts for it. We was the bright spot of the game as was Collinsworth play. Great passes and defense by him. Should have dunked it on that breakaway, but awesome play from him.

I think Trent and Lee are competitive, but they are inward with their emotions which can cause them to collapse and certainly doesn't help fire up their team. McGregor next season will some fire.

=====

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by cougarfan144 - 2008/03/20 21:12

I was doing some reflecting. When was the last time we played "our game"? The answer I came up with was the CSU game, opening game of the MWC tourney. That was the last game that EVERY player knew they would win. There was no doubt from a single one of them. Every game since has had doubters. Believe me.

Granted CSU wasn't a good team and that had a lot to do with our confidence, but when this team KNOWS it can beat the other team, it plays lights out. UNTIL the mental toughness shows up, we'll always have these games that shoulda, coulda, woulda happens.

=====

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by Gunk - 2008/03/20 21:20

There is no room for doubt in these guys' head. I was dissappointed to hear Burgess complaining about the seed. If one of our team "leaders" is expressing such thoughts publicly what's the rest of the team whinning about in the locker room.

BYU, you want respect, man up and win a tournament game. You want fans in the stands, win a tournament game. Quite getting all our hopes up for something great and then whine about seeding and loose. If you can't beat A&M you don't deserve a better seeding.

=====

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by cougarfan144 - 2008/03/20 21:23

Gunk wrote:

There is no room for doubt in these guys' head. I was dissappointed to hear Burgess complaining about the seed. If one of our team "leaders" is expressing such thoughts publicly what's the rest of the team whinning about in the locker room.

BYU, you want respect, man up and win a tournament game. You want fans in the stands, win a tournament game. Quite getting all our hopes up for something great and then whine about seeding and loose. If you can't beat A&M you don't deserve a better seeding.

I think the selection committee would be doing us a favor by giving us a 16 seed. That will either put us right where we belong or we'll go out with a chip on our shoulder (and hopefully some anger) and play like we deserved better. That may

be the best way to get this team to show up when it really matters.

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by poppa - 2008/03/20 21:52

My thoughts from watching UNLV and BYU play today.....

1. Lon Kruger is a hell of a coach and the best coach in our league but Rose is not that far behind.
2. It is always amazing to be reminded of how important fundamentals are in big games. Rebounding the ball on defense would have changed the outcome of the game.
3. It was refreshing to watch a game where the referee's did not have a large impact on the game.
4. I hate to criticize players and I think this is the first post in which I ever had, but Tavernari's half court 3 pointer was more selfish than stupid. He put himself ahead of the team and wanted the glory of hitting a huge shot on the big stage. I like his confidence and desire but if he doesn't mature he could become a cancer to the team. I don't think his shot lost us the game, but in my own illogical estimates, our odds of winning the game was at about 30-35 % at the time of his shot and 5% after he missed and we failed to rebound.

Good year Cougs...You were fun to watch this season. I hope the team works their butts off during this off-season and soo that they can get over this 1st round hump next time!!!!

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by lovelldog - 2008/03/20 22:15

I don't think BYU played a bad game tonight. This is one of the better defenses we have played against and Lee, Trent, and Tavernari were all right around their averages for points. Unfortunately they all just had their average game and we needed someone to step it up. Fredette helped but unfortunately neither Murdock or Burgess were really even there.

For A & M to win they needed a big game from streaky Carter and they really got it. He was shooting great from 3 whether he was wide open or had someone in his face. I think A & M deserved the win but only because of Carter being so on tonight. I think most times we would beat them, unfortunately one of those times wasn't tonight.

Congrats to the Cougars for another good season. We always want it to be better but it is still hard to not be proud of the season we had.

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by Gunk - 2008/03/20 22:44

lovelldog wrote:

I don't think BYU played a bad game tonight. This is one of the better defenses we have played against and Lee, Trent, and Tavernari were all right around their averages for points. Unfortunately they all just had their average game and we needed someone to step it up. Fredette helped but unfortunately neither Murdock or Burgess were really even there.

For A & M to win they needed a big game from streaky Carter and they really got it. He was shooting great from 3 whether he was wide open or had someone in his face. I think A & M deserved the win but only because of Carter being so on tonight. I think most times we would beat them, unfortunately one of those times wasn't tonight.

Congrats to the Cougars for another good season. We always want it to be better but it is still hard to not be proud of the season we had.

We could have used a few more points from Trent, but you are right. The bg 3 did an average job. Once again...poor guards sunk us (Jimmer not included). Burgess was pretty quiet through MWC tournament and Murdock was liability.

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by imuakahuku - 2008/03/20 23:02

Free throws, freethrows, freethrows.

=====

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by imuakahuku - 2008/03/20 23:08

hypercoug wrote:
Please no complaining or whining.

BYU basketball is what it is.

Again it is proven that our seeding was as deserved, we just aren't a clutch enough team to win a close game against a decent team.

We'll get another shot next year.

Please no "realist" gloating with a "told you so because I knew it". If they had won you wouldn't be on here saying a thing.

And how in the world did this game prove we got the seeding we deserved? If you played a lower seed such as an 11 or 12 don't you think we would have won? So if the higher seed loses then that is proof that they got the seeding they deserved. So when an 11 beats a six the six was in the correct seed? :dry:

=====

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by detmer4prez - 2008/03/21 00:19

imuakahuku wrote:
hypercoug wrote:
Please no complaining or whining.

BYU basketball is what it is.

Again it is proven that our seeding was as deserved, we just aren't a clutch enough team to win a close game against a decent team.

We'll get another shot next year.

Please no "realist" gloating with a "told you so because I knew it". If they had won you wouldn't be on here saying a thing.

And how in the world did this game prove we got the seeding we deserved? If you played a lower seed such as an 11 or 12 don't you think we would have won? So if the higher seed loses then that is proof that they got the seeding they deserved. So when an 11 beats a six the six was in the correct seed? :dry:

We were an 8 seed we lost to a 9 seed. If anyone should be complaining that they got a low seed it should have been TAMU because they beat the higher seed. If we were worthy of a higher seed then shouldn't we have proven it by beating someone as low as a 9 seed?

=====

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by Yndian - 2008/03/21 06:20

Okay, I've got four main complaints:
1. The refs screwed us.

2. The committee screwed us with a bad seed.
3. The committee is out to screw non-BCS conferences.
4. CBS owns the mtn., CBS telecasts the tournament, and CBS conspired to get all MWC teams out of the tournament as retribution for fans complaining about the mtn.

I'm kidding, of course, but really, we just couldn't rebound or hit free throws. That's basically it. I don't remember the last time I never really noticed the refs because they called a GREAT game. Any chance of getting those guys to come to the MWC? Or at least to UNLV...

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by hypercoug - 2008/03/21 11:29

imuakahuku wrote:

hypercoug wrote:

Please no complaining or whining.

BYU basketball is what it is.

Again it is proven that our seeding was as deserved, we just aren't a clutch enough team to win a close game against a decent team.

We'll get another shot next year.

Please no "realist" gloating with a "told you so because I knew it". If they had won you wouldn't be on here saying a thing.

And how in the world did this game prove we got the seeding we deserved? If you played a lower seed such as an 11 or 12 don't you think we would have won? So if the higher seed loses then that is proof that they got the seeding they deserved. So when an 11 beats a six the six was in the correct seed? :dry:

The REALITY is we lost to a lower seed, proving in the minds of the selection committee that we are not as good as a 9 seed. We lost to a 9 seed. How can you say that makes BYU better than an 8 seed?

No, BYU was not screwed, they were given a fair shot and LOST.

That is the REALITY.

And I'm not gloating. I sure wish they had one, but was not at all surprised to see them go down on a neutral court against a decent opponent.

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by TimO - 2008/03/21 11:33

I think BYU should have won the game, but didn't necessarily feel they were cheated out of it-they lost to unlv...a bad loss-that's why we got our seeding we did. It's nice to tie it up at half from being down 11-0. That's the real problem. losing to unlv, and being down 11-0. I'm glad kind of because we will get Trent back-there's no way the NBA will want him early, with how he likes to open big games, and not play D
Cougs next year will sweep the mwc

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by imuakahuku - 2008/03/21 11:39

hypercoug wrote:

imuakahuku wrote:

hypercoug wrote:

Please no complaining or whining.

BYU basketball is what it is.

Again it is proven that our seeding was as deserved, we just aren't a clutch enough team to win a close game against a decent team.

We'll get another shot next year.

Please no "realist" gloating with a "told you so because I knew it". If they had won you wouldn't be on here saying a thing.

And how in the world did this game prove we got the seeding we deserved? If you played a lower seed such as an 11 or 12 don't you think we would have won? So if the higher seed loses then that is proof that they got the seeding they deserved. So when an 11 beats a six the six was in the correct seed? :dry:

The REALITY is we lost to a lower seed, proving in the minds of the selection committee that we are not as good as a 9 seed. We lost to a 9 seed. How can you say that makes BYU better than an 8 seed?

No, BYU was not screwed, they were given a fair shot and LOST.

That is the REALITY.

And I'm not gloating. I sure wish they had one, but was not at all surprised to see them go down on a neutral court against a decent opponent.

The REALITY is that we played a nine seed not an 11 or 12 seed. We played a tougher team than what the five and six seed teams played. So had we played as a five or six and won then would you say we deserved that seed? The strongest teams in comparason to each other in the first round are the 8 and 9 seeds. So BYU had one of, if not the toughest, first games amongst the top 32 teams.

=====

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by detmer4prez - 2008/03/21 11:44

imuakahuku wrote:

hypercoug wrote:

imuakahuku wrote:

hypercoug wrote:

Please no complaining or whining.

BYU basketball is what it is.

Again it is proven that our seeding was as deserved, we just aren't a clutch enough team to win a close game against a decent team.

We'll get another shot next year.

Please no "realist" gloating with a "told you so because I knew it". If they had won you wouldn't be on here saying a thing.

And how in the world did this game prove we got the seeding we deserved? If you played a lower seed such as an 11 or 12 don't you think we would have won? So if the higher seed loses then that is proof that they got the seeding they deserved. So when an 11 beats a six the six was in the correct seed? :dry:

The REALITY is we lost to a lower seed, proving in the minds of the selection committee that we are not as good as a 9 seed. We lost to a 9 seed. How can you say that makes BYU better than an 8 seed?

No, BYU was not screwed, they were given a fair shot and LOST.

That is the REALITY.

And I'm not gloating. I sure wish they had one, but was not at all surprised to see them go down on a neutral court

against a decent opponent.

The REALITY is that we played a nine seed not an 11 or 12 seed. We played a tougher team than what the five and six seed teams played. So had we played as a five or six and won then would you say we deserved that seed? The strongest teams in comparason to each other in the first round are the 8 and 9 seeds. So BYU had one of, if not the toughest, first games amongst the top 32 teams.

...and we lost. The only thing we proved is that we aren't good enough to beat a 9 seed.

=====

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by Slugger - 2008/03/21 11:49

Leaving last year's top 3-point shooter wide open on three straight possessions and throwing up 3-pointers of your own in the first two minutes without going underneath to your talented big man with a signle defender...stupid. I didn't watch the game but listening on the radio I had to wonder if this was the same team. How many points did Plaisted have in the first half? 2? Are you kidding me? That was a horrible game and an embarassing loss to "the best regular season b-ball team in BYU history".

Oh, and special thanks to that moron Dana Greene from Channel 4 for conveniently making it a point to Jay Bilas that BYU hadn't won a first round game in umpteen years...thanks Dana, for making sure the one time BYU gets mentioned by ESPN it is for something negative. It's no wonder Channel 4 has been bottom of rankings for so long.

So glad football is in the headlights now....

=====

Re:Lost - Fair and Square

Posted by Hipsdamann - 2008/03/21 12:07

I totally agree, BYU has a monkey on their backs about NCAA tournament. Hopefully we can do it next year but don't hold your breath

=====