
I was checking out the Washington board.

Posted by raidingtombs - 2008/03/22 13:49

It seems they have a little info on BYU. They seem to think that BYU and the MWC don't care for defenses. I hope they are that clueless since BYU has fielded a good defnsne for the past 2 years. I think they are all stuck on old WAC years when BYU just out scored other teams and played no defense. They are scared of our offense like they should be but think our defense is nothing to be concerned about. they use Tulsa as an example. I love how everyone likes to use ONE game vs a team that we had no film on and finished with the #1 offense in the country as a reason why our defense is no good meanwhile they ignore how BYU played very good defesne vs UCLA and AZ unlike Washington.

=====

Re:I was checking out the Washington board.

Posted by declocoug - 2008/03/22 13:53

WELIII..... WE actually don't know too much about our defense. But certainly more than they should.

=====

Re:I was checking out the Washington board.

Posted by gmj81 - 2008/03/22 14:18

No matter who ends up starting and who ends up being the back-ups, you can be confident in one thing... We will have another top 25 defense. Our coaches are too good and prepare the boys so well.

In the next year or two I think alot of other programs will try to decimate our coaching staff. We've already seen it happen with Grimes and attempts with Hill.

=====

Re:I was checking out the Washington board.

Posted by BOID - 2008/03/24 16:33

Isn't Washington the team whose coach ranked BYU outside the top 25 after the last bowl season? Sounds like payback time.

=====

Re:I was checking out the Washington board.

Posted by MajorCougar - 2008/03/24 16:52

Without giving them too much props, there are several other teams in the conference that have decent to good defenses in the last several years:

TCU
Utah
New Mexico

The MWC, unfortunately, has more parity, top to bottom, than most other conferences. This is because the defenses, for the most part, are ahead of the offenses, and keep the games in check. This allows for "anybody can win on any given Saturday".

I would LOVE to see an Oregon-esque-type beatdown on UW's own field... While that may be a tall order, I don't see that as unobtainable:

- 1) Get an early lead in the game.
 - 2) Get Washington out of their comfort zone: i.e. force Locker to throw more than he wants vs allowing him to run the ball.
 - 3) Pin your ears back on defense and let the snowball roll...
- =====

Re:I was checking out the Washington board.

Posted by Hengst - 2008/03/24 17:29

what we should hope for is a little extra motivation. UW is planning on unveiling a 1984 national championship banner next year. Let's up they chose the BYU game to do it! :-)

=====

Re:I was checking out the Washington board.

Posted by B-Rex - 2008/03/24 20:28

Hengst wrote:

what we should hope for is a little extra motivation. UW is planning on unveiling a 1984 national championship banner next year. Let's up they chose the BYU game to do it! :-)

I remember in 1985 when we had no defense and beat UW 31 to 3 in Provo!!! Just another weak WAC team!

Don James was a class act. He was a defense-minded coach, but basically brought the same team to Provo that beat Barry Switzer's Oklahomely Sooners in the Orange Bowl. He said nothing about "We should have been the National Champs" because he has us on the schedule.

=====

Re:I was checking out the Washington board.

Posted by Ovid - 2008/03/25 09:41

Hengst wrote:

UW is planning on unveiling a 1984 national championship banner next year.:)

Hengst, are you serious? Can you give us sources, more info?

Go Cougs!!!

=====

Re:I was checking out the Washington board.

Posted by BYUtx - 2008/03/25 10:16

Ovid wrote:

Hengst wrote:

UW is planning on unveiling a 1984 national championship banner next year.:)

Hengst, are you serious? Can you give us sources, more info?

Go Cougs!!!

I remember hearing that, but i thought they did it last year.

=====

Re:I was checking out the Washington board.

Posted by Hengst - 2008/03/25 11:12

http://blogs.thenewstribune.com/uwsports/2007/10/26/uw_to_recognize_1984_team_as_champions

=====

Re:I was checking out the Washington board.

Posted by BYUtx - 2008/03/25 13:03

raidingtombs wrote:

It seems they have a little info on BYU. They seem to think that BYU and the MWC don't care for defenses.

From what I've seen, UW cares a lot less about offense (and winning games). From what I've seen (granted, it was last year), we don't need much of a defense.

This COULD be a huge win for us. If we just take care of business, don't have stupid to's and penalties, and forget that we're playing on the road, it could be a double-digit win.

=====

Re:I was checking out the Washington board.

Posted by raidingtomb - 2008/03/26 03:37

BYUtx wrote:

raidingtombs wrote:

It seems they have a little info on BYU. They seem to think that BYU and the MWC don't care for defenses.

From what I've seen, UW cares a lot less about offense (and winning games). From what I've seen (granted, it was last year), we don't need much of a defense.

This COULD be a huge win for us. If we just take care of business, don't have stupid to's and penalties, and forget that we're playing on the road, it could be a double-digit win.

i agree they were no that good offesnively last year and they'll liely be even less so with a new O-line, Wr, and RB. this game should be an double digit win.

=====

Re:I was checking out the Washington board.

Posted by Sage - 2008/03/26 09:41

What are you doing up a 3:25 in the morning? You must really love cougarblue.

=====

Re:I was checking out the Washington board.

Posted by Ovid - 2008/03/26 10:20

Hengst wrote:

http://blogs.thenewstribune.com/uwsports/2007/10/26/uw_to_recognize_1984_team_as_champions

Thanks Hengst, absolutely amazing. I just can't believe people sometimes. Most of the comments to the article were against the move.

=====

Re:I was checking out the Washington board.

Posted by Florwood - 2008/03/26 12:14

Hengst wrote:

what we should hope for is a little extra motivation. UW is planning on unveiling a 1984 national championship banner next year. Let's hope they choose the BYU game to do it! :-)

http://blogs.thenewstribune.com/uwsports/2007/10/26/uw_to_recognize_1984_team_as_champions

I sent an email to the columnist (Don Ruiz) asking him if he'd heard anything about this since last fall, and passing on

Hengst's suggestion to do it during the BYU football game. He responded:

"I haven't heard anything new, and I doubt that anything new will happen until the new athletic director is in place. I do know that Todd Turner at least jokingly mentioned that BYU's visit would be a good time to do it.

--Don Ruiz, The NewsTribune"

=====

Re:I was checking out the Washington board.

Posted by A_King - 2008/03/27 05:59

They want to argue that one season and strength of schedule..but that article had a comment quoting the records for both teams' opponents:

BYU's opponents 1984 = 61-85-3
Washington's " 1984 = 65-70-1

Well, polls are HUMAN polls and alot of factors go into their reasoning and I think merits to the program on previous season and what BYU was due thanks to the 5 years previous gave them the nod for that year.

Especially since it was a first-time opportunity.

Five year record preceding and including 1984:

Washington
1984 11 1
1983 8 4
1982 10 2
1981 10 2
1980 9 3
1979 9-3
TOTAL 57-15

BYU
1984 13 0
1983 11 1
1982 8 4
1981 11 2
1980 12 1
1979 11 1
TOTAL 66-9

I think the 9 losses in 5 seasons and respect for LaVell 'suaded the human poll.

P.S. One huskie fan said it right when he said, and I quote, "Playoff, playoff, playoff, playoff, playoff!"

That's the only real way.

=====

Re:I was checking out the Washington board.

Posted by Gymcoug318 - 2008/03/27 06:07

Dude they just need to let it go! They weren't the national champions so get over it! We might of had a poor strength of schedule! But we didn't lose a game! They had a bad strength of schedule as well! But they lost a game!

=====

Re:I was checking out the Washington board.

Posted by imuakahuku - 2008/03/27 07:00

Florwood wrote:

Hengst wrote:

what we should hope for is a little extra motivation. UW is planning on unveiling a 1984 national championship banner next year. Let's hope they choose the BYU game to do it! :-)

http://blogs.thenewstribune.com/uwsports/2007/10/26/uw_to_recognize_1984_team_as_champions

I sent an email to the columnist (Don Ruiz) asking him if he'd heard anything about this since last fall, and passing on Hengst's suggestion to do it during the BYU football game. He responded:

"I haven't heard anything new, and I doubt that anything new will happen until the new athletic director is in place. I do know that Todd Turner at least jokingly mentioned that BYU's visit would be a good time to do it.

--Don Ruiz, The NewsTribune"

That is what I was wondering when I first read the article. Can you imagine the slap in the face that would be to BYU? Talk about bulletin board material!

=====

Re:I was checking out the Washington board.

Posted by craigocarson - 2008/03/27 07:12

All the bulletin board material you need is to remember how Ty Willingham voted for us in the coaches poll last year. He was one of three coaches that had us out of the top 25.

http://www.usatoday.com/sports/graphics/coaches_fb_poll_2007/flash.htm

=====

Re:I was checking out the Washington board.

Posted by A_King - 2008/03/27 23:15

Ugh...I hate that kind of crap. How HOW do you NOT put BYU in the top 25??? I mean, ok, so you hate us for beating you in 2004 and helping you lose your job. But, don't you want to conceal your bias and at least keep us in but lower than we deserve? That is how the other haters keep us down.

=====

Re:I was checking out the Washington board.

Posted by Cougfan84 - 2008/03/28 00:02

Willingham is a loser. He should have stayed at stanford back in the day. Les Miles and one other coach i hadn't heard of make me mad for not puttin us in the top 25 either. And one more question...Why is Joe Glenn a voter for the coaches poll and not Bronco Mendenhall. Joe Glenn hasn't won anything and chances of him coaching at Wyoming for much longer are very slim so why does he deserve a vote...honestly. college football sucks because of the ol' boys club running the show.

=====

Re:I was checking out the Washington board.

Posted by Yndian - 2008/03/28 06:30

Cougfan84 wrote:

Willingham is a loser. He should have stayed at stanford back in the day. Les Miles and one other coach i hadn't heard of make me mad for not puttin us in the top 25 either. And one more question...Why is Joe Glenn a voter for the coaches poll and not Bronco Mendenhall. Joe Glenn hasn't won anything and chances of him coaching at Wyoming for much longer are very slim so why does he deserve a vote...honestly. college football sucks because of the ol' boys club running the show.

Don't they change the coaches every few years? Or am I thinking of the media poll?

=====

Re:I was checking out the Washington board.

Posted by Conosticator - 2008/03/29 17:09

A_King wrote:

They want to argue that one season and strength of schedule..but that article had a comment quoting the records for both teams' opponents:

BYU's opponents 1984 = 61-85-3

Washington's " 1984 = 65-70-1

Well, polls are HUMAN polls and alot of factors go into their reasoning and I think merits to the program on previous season and what BYU was due thanks to the 5 years previous gave them the nod for that year.

Especially since it was a first-time opportunity.

Five year record preceding and including 1984:

Washington

1984 11 1

1983 8 4

1982 10 2

1981 10 2

1980 9 3

1979 9-3

TOTAL 57-15

BYU

1984 13 0

1983 11 1

1982 8 4

1981 11 2

1980 12 1

1979 11 1

TOTAL 66-9

I think the 9 losses in 5 seasons and respect for LaVell 'suaded the human poll.

P.S. One huskie fan said it right when he said, and I quote, "Playoff, playoff, playoff, playoff, playoff!"

That's the only real way.

Maybe it's my eye glasses but it sure looks to me like that was 9 losses in 6 seasons.

It also is worth mentioning that at the end of 1984 BYU was on a 24 game win streak (last loss was the first game of the 1983 season to Baylor 36-40).

The Huskies could have played BYU in the Holiday Bowl but ducked the opportunity so that they could play Oklahoma for a bigger cash pay-out.

The only had themselves to blame. Their greed prevented kept them from having the opportunity to play for the NC. Too bad, so sad...<http://www.johnstun.org/images/emotes/nopity.gif>

=====

Re:I was checking out the Washington board.

Posted by Getback - 2008/03/29 18:14

Normally, I wouldn't give us much of a chance to win up in Seattle. Not because Washington is any great shakes these days but because it truly is a very tough place to play. We've had great, great teams go into Seattle and lose before. But I agree Ty W. is a very poor coach. He comes across very authoritative and serious, like he knows what he's doing but his track record stinks! I think we have a chance up there this fall just because Ty W. and his staff aren't that good. I predict he'll be canned at the end of 2008--for sure by 2009.

=====