
Notre Dame arrogance

Posted by WACoug - 2008/04/25 11:15

Notre Dame ended negotiations with Rutgers on a 6 year home and home series because, get this, Rutgers was not willing to play their home games off campus. Notre Dame wanted them to play at the new pro stadium. What's even more surprising is that UConn actually agreed to a similar deal, playing all of their home games off campus and some even out of state.

<http://sports.espn.go.com/nfc/news/story?id=3366349>

I know there are some Irish fans on this board but this is one team that I love to hate.

=====

Re:Notre Dame arrogance

Posted by jimmyz2 - 2008/04/25 11:43

If you have the ability to have others play on your terms I cannot blame them, nor do I call them arrogant. The fact that someone was willing to agree with the requirement shows that it was commercially attractive to someone.

How is this different from our wanting what we want when we schedule games with USU?

=====

Re:Notre Dame arrogance

Posted by aegis47 - 2008/04/25 11:51

jimmyz2 wrote:

How is this different from our wanting what we want when we schedule games with USU?

True, except that Notre Dame has not exactly been dominant over the last few years, and they still act like teams should bend over backwards for the privilege of playing them. Yes, that's how BYU treats USU sometimes, but then again, BYU takes a serious SOS (and financial) hit for playing USU, so it's only fair that Provo dictates some of the terms. In the case of Notre Dame, it could be argued (especially considering last year's season) that Rutgers is taking a major SOS hit to play Notre Dame, so Notre Dame isn't in as strong a position to be picky as they've been in the past. Too bad we didn't have Notre Dame on the schedule during their current run of mediocrity--it would be nice to add another road win in South Bend to the record books. ;)

=====

Re:Notre Dame arrogance

Posted by WACoug - 2008/04/25 12:12

jimmyz2 wrote:

If you have the ability to have others play on your terms I cannot blame them, nor do I call them arrogant. The fact that someone was willing to agree with the requirement shows that it was commercially attractive to someone.

How is this different from our wanting what we want when we schedule games with USU?

Doing 2 for 1's or even saying "If we play, we play at our place" are commonplace when one team is on an obviously different playing level than the other and when one team has much more to lose than the other. I don't remember us doing any exchanges with USU where we demanded that they not play home games in Logan. UConn is a bunch of suckers and I am glad Rutgers told them where they could put their home and "home" :dry: series.

=====

Re:Notre Dame arrogance

Posted by Conosticator - 2008/04/25 12:12

aegis47 wrote:

jimmyz2 wrote:

Forum - Cougarblue

FireBoard Forum Component version: 1.0.4

Generated: 8 January, 2009, 15:16

How is this different from our wanting what we want when we schedule games with USU?

True, except that Notre Dame has not exactly been dominant over the last few years, and they still act like teams should bend over backwards for the privilege of playing them. Yes, that's how BYU treats USU sometimes, but then again, BYU takes a serious SOS (and financial) hit for playing USU, so it's only fair that Provo dictates some of the terms. In the case of Notre Dame, it could be argued (especially considering last year's season) that Rutgers is taking a major SOS hit to play Notre Dame, so Notre Dame isn't in as strong a position to be picky as they've been in the past. Too bad we didn't have Notre Dame on the schedule during their current run of mediocrity--it would be nice to add another road win in South Bend to the record books. ;)

Not if it meant taking an SOS hit.

Re:Notre Dame arrogance

Posted by jimmyz2 - 2008/04/25 12:39

aegis47 wrote:

jimmyz2 wrote:

How is this different from our wanting what we want when we schedule games with USU?

True, except that Notre Dame has not exactly been dominant over the last few years, and they still act like teams should bend over backwards for the privilege of playing them.

Granted, but as long as they have the networks salivating over playing their games, they are in the power negotiating position regardless of their relative success on the field. Besides, even a blind squirrel (Weiss) can find a nut once in a while, no? It is the possibility of playing the storied program that is alluring. If there were rumors going around that we were negotiating a new contract with them, we would all be excited despite their recent train wrecks, because it is national exposure and they are who they are.

Re:Notre Dame arrogance

Posted by j2b03 - 2008/04/25 13:41

I don't really care what the team does I just want to see their QB Clausen fail. When I read how his dad is and that he showed up in hummer-limo...ah man, I would love to see him just tank. I know that is probably not the most Christ-like thing to say but man, I can't stand arrogance like that. Honestly, if one of the BYU recruits did that, I would have a bad taste in mouth for that kid too. Just play, you are not a superstar yet. Really, if ND did well I wouldn't mind as long as it isn't Clausen leading the team. It would be so sweet to see SD state go into their house and take them down and give Jimmy-Boy some humble pie. Probably not likely though but here's to dreamen!:)

Re:Notre Dame arrogance

Posted by WBcougar - 2008/04/25 14:56

jimmyz2 wrote:

Besides, even a blind squirrel (Weiss) can find a nut once in a while, no?

Your avatar indicates that this old adage is certainly true. If a blind squirrel can find a nut once in a while can a squirrel with good eyesight find two?:dry:

Re:Notre Dame arrogance

Posted by MLOdawgs - 2008/04/25 14:56

I think it has more to do with the fact that Rutgers has a tiny stadium. ND probably wanted the bigger stadium so they could sell more tickets since ND still has a huge bandwagon following across the country.

=====

Re:Notre Dame arrogance

Posted by scott715 - 2008/04/26 22:34

Hey did we negotiate with U St that their home games would be played in LES?

=====

Re:Notre Dame arrogance

Posted by BYUtx - 2008/04/26 22:42

No

=====

Re:Notre Dame arrogance

Posted by WACoug - 2008/04/26 23:11

MLOdawgs wrote:

I think it has more to do with the fact that Rutgers has a tiny stadium. ND probably wanted the bigger stadium so they could sell more tickets since ND still has a huge bandwagon following across the country.

When their renovation is finished next year their stadium will hold 55,000. Not the Big House granted, but not really tiny either.

=====