
QB stats don't bode well

Posted by Sage - 2008/03/24 18:04

I was checking out the stats <http://www.cougarstats.com/lists/ratingseason.html> of the best qbs in our history, and without exception, from what I could see the passing efficiency rating of every one of them went down their senior year. Now I like Hall and am sure he will be much better, but the numbers for next year seem like they will be very good, but the following year will not be as good.

Strange numbers

Oops, Detmer's soph was his best by the numbers, but his senior year was better than his jr. But he seemed better the year he won the heisman.

Season Passing Efficiency Rating Points

* current player

Minimum 100 attempts*

Rnk

Name	Year	Att	Cmp	Yds	TD	Int	Rtg
1 McMahon, Jim	1980	445	284	4,571	47	18	176.9
2 Detmer, Ty	1989	412	265	4,560	32	15	175.6
3 Sarkisian, Steve	1996	404	278	4,027	33	12	173.6
4 Beck, John	2006	417	289	3,885	32	8	169.1
5 Detmer, Ty	1991	403	249	4,031	35	12	168.5
6 Young, Steve	1983	429	306	3,902	33	10	168.5
7 Doman, Brandon	2001	408	261	3,542	33	8	159.7
8 Walsh, John	1993	397	244	3,727	28	15	156.0
9 Detmer, Ty	1990	562	361	5,188	41	28	155.9
10 Nielsen, Gifford	1977	156	98	1,167	16	3	155.7
11 McMahon, Jim	1981	423	272	3,555	30	7	155.0
12 Bosco, Robbie	1984	458	283	3,875	33	11	151.8
13 Sarkisian, Steve	1995	385	250	3,437	20	14	149.8
14 Wilson, Marc	1977	277	164	2,418	24	18	148.1
15 Wilson, Marc	1979	427	250	3,720	29	15	147.1

Re:QB stats don't bode well

Posted by Hengst - 2008/03/24 19:28

If I'm reading your chart right it looks like Sarkesian's numbers went way up his senior year (and my memory of his senior year would confirm that). Also, I know Beck had much better number his senior year. I don't think we've seen anything to indicate that Hall will be worse next year.

Re:QB stats don't bode well

Posted by A_King - 2008/03/24 19:38

Agreed. I am not sure your numbers are agreeing with your premise that it should be down hill from here for Hall.

Hall will get better, if he keeps working hard and if Bronco keeps expecting alot from him.

Re:QB stats don't bode well

Posted by imuakahuku - 2008/03/24 20:02

Sage wrote:

I was checking out the stats <http://www.cougarstats.com/lists/ratingseason.html> of the best qbs in our history, and without exception, from what I could see the passing efficiency rating of every one of

them went down their senior year. Now I like Hall and am sure he will be much better, but the numbers for next year seem like they will be very good, but the following year will not be as good.

Strange numbers

Oops, Detmer's soph was his best by the numbers, but his senior year was better than his jr. But he seemed better the year he won the heisman.

Season Passing Efficiency Rating Points

* current player

Minimum 100 attempts*

Rnk

Name	Year	Att	Cmp	Yds	TD	Int	Rtg	
1	McMahon, Jim	1980	445	284	4,571	47	18	176.9
2	Detmer, Ty	1989	412	265	4,560	32	15	175.6
3	Sarkisian, Steve	1996	404	278	4,027	33	12	173.6
4	Beck, John	2006	417	289	3,885	32	8	169.1
5	Detmer, Ty	1991	403	249	4,031	35	12	168.5
6	Young, Steve	1983	429	306	3,902	33	10	168.5
7	Doman, Brandon	2001	408	261	3,542	33	8	159.7
8	Walsh, John	1993	397	244	3,727	28	15	156.0
9	Detmer, Ty	1990	562	361	5,188	41	28	155.9
10	Nielsen, Gifford	1977	156	98	1,167	16	3	155.7
11	McMahon, Jim	1981	423	272	3,555	30	7	155.0
12	Bosco, Robbie	1984	458	283	3,875	33	11	151.8
13	Sarkisian, Steve	1995	385	250	3,437	20	14	149.8
14	Wilson, Marc	1977	277	164	2,418	24	18	148.1
15	Wilson, Marc	1979	427	250	3,720	29	15	147.1

You're not wolfie's little brother are you?

Re:QB stats don't bode well

Posted by Sage - 2008/03/24 20:43

You're not wolfie's little brother are you?

No way..

and I was not trying to presume doom. It was just very surprising to read. As I recall, Beck who is not listed in the top of the efficiency charts, did much better which brought him such national attention. I think the qb for byu is critical as for every top team. Many years ago nfl miami won the sb with dilfer. Grossman won in Chicago. byu won with bosco. It is not the only way to win, but I like Hall and his competitiveness. It just surprised me with the stats to see such a drop off.

Re:QB stats don't bode well

Posted by Yndian - 2008/03/24 20:51

imuakahuku wrote:

You're not wolfie's little brother are you?

Ahhh... Cougarblue:Airwolf-free for 26 days and counting...

Re:QB stats don't bode well

Posted by Mingjai - 2008/03/24 22:04

Sage wrote:

Season Passing Efficiency Rating Points

* current player

Minimum 100 attempts*

Rnk

Name	Year	Att	Cmp	Yds	TD	Int	Rtg
1 McMahon, Jim	1980	445	284	4,571	47	18	176.9
2 Detmer, Ty	1989	412	265	4,560	32	15	175.6
3 Sarkisian, Steve	1996	404	278	4,027	33	12	173.6
4 Beck, John	2006	417	289	3,885	32	8	169.1
5 Detmer, Ty	1991	403	249	4,031	35	12	168.5
6 Young, Steve	1983	429	306	3,902	33	10	168.5
7 Doman, Brandon	2001	408	261	3,542	33	8	159.7
8 Walsh, John	1993	397	244	3,727	28	15	156.0
9 Detmer, Ty	1990	562	361	5,188	41	28	155.9
10 Nielsen, Gifford	1977	156	98	1,167	16	3	155.7
11 McMahon, Jim	1981	423	272	3,555	30	7	155.0
12 Bosco, Robbie	1984	458	283	3,875	33	11	151.8
13 Sarkisian, Steve	1995	385	250	3,437	20	14	149.8
14 Wilson, Marc	1977	277	164	2,418	24	18	148.1
15 Wilson, Marc	1979	427	250	3,720	29	15	147.1

Just looking at your top ten, numbers 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 were all seniors.

=====

Re:QB stats don't bode well

Posted by detmer4prez - 2008/03/24 22:26

Isn't Hall a Junior? If you are worried about 2 years from now, don't. We will have one of our best teams of all time.

=====

Re:QB stats don't bode well

Posted by imuakahuku - 2008/03/25 06:11

Yndian wrote:

imuakahuku wrote:

You're not wolfie's little brother are you?

Ahhh... Cougarblue:Airwolf-free for 26 days and counting...

:laugh: :laugh: :laugh:

I guess I shouldn't encourage him! Bad me! Bad! Bad boy! No soup for you me)!

=====

Re:QB stats don't bode well

Posted by Sage - 2008/03/25 10:26

Mingjai wrote:

...at your top ten, numbers 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 were all seniors.

Just having some fun here. So I decided I would reevaluate my numbers based on year of playing.

Name	Year	Att	Cmp	Yds	TD	Int	Rtg	
1	McMahon, Jim	1980	445	284	4,571	47	18	176.9
11	McMahon, Jim	1981	423	272	3,555	30	7	155
40	McMahon, Jim	1978	176	87	1,307	6	8	114
2	Detmer, Ty	1989	412	265	4,560	32	15	175.6
5	Detmer, Ty	1991	403	249	4,031	35	12	168.5
9	Detmer, Ty	1990	562	361	5,188	41	28	155.9
27	Detmer, Ty	1988	153	83	1,252	13	10	138
3	Sarkisian, Steve	1996	404	278	4,027	33	12	173.6
13	Sarkisian, Steve	1995	385	250	3,437	20	14	149.8
4	Beck, John	2006	417	289	3,885	32	8	169.1
29	Beck, John	2005	513	331	3,709	27	13	137.6
34	Beck, John	2004	343	192	2,563	15	8	128.5
49	Beck, John	2003	145	73	864	5	5	104.9
6	Young, Steve	1983	429	306	3,902	33	10	168.5
25	Young, Steve	1982	367	230	3,100	18	18	140
44	Young, Steve	1981	112	56	731	5	5	110.6
8	Walsh, John	1993	397	244	3,727	28	15	156
20	Walsh, John	1992	100	52	857	9	5	143.7
21	Walsh, John	1994	463	284	3,712	29	14	143.3
10	Nielsen, Gifford	1977	156	98	1,167	16	3	155.7
22	Nielsen, Gifford	1976	372	207	3,192	29	19	143.2
23	Nielsen, Gifford	1975	180	110	1,471	10	7	140.3
12	Bosco, Robbie	1984	458	283	3,875	33	11	151.8
16	Bosco, Robbie	1985	511	338	4,273	30	24	146.4
14	Wilson, Marc	1977	277	164	2,418	24	18	148.1
15	Wilson, Marc	1979	427	250	3,720	29	15	147.1
47	Wilson, Marc	1978	233	121	1,499	8	13	106.1

It appears 1 McMahon and 2 Detmer and 12 Bosco and 14 Wilson had better years as underclassmen. A reanalysis and change of the order of the table really made a different perspective. Sark, Beck, Young, Walsh and Nielsen all had better years as seniors.

I wonder if the drop off of the highest rated qbs came because other teams were trying to take away what they felt were the most dangerous aspects of our offense. This has been rather interesting to analyze.

Credit to MS Excel for chart manipulation.

http://www.cougarblue.com/components/com_fireboard/template/cougarblue/images/english/emoticons/smile.png
:)

=====

Re:QB stats don't bode well

Posted by blue eyes - 2008/03/25 17:08

Sage wrote:

Mingjai wrote:

...at your top ten, numbers 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 were all seniors.

Just having some fun here. So I decided I would reevaluate my numbers based on year of playing.

Name	Year	Att	Cmp	Yds	TD	Int	Rtg	
1	McMahon, Jim	1980	445	284	4,571	47	18	176.9
11	McMahon, Jim	1981	423	272	3,555	30	7	155
40	McMahon, Jim	1978	176	87	1,307	6	8	114
2	Detmer, Ty	1989	412	265	4,560	32	15	175.6
5	Detmer, Ty	1991	403	249	4,031	35	12	168.5
9	Detmer, Ty	1990	562	361	5,188	41	28	155.9
27	Detmer, Ty	1988	153	83	1,252	13	10	138
3	Sarkisian, Steve	1996	404	278	4,027	33	12	173.6
13	Sarkisian, Steve	1995	385	250	3,437	20	14	149.8
4	Beck, John	2006	417	289	3,885	32	8	169.1
29	Beck, John	2005	513	331	3,709	27	13	137.6
34	Beck, John	2004	343	192	2,563	15	8	128.5
49	Beck, John	2003	145	73	864	5	5	104.9
6	Young, Steve	1983	429	306	3,902	33	10	168.5
25	Young, Steve	1982	367	230	3,100	18	18	140
44	Young, Steve	1981	112	56	731	5	5	110.6
8	Walsh, John	1993	397	244	3,727	28	15	156
20	Walsh, John	1992	100	52	857	9	5	143.7
21	Walsh, John	1994	463	284	3,712	29	14	143.3
10	Nielsen, Gifford	1977	156	98	1,167	16	3	155.7
22	Nielsen, Gifford	1976	372	207	3,192	29	19	143.2
23	Nielsen, Gifford	1975	180	110	1,471	10	7	140.3
12	Bosco, Robbie	1984	458	283	3,875	33	11	151.8
16	Bosco, Robbie	1985	511	338	4,273	30	24	146.4
14	Wilson, Marc	1977	277	164	2,418	24	18	148.1
15	Wilson, Marc	1979	427	250	3,720	29	15	147.1
47	Wilson, Marc	1978	233	121	1,499	8	13	106.1

It appears 1 McMahon and 2 Detmer and 12 Bosco and 14 Wilson had better years as underclassmen. A reanalysis and change of the order of the table really made a different perspective. Sark, Beck, Young, Walsh and Nielsen all had better years as seniors.

I wonder if the drop off of the highest rated qbs came because other teams were trying to take away what they felt were the most dangerous aspects of our offense. This has been rather interesting to analyze.

Credit to MS Excel for chart manipulation.

http://www.cougarblue.com/components/com_fireboard/template/cougarblue/images/english/emoticons/smile.png
:)

I hope I'm not bringing up too old a post, but here's my two cents. Of those four: Wilson's Jr and Sr numbers are virtually identical, so no real drop off there. Bosco's rating isn't that much lower his senior year, and ya gotta remember, when he was a Jr we won the NC! As for Detmer, his rating for Sr year is quite respectable, and the only real difference from a great soph year was 500 fewer passing yards...this is all coming on the heels of a Jr Heisman year when he threw a lot more int's. McMahon is really the only one up there who saw a significant drop in stats, mainly in yds and tds. Here's to Hall kickin it up a notch every year!

=====

Re:QB stats don't bode well

Posted by Hengst - 2008/03/25 17:15

Bosco had a shoulder problem his senior year as well.

=====

Re:QB stats don\'t bode well

Posted by Yndian - 2008/03/25 17:21

Hengst wrote:

Bosco had a shoulder problem his senior year as well.

I was just about to say the same thing.

=====