
Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by Real.Men.Wear.Blue - 2008/04/17 09:18

I know September 6th is a far away, but for those of you who are interested, the Washington Huskies have already started a thread regarding the BYU game in Seattle.

<http://64.226.228.21/board/messages/1/511.html?1208444263>

There's some entertaining stuff, but the usual anti-mormon bigotry rants as well. The Huskies seem to think Jake Locker is the Second Coming, and that he will single handedly destroy BYU. Never mind the fact that the kid can't throw. And the inconvenient detail that the Huskie's defense was one of the worst in the country last year. And they have a terrible coach.

Looks like, as the game gets closer, we can expect the same bigotry and uneducated abuse that we took from Arizona fans. I expect that the final result will be similar as well.

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by gordito - 2008/04/17 09:49

Wow! I wish I had that 15 minutes of my life back. The folks on that board don't represent UW very well (at least I hope not). I had to laugh at the hatred some of them expressed for BYU and Mormons. Pretty sad.

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by splitbamboo - 2008/04/17 09:54

gordito wrote:

Wow! I wish I had that 15 minutes of my life back. The folks on that board don't represent UW very well (at least I hope not). I had to laugh at the hatred some of them expressed for BYU and Mormons. Pretty sad.

I'd have to agree. Made me sick.

Interesting that the most vile poster turned out to be "post-mormon". If they're going to leave, why can't they just leave and leave it alone?

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by Cougy_Monster - 2008/04/17 11:19

Why do we continue to schedule PAC-10 teams? Their refs cheat and their fans are bigots.

Let's branch out a bit and schedule some games in a real conference.

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by WACoug - 2008/04/17 11:22

splitbamboo wrote:

gordito wrote:

Wow! I wish I had that 15 minutes of my life back. The folks on that board don't represent UW very well (at least I hope not). I had to laugh at the hatred some of them expressed for BYU and Mormons. Pretty sad.

I'd have to agree. Made me sick.

Interesting that the most vile poster turned out to be "post-mormon". If they're going to leave, why can't they just leave and leave it alone?

It's sure gonna suck for them when they lose. That's a lot of old, cold crow to eat. Of course I am probably way over estimating their integrity by assuming they would even own up to being beaten by BYU. :evil:

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by TimO - 2008/04/17 15:11

i wouldnt recommend going onto their board-unless your prone to enjoying rated-R movies. As far as i can respond to their arrogant accusations that all mormons are 'brainwashed' and f%*\$-ing weird...i'll just look forward to beating those disrespecting B##tards in fall...wearing magic underwear.

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by 97Alum - 2008/04/17 15:18

Cougy_Monster wrote:

Why do we continue to schedule PAC-10 teams? Their refs cheat and their fans are bigots.

Let's branch out a bit and schedule some games in a real conference.

Easy now, don't need to generalize the same way these people are on that Husky board. I'm a "pac-10 fan" and a member of the Church, as well as an alum of both BYU and a Pac-10 school. BTW, I do agree with you on the refs...worst refs in the NCAA.

I'm afraid that the bigotry we are experiencing (from AZ fans last year, and now UW fans) is not going to be confined to one "conference" or group of people. It's widespread and it only seems to be getting worse (didn't prophecy tell us this would be the case?). Just wait 'till BYU plays a team from the SEC someday...I can only IMAGINE the message board garbage.

I find it interesting that Husky fans are so full of bravado given how bad their team was last year. They claim improvement, but offer no real substance to that claim - except to tout Locker. I watched Locker play a few times last year, and he can be a real game changer, but I also saw him get completely wrapped up and shut down (see game against my 'Devils last year, for example). We'll see, but I don't expect much out of UW this year and my guess is all this "talk" is just more evidence of a growing sense of frustration amongst their fan-base.

Generally, I'm not into predictions, but I think the only way UW stays in the game is if BYU let's them stay in the game with sloppy play and mistakes. Otherwise, I think BYU walks away with a nice "warm-up" win.

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by BYUtx - 2008/04/17 15:59

97Alum wrote:

Cougy_Monster wrote:

Why do we continue to schedule PAC-10 teams? Their refs cheat and their fans are bigots.

Let's branch out a bit and schedule some games in a real conference.

BTW, I do agree with you on the refs...worst refs in the NCAA.

I disagree...worst refs in sports. Period.

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by 97Alum - 2008/04/17 16:03

BYUtx wrote:
97Alum wrote:
Cougy_Monster wrote:
Why do we continue to schedule PAC-10 teams? Their refs cheat and their fans are bigots.

Let's branch out a bit and schedule some games in a real conference.

BTW, I do agree with you on the refs...worst refs in the NCAA.

I disagree...worst refs in sports. Period.

Ha! You might be right with that one! :laugh: (Especially now that Donaghy is out of the NBA.)

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by Cougy_Monster - 2008/04/17 19:04

97Alum wrote:
Cougy_Monster wrote:
Why do we continue to schedule PAC-10 teams? Their refs cheat and their fans are bigots.

Let's branch out a bit and schedule some games in a real conference.

Easy now, don't need to generalize the same way these people are on that Husky board. I'm a "pac-10 fan" and a member of the Church, as well as an alum of both BYU and a Pac-10 school. BTW, I do agree with you on the refs...worst refs in the NCAA.

I'm afraid that the bigotry we are experiencing (from AZ fans last year, and now UW fans) is not going to be confined to one "conference" or group of people. It's widespread and it only seems to be getting worse (didn't prophecy tell us this would be the case?). Just wait 'till BYU plays a team from the SEC someday...I can only IMAGINE the message board garbage.

I find it interesting that Husky fans are so full of bravado given how bad their team was last year. They claim improvement, but offer no real substance to that claim - except to tout Locker. I watched Locker play a few times last year, and he can be a real game changer, but I also saw him get completely wrapped up and shut down (see game against my 'Devils last year, for example). We'll see, but I don't expect much out of UW this year and my guess is all this "talk" is just more evidence of a growing sense of frustration amongst their fan-base.

Generally, I'm not into predictions, but I think the only way UW stays in the game is if BYU let's them stay in the game with sloppy play and mistakes. Otherwise, I think BYU walks away with a nice "warm-up" win.

You're right, there are lots of cool PAC-10 fans so I shouldn't generalize like that. I do think, however, that other conferences, even SEC, have less anti-mormon sentiment than the PAC-10.

Anyway, Washington sucks. That's really the bottom line. Maybe I'd be a loser too if I were a Washington fan.

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by BYUROCKS - 2008/04/17 19:32

BYUtx wrote:
97Alum wrote:
Cougy_Monster wrote:
Why do we continue to schedule PAC-10 teams? Their refs cheat and their fans are bigots.

Let's branch out a bit and schedule some games in a real conference.

BTW, I do agree with you on the refs...worst refs in the NCAA.

I disagree...worst refs in sports. Period.

I played a Game if Teacher B ball the Companionship game let me just say that the Ref was worse, I think he might be from Cal ;)

but even our stake Presidents wife was like dang he is horrible

We lost in OT even tho I hit a 3 with 3 Second left so tied up the game but he called like 5 fouls on us in the 5 min OT and we lost by 10 :(

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by GolfDiveNCougs - 2008/04/17 20:12

Regarding the bashing that went on... meh, most of us have heard much worse, and put much more eloquently (and rationally).

I'm much less worried about people's opinions being influenced by those two or three idiots than, say, the idiot "Pastors for Huckabee" bloggers' twisted lies about the LDS Church, simply because people tend to accept such sources as "factual." (Humorous, when you think about it, because to be honest, I'd almost trust the opinions/"facts" of drunken sportsfans over politicians' stooges. At least you know sports fans actually believe what they're saying! :laugh:)

Regarding the football, there was only one real mention (and by a Cougar fan), in the whole diatribe about the real storyline of the game. Everybody was talking about their infallible Jake Locker and our under-talented, under-athletic, under-experienced defense. In fact, NOT the storyline.

The storyline is what an ENTIRE RETURNING OFFENSIVE JUGGERNAUT will be able to do against a similarly question-marked defense. I have little doubt that in a home-opener, the Locker kid will be well motivated to play good ball, and it would be unrealistic to expect our defense to absolutely shut him down. He'll score points. But he's one guy, and I've not heard much about anything for him to pass to, or for him to get blocks from, or for him to hand the ball off to.

Bottom line: If the defenses are a push (as I feel they probably are), then I, for one, am going to take a top 15 offense who won 11 games last year, and have had an entire off-season to mature and develop, rather than one kid with little if anything around him, just because he can run fast.

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by GolfDiveNCougs - 2008/04/17 20:25

Oh, P.S. and regarding the refereeing, I really can't agree with the title "worst refs in..." (pick your organization/level of abstraction), simply because a terrible referee infers incompetence/inaptitude at what he does.

Pac-10 referees do what they do purposefully and effectively. Unfortunately for all non-conference opponents who take the field against such officiating, what Pac-10 referees do is assure victories for the organization that writes them their paycheck. From a certain point of view, I'd say they do their job quite well!
:laugh: :laugh: :laugh:

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by njcoug - 2008/04/17 20:26

97Alum wrote:

Cougy_Monster wrote:

Why do we continue to schedule PAC-10 teams? Their refs cheat and their fans are bigots.

Let's branch out a bit and schedule some games in a real conference.

Just wait 'till BYU plays a team from the SEC someday...I can only IMAGINE the message board garbage.

The SEC boards are terrible. I stumbled upon an Arkansas board at the time Mitch Mustain transferred. The Arkansas fans were rather quite explicit on what they thought about Mustain. Still they hated Alabama more. The South is full of bigots. From the UW board most of the bigotry was coming from one or two posters, and other UW posters have stuck up for us. That wouldn't happen on an SEC board. No one would be sticking up for us and the rest would join on.

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by 97Alum - 2008/04/17 22:30

GolfDiveNCougs wrote:

Oh, P.S. and regarding the refereeing, I really can't agree with the title "worst refs in..." (pick your organization/level of abstraction), simply because a terrible referee infers incompetence/inertitude at what he does.

Pac-10 referees do what they do purposefully and effectively. Unfortunately for all non-conference opponents who take the field against such officiating, what Pac-10 referees do is assure victories for the organization that writes them their paycheck. From a certain point of view, I'd say they do their job quite well!
:laugh: :laugh: :laugh:

I understand where your perspective is coming from, and no doubt there were some atrocious calls in the first BYU-UCLA game; and, of course the debacle from a couple of years ago in the OU-Oregon game is a horrible example as well. However, having watched every ASU game for the last several years, I can tell you this - it really may be incompetence...it really is that bad.

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by cszjr - 2008/04/17 22:53

Don't let the U-Dub fans get to you. I went to school in the State of Washington and the U-Dubbers really think they are the only school in the nation. Most people don't realize that they are just as liberal, maybe more, than their Pac-10 brethren in Cali. So, of course they can't understand how someone can believe in a God other than the environment.

And since they are so liberal, their first defense is to call names. It takes too much brain power to actually try to put forth a logical argument.

I like Jake Locker, he's a great QB, but he doesn't have enough players around him. He can't carry the team all by himself.

I look to see U-Dub do better this year, but I just don't think they have the firepower to go toe-to-toe with the cougs.

It will be close because the games in Seattle. But I think we'll pull out a 7-10 point win.

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by BYUtx - 2008/04/17 22:56

I don't think it's fair to call Locker a great QB. He's a tremendous athlete and a great runner, but he's no better at playing the QB position than Curtis Brown was.

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by cszjr - 2008/04/17 23:06

You're right. He's a great athlete. His athleticism gives him the ability to make some great plays. And at times, he looks really good at QB. But, he doesn't have a great arm and he tends to run when he gets in trouble. What he lacks in understanding of the game he makes up for with his legs. His athleticism makes it hard to defend him, but not impossible.

Max is 10 times better at QB. There really is no comparison.

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by Tyler1830 - 2008/04/17 23:06

njcoug wrote:

The South is full of bigots.

I grew up in rural Texas and rural South Carolina. I moved back there after graduating from BYU. Other than the time I spent on my mission and at BYU, I've lived in the South my entire life. The first time I ever left the South was when I was 28 and I had to go to NYC for a few months with my job. While I was there I was absolutely shocked at the amount of racism and bigotry I encountered. It was by far worse than anything I ever saw growing up in South Carolina. NYC was less racially integrated, less egalitarian, less tolerant, and by far more bigoted than my little hometown of 3,000 in South Carolina. I know my experience is only anecdotal, but from what I've seen, I hardly think the South has a monopoly on bigotry or intolerance. Just my opinion. Anyway, I don't mean to get this thread off topic, but I had to respond.

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by cszjr - 2008/04/17 23:12

My mom is from the South. I was surprised by the racial division I saw on my visits to my relatives. There are some real angry people on both sides of the divide.

However, I also met alot of people that exuded "Southern Hospitality". And they are more likely to be from the small towns. Seemed to me that the hostility was greater in the bigger cities.

But I live in Idaho. We have plenty of people who are bigoted, but luckily, we have a greater number of people who are trying to live the golden rule.

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by njcoug - 2008/04/17 23:23

Tyler1830 wrote:

njcoug wrote:

The South is full of bigots.

I grew up in rural Texas and rural South Carolina. I moved back there after graduating from BYU. Other than the time I spent on my mission and at BYU, I've lived in the South my entire life. The first time I ever left the South was when I was 28 and I had to go to NYC for a few months with my job. While I was there I was absolutely shocked at the amount of racism and bigotry I encountered. It was by far worse than anything I ever saw growing up in South Carolina. NYC was less racially integrated, less egalitarian, less tolerant, and by far more bigoted than my little hometown of 3,000 in South Carolina. I know my experience is only anecdotal, but from what I've seen, I hardly think the South has a monopoly on bigotry or intolerance. Just my opinion. Anyway, I don't mean to get this thread off topic, but I had to respond. I should have clarified by South I meant Alabama, Mississippi, Missouri,Arkansas and Louisiana.

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by BYUtx - 2008/04/17 23:59

Tyler1830 wrote:
njcoug wrote:
The South is full of bigots.

I grew up in rural Texas and rural South Carolina. I moved back there after graduating from BYU. Other than the time I spent on my mission and at BYU, I've lived in the South my entire life. The first time I ever left the South was when I was 28 and I had to go to NYC for a few months with my job. While I was there I was absolutely shocked at the amount of racism and bigotry I encountered. It was by far worse than anything I ever saw growing up in South Carolina. NYC was less racially integrated, less egalitarian, less tolerant, and by far more bigoted than my little hometown of 3,000 in South Carolina. I know my experience is only anecdotal, but from what I've seen, I hardly think the South has a monopoly on bigotry or intolerance. Just my opinion. Anyway, I don't mean to get this thread off topic, but I had to respond. I don't know about SC, but throughout the rest of the south I would think people with your experience would be in the minority. Assuming that you're white, your race may also make a difference in perception.

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by Conosticator - 2008/04/18 00:15

Don't let the U-Dub fans get to you. I went to school in the State of Washington and the U-Dubbers really think they are the only school in the nation.

That's to be expected. Heck, most people in Seattle act like Eastern Washington (2/3 of the state) is on another planet.

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by Conosticator - 2008/04/18 00:19

But, he doesn't have a great arm and he tends to run when he gets in trouble.

Sounds like a kid that used to live in my neighborhood (he put the "hood" in "neighborhood"). He eventually got caught and had to pay to replace all those broken windows... :cheer:

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by MLOdawgs - 2008/04/18 04:38

Jake Locker doesn't have a good arm? How in the world can you come to that conclusion? He has a freakin cannon for an arm!

Watch this video clip and tell me how the heck you conclude he does not have a good arm:

<http://youtube.com/watch?v=BMfiq9CXss8>

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by cszjr - 2008/04/18 07:06

Just because a guy can gun it doesn't mean he has a good arm.

He is not accurate, it takes accuracy to have a good arm.

He also has trouble reading defenses. It takes an ability to read the defense to turn a good arm into a great arm. That's why Max has a huge advantage at QB over Locker.

Don't get me wrong, I really like Locker. He is exciting and fun to watch. But unless he learns to think at QB instead of just running, durability will be a big issue.

Locker has the athleticism to be a QB like Vince Young or Steve McNair. But I just doubt he'll ever reach that level.

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by Florwood - 2008/04/18 07:10

Tyler1830 wrote:

njcoug wrote:

The South is full of bigots.

....from what I've seen, I hardly think the South has a monopoly on bigotry or intolerance. Just my opinion. Anyway, I don't mean to get this thread off topic, but I had to respond.

When I moved to South Carolina back in 1990, I found to my surprise that I had to overcome my own, unrealized bigotry. For example, it took me a couple of years to get comfortable with situations where most of the people around me were black. Or to get over bad assumptions like "Why are all these black people out here in the countryside--aren't they all supposed to be in some rundown part of the city?"

Until I overcame my own prejudices, I decided to hold off cataloging everyone else's.

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by Hengst - 2008/04/18 08:08

cszjr wrote:

Just because a guy can gun it doesn't mean he has a good arm.

He is not accurate, it takes accuracy to have a good arm.

He also has trouble reading defenses. It takes an ability to read the defense to turn a good arm into a great arm. That's why Max has a huge advantage at QB over Locker.

Don't get me wrong, I really like Locker. He is exciting and fun to watch. But unless he learns to think at QB instead of just running, durability will be a big issue.

Locker has the athleticism to be a QB like Vince Young or Steve McNair. But I just doubt he'll ever reach that level.

Locker was only a Freshman last year. I'm willing to bet that his accuracy will improve every year. Not to say that he's going to be bullseye (marvel comics reference :-)) next year, but by the time he is a junior or senior he is going to be scary on both fronts.

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by cszjr - 2008/04/18 08:12

I would agree Hengst. He was just a freshman, who got thrown to the wolves. He should improve, just how much remains to be seen. He also took some vicious hits last year. That's a concern.

Another concern is the team around him. I didn't see anyone else that was playing at a high level. He needs support around him, I am just not sure that it is there. For example, Tebow made a huge improvement between Freshman and Sophomore years, so Locker could make significant improvement. It's not out of the question.

I'd love to see him turn into a Vince Young or Steve McNair. He's fun to watch. But only time will tell.

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by Slugger - 2008/04/18 09:26

MLOdaws wrote:

Jake Locker doesn't have a good arm? How in the world can you come to that conclusion? He has a freakin cannon for an arm!

Watch this video clip and tell me how the heck you conclude he does not have a good arm:

<http://youtube.com/watch?v=BMfiq9CXss8>

Zzzzzz...draw play, draw play, scramble left, scramble right, draw play, draw play, pitch right, pass, draw play, draw play...BORING

I admit, good arm and good speed. But not a great quarterback. Hall does not have Locker's athleticism, but he'll pick the UW defense apart. But the biggest problem for UW will be Unga.

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by Metallicat - 2008/04/18 09:42

MLOdaws wrote:

Jake Locker doesn't have a good arm? How in the world can you come to that conclusion? He has a freakin cannon for an arm!

Watch this video clip and tell me how the heck you conclude he does not have a good arm:

<http://youtube.com/watch?v=BMfiq9CXss8>

Locker only completed 47.3% of his passes and threw more picks than TD's. If that's what you consider a great arm I don't know what to say.

<http://sports.espn.go.com/nfc/teams/stats?teamId=264>

I'll take Hall over Locker every time.

<http://sports.espn.go.com/nfc/teams/stats?teamId=252>

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by Hengst - 2008/04/18 10:11

If you make direct comparisons to Hall and Locker you are comparing apples to oranges. Locker has 1 year of college football experience! 1! Hall has 3, that's a huge difference! Having a redshirt year as a qb is a big deal. Is Hall a better qb right now. Sure. Will he ultimately be the better qb at the end of both of their careers? Who knows? If locker can improve his completion percentage and willingham can get the huskies to win some games he might win a heisman.

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by Metallicat - 2008/04/18 10:18

I guess Hall has three years experience, kinda, sorta. How many years had it been since Hall had played in an actual game, much less a D1 game? I get what you're saying Hengst, Locker may turn out to be good (may), but since the only thing that matters right now is how they compare right now, I'll take Hall, who IS good NOW over Locker, who MAY turn out to be good.

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by Hengst - 2008/04/18 10:38

Metallicat wrote:

I guess Hall has three years experience, kinda, sorta. How many years had it been since Hall had played in an actual game, much less a D1 game? I get what you're saying Hengst, Locker may turn out to be good (may), but since the only thing that matters right now is how they compare right now, I'll take Hall, who IS good NOW over Locker, who MAY turn out to be good.

All I'm saying is it's kind of a silly comparison at this point. It's like comparing a 5 year starter to a rookie in the NFL. BYU is going to win in september, that's all that really matters :-). No need to beet up on a young qb. BYU definitely has the better O and D at this point. The only thing Washington has going for it in this game is that they're playing in seattle.

The only thing that worries me is that Washington did play a few games pretty tough up there last year. They beat boise state, and gave USC all they could handle. Could be an interesting game, but BYU should win by at least 1 TD.

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by bdhcougar - 2008/04/18 11:01

I think Locker's scrambling ability should probably be our biggest concern. Not saying they will be able to be successful - but we don't do so well when the quarterback decides to run...

That said I have total confidence in the team, as long as we are able to prepare for the above.

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by Cougy_Monster - 2008/04/18 14:52

bdhcougar wrote:

I think Locker's scrambling ability should probably be our biggest concern. Not saying they will be able to be successful - but we don't do so well when the quarterback decides to run...

I think the Cougs will do just fine since Locker will likely be deciding to run when he sees Jorgensen in his face....and by that time it will be too late.

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by jmchess1 - 2008/04/18 15:33

BYU plays pretty good defense against the option that Air Force throws at us every year. I think the key will be BYU's ability or inability to pressure the QB in order to force hurried throws. If Washington's QB is forced to either make quick decisions and or to run the ball I don't think BYU will a major problem with Washington.

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by MLOdawgs - 2008/04/19 17:18

I see what you meant by good arm. From my own experience when someone says they have a good arm it is referring to throwing (or pitching if baseball) strength, how far they can chuck a football, not how accurate they are.

Locker does need to work on his accuracy and touch (he devoted the off season to working on his intermediate passing), but his 47% completion percent wasn't entirely his fault. Our dawgs also had some inept wide receivers last year (all graduated) that dropped a lot of his passes.

He was only a freshman last year and his accuracy improved as the year went on, so I think each year he will get better and develop more touch. It is because he has such a powerful arm (was a baseball draft pick, 90+ mph fast ball, WA state player of the year in football & baseball) that he has below-average touch (that and his HS team ran a wing-t offense).

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by Metallicat - 2008/04/19 17:55

I hear where you are coming from. That's actually how I think of a "great arm" but in football accuracy is more important than being able to chuck it.

Locker definitely looks like a gamer and I'm sure the coaches will be game planning for him.

Here's to a great game in the fall!

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by WACoug - 2008/04/19 18:03

Metallicat wrote:

I hear where you are coming from. That's actually how I think of a "great arm" but in football accuracy is more important than being able to chuck it.

Locker definitely looks like a gamer and I'm sure the coaches will be game planning for him.

Here's to a great game in the fall!

Locker can definitely run. I don't remember the last time BYU played a QB that runs like Locker. This is the type of game where Bronco's "assignment sound defense" and tackling will be the key. If the defense can be disciplined enough to stay in their gaps and tackle well we should be able to limit his big runs.

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by CougarPeasant - 2008/04/19 19:06

WACoug wrote:

Metallicat wrote:

I hear where you are coming from. That's actually how I think of a "great arm" but in football accuracy is more important than being able to chuck it.

Locker definitely looks like a gamer and I'm sure the coaches will be game planning for him.

Here's to a great game in the fall!

Locker can definitely run. I don't remember the last time BYU played a QB that runs like Locker. This is the type of game where Bronco's "assignment sound defense" and tackling will be the key. If the defense can be disciplined enough to stay in their gaps and tackle well we should be able to limit his big runs.

The AFA QBs always can run. But that's a different style of offense.

The last mobile QB who really gave BYU fits was Bradlee Van Pelt of CSU. The horror!!!

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by CougarPeasant - 2008/04/19 19:16

MLOdawgs wrote:

I see what you meant by good arm. From my own experience when someone says they have a good arm it is referring to throwing (or pitching if baseball) strength, how far they can chuck a football, not how accurate they are.

Locker does need to work on his accuracy and touch (he devoted the off season to working on his intermediate passing), but his 47% completion percent wasn't entirely his fault. Our dawgs also had some inept wide receivers last year (all graduated) that dropped a lot of his passes.

He was only a freshman last year and his accuracy improved as the year went on, so I think each year he will get better and develop more touch. It is because he has such a powerful arm (was a baseball draft pick, 90+ mph fast ball, WA state player of the year in football & baseball) that he has below-average touch (that and his HS team ran a wing-t offense).

I am impressed with Locker. He's gonna be great once he uses his brain more than his legs. Beck had problems with his passing during his freshman season. He kept trying to throw the ball through the receiver instead of to the receiver. He burned them in like Brett Favre.

I just hope he gets really great AFTER BYU plays against him this season. ;)

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by WACoug - 2008/04/19 19:39

CougarPeasant wrote:

WACoug wrote:

Metallicat wrote:

I hear where you are coming from. That's actually how I think of a "great arm" but in football accuracy is more important than being able to chuck it.

Locker definitely looks like a gamer and I'm sure the coaches will be game planning for him.

Here's to a great game in the fall!

Locker can definitely run. I don't remember the last time BYU played a QB that runs like Locker. This is the type of game where Bronco's "assignment sound defense" and tackling will be the key. If the defense can be disciplined enough to stay in their gaps and tackle well we should be able to limit his big runs.

The AFA QBs always can run. But that's a different style of offense.

The last mobile QB who really gave BYU fits was Bradlee Van Pelt of CSU. The horror!!!

Air Force QB's can run, but they are not the caliber of athlete that Jake Locker is. I also do remember the drubbings that CSU laid on us during VanPelt's years. Athlete for athlete, if you swapped places with Locker and Tim Tebow, I am not sure you would see much of a difference. Tebow is probably a little better passer but he also is surrounded by much better athletes, a better offense, and better coaches.

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by BYUtx - 2008/04/19 20:08

Hengst wrote:

If you make direct comparisons to Hall and Locker you are comparing apples to oranges. Exactly! Hall is a QB and Locker is a RB that throws (relatively poorly) sometimes.

=====

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by Yzzazz - 2008/04/21 13:11

Here's my favorite Locker video.

<http://youtube.com/watch?v=QFZv7Y8rPRQ&feature=related>

Final word on locker..."ball is tipped...and intercepted."

I loved watching him gun for the game and I think he got ripped by the ref on the forward progression call.

But lest we forget. This was Hawaii he was facing. That's right, get-BCS-beat-by-40 Hawaii, and Jake Locker and company were playing close? Even a year's improvement won't be enough to prepare for our D-line this year. And 10 years prep wouldn't be enough for the husky defense to prepare for the squad BYU returns on offense.

To me the score, not the winner, is the only question. I like Max and company by 15.

Re:Huskie Board Discussion of BYU

Posted by ammoncougar1 - 2008/04/21 22:44

CougarPeasant wrote:

The last mobile QB who really gave BYU fits was Bradlee Van Pelt of CSU. The horror!!!

While I do remember the "horror" of VanPelt correct me if I am mistaken but the last mobile QB who really gave BYU fits was Brett Ratliff of SNOT! More than HORROR!!!

Go Cougs!!!
