

---

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by realist - 2006/09/16 14:16

---

I've stuck with BYU for years but i've come to the conclusion that BYU is the new Kentucky. They always play hard and do their best . . . but it's not good enough to win against good teams. Sure they can beatup on Tulsa (yet still allow 24 points) but they can't beat any good teams unless the good team self destructs.

I think the fanbase mirrors this in that there are always so many people pointing out how close they come. They do play hard and there's something to be said for effort . . .But effort doesn't make a good team, it simply means the players give a good try. To view a team as doing good because they only manage to lose by a small margin doesn't cut it! If BYU wants to win they need to drop to 1AA.

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by Pwride - 2006/09/16 14:18

---

Until the last line you were attempting logic. You are a putz.

=====

## Re: BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by Y-Town - 2006/09/16 14:20

---

realistI've stuck with BYU for years but i've come to the conclusion that BYU is the new Kentucky. They always play hard and do their best . . . but it's not good enough to win against good teams. Sure they can beatup on Tulsa (yet still allow 24 points) but they can't beat any good teams unless the good team self destructs.

I think the fanbase mirrors this in that there are always so many people pointing out how close they come. They do play hard and there's something to be said for effort . . .But effort doesn't make a good team, it simply means the players give a good try. To view a team as doing good because they only manage to lose by a small margin doesn't cut it! If BYU wants to win they need to drop to 1AA.

Um. . . ya that's it . . . .

Your a moron :!: :!: :!: :!: :!: :!: :evil:

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by realist - 2006/09/16 14:21

---

PwrideUntil the last line you were attempting logic. You are a putz.

A true zealot . . . You can't argue with logic so you hit with personal insult.

My point stands . . . BYU can't win against good teams. Sure they can pick around on the smaller teams that everyone else beats up on . . . But they've consistantly proven they can't hang with the top tier schools.

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by hamrdown - 2006/09/16 14:23

---

realistPwrideUntil the last line you were attempting logic. You are a putz.

A true zealot . . . You can't argue with logic so you hit with personal insult.

My point stands . . . BYU can't win against good teams. Sure they can pick around on the smaller teams that everyone else beats up on . . . But they've consistantly proven they can't hang with the top tier schools.

---

Consistently for the last couple of years. They are trying to rebuild a dynasty here in Provo. There are going to be bumps along the way. They should have won, but didn't. They will start winning these games and re-establish themselves again!

=====

## Re: BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by realist - 2006/09/16 14:23

---

Y-TownrealistI've stuck with BYU for years but i've come to the conclusion that BYU is the new Kentucky. They always play hard and do their best . . . but it's not good enough to win against good teams. Sure they can beatup on Tulsa (yet still allow 24 points) but they can't beat any good teams unless the good team self destructs.

I think the fanbase mirrors this in that there are always so many people pointing out how close they come. They do play hard and there's something to be said for effort . . .But effort doesn't make a good team, it simply means the players give a good try. To view a team as doing good because they only manage to lose by a small margin doesn't cut it! If BYU wants to win they need to drop to 1AA.

Um. . . ya that's it . . . .

Your a moron :!: :!: :!: :!: :!: :!: :evil:

Yet again my point stands . . . All you guys can hit with personal insults. Excellent example you guys are setting for yourself. The ironic part is that you guys are attacking another cougar fan without even offering to dispell the frustration of my new realization that BYU just can't do it anymore.

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by realist - 2006/09/16 14:27

---

hamrdownrealistPwrideUntil the last line you were attempting logic. You are a putz.

A true zealot . . . You can't argue with logic so you hit with personal insult.

My point stands . . . BYU can't win against good teams. Sure they can pick around on the smaller teams that everyone else beats up on . . . But they've consistantly proven they can't hang with the top tier schools.

Consistently for the last couple of years. They are trying to rebuild a dynasty here in Provo. There are going to be bumps along the way. They should have won, but didn't. They will start winning these games and re-establish themselves again!

I really hope that's true but I really think it might be over. You've got to have something to recruit the good talent and a I just don't see anything at BYU anymore that will bring in that talent. For years we had Lavelle as that buffer between the restrictive rules and talent. We lost that and I fear it's starting to show.

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by cachevalleycougar - 2006/09/16 16:32

---

realistBut they've consistantly proven they can't hang with the top tier schools.

Sorry, but they "hung" with BC and AZ, as well as Cal and TCU last year. Even Utah, but I hate to consider them top tier.

BYU going to Div.II has got to be the most brilliant thing I've heard on this board in a long time.

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by realist - 2006/09/16 16:38

---

cachevalleycougarrealistBut they've consistantly proven they can't hang with the top tier schools.

Sorry, but they "hung" with BC and AZ, as well as Cal and TCU last year. Even Utah, but I hate to consider them top tier.

BYU going to Div.II has got to be the most brilliant thing I've heard on this board in a long time.

BC isn't top tier, AZ certainly isn't. TCU was good last year but BYU (like Kentucky frequently does . . hence my point) found a way to lose the game.

Also I said 1AA not D2 . . .but i'd gladly go for Div II. Then I could actually see some games without driving for 8 hours because they would play at the Div II stadium down the road :D

---

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by lovelldog - 2006/09/16 16:38

---

realistPwrideUntil the last line you were attempting logic. You are a putz.

A true zealot . . . You can't argue with logic so you hit with personal insult.

My point stands . . . BYU can't win against good teams. Sure they can pick around on the smaller teams that everyone else beats up on . . . But they've consistantly proven they can't hang with the top tier schools.

I remember when Tulsa was a good team. Then all of a sudden BYU beats them and now they suck. Where is the logic in that Mr. Logical?

---

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by cachevalleycougar - 2006/09/16 16:40

---

And how can you say BC isn't top tier? They're currently Top25, spent most of last year there, and if I'm correct, are the only team in the country to have won bowl games each of the last 6 years.

---

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by realist - 2006/09/16 17:11

---

lovelldogrealistPwrideUntil the last line you were attempting logic. You are a putz.

A true zealot . . . You can't argue with logic so you hit with personal insult.

My point stands . . . BYU can't win against good teams. Sure they can pick around on the smaller teams that everyone else beats up on . . . But they've consistantly proven they can't hang with the top tier schools.

I remember when Tulsa was a good team. Then all of a sudden BYU beats them and now they suck. Where is the logic in that Mr. Logical?

Because someone is a good team in your mind doesn't make them a good team . . . .When have they ever been in the top 10?

BC isn't in the league with teams like FSU, Texas, Ohio State . . . Those are top tier teams.

---

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by cachevalleycougar - 2006/09/16 17:14

---

Oh, so BYU has to play a top5 or top10 team to get a shot at beating a top tier team. Hmmm, when's the last time that happened? I remember beating Miami in 1990...

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by realist - 2006/09/16 17:14

---

lovelldogrealistPwrideUntil the last line you were attempting logic. You are a putz.

A true zealot . . . You can't argue with logic so you hit with personal insult.

My point stands . . . BYU can't win against good teams. Sure they can pick around on the smaller teams that everyone else beats up on . . . But they've consistantly proven they can't hang with the top tier schools.

I remember when Tulsa was a good team. Then all of a sudden BYU beats them and now they suck. Where is the logic in that Mr. Logical?

You know . . . the more I read on this forum the more i'm convinced all you guys are just zealot jerks. Someone disagrees with you and you start with name calling and intellect accusations. I guess being a good person would be hard for you.

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by lovelldog - 2006/09/16 17:17

---

realistlovelldogrealistPwrideUntil the last line you were attempting logic. You are a putz.

A true zealot . . . You can't argue with logic so you hit with personal insult.

My point stands . . . BYU can't win against good teams. Sure they can pick around on the smaller teams that everyone else beats up on . . . But they've consistantly proven they can't hang with the top tier schools.

I remember when Tulsa was a good team. Then all of a sudden BYU beats them and now they suck. Where is the logic in that Mr. Logical?

Because someone is a good team in your mind doesn't make them a good team . . . .When have they ever been in the top 10?

BC isn't in the league with teams like FSU, Texas, Ohio State . . . Those are top tier teams.

A top 10 team is not a good team, that is an excellent team. A good team is a team that wins a mid major conference, has won something like 10 games in a row including a bowl game.

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by realist - 2006/09/16 17:17

---

cachevalleycougarOh, so BYU has to play a top5 or top10 team to get a shot at beating a top tier team. Hmmm, when's the last time that happened? I remember beating Miami in 1990...

I remember that too . . . good times. My best friend is a HUGE Miami fan and that was a sweet victory. But comparing the current cougars to what Lavelle built isn't a fair comparison. My statment still stands that BYU is the new Kentucky because they can't get it done anymore. They play well and ALMOST do it but just can't get it done.

And I still think for the most part you guys are being condescending jerks just because someone doesn't agree with you.

---

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by realist - 2006/09/16 17:19

---

lovelldogrealistlovelldogrealistPwrideUntil the last line you were attempting logic. You are a putz.

A true zealot . . . You can't argue with logic so you hit with personal insult.

My point stands . . . BYU can't win against good teams. Sure they can pick around on the smaller teams that everyone else beats up on . . . But they've consistantly proven they can't hang with the top tier schools.

I remember when Tulsa was a good team. Then all of a sudden BYU beats them and now they suck. Where is the logic in that Mr. Logical?

Because someone is a good team in your mind doesn't make them a good team . . . .When have they ever been in the top 10?

BC isn't in the league with teams like FSU, Texas, Ohio State . . . Those are top tier teams.

A top 10 team is not a good team, that is an excellent team. A good team is a team that wins a mid major conference, has won something like 10 games in a row including a bowl game.

Good point, I should have said that it is my opinion that BC isn't a top tier team and that they won't be in the top 25 long. Furthermore I don't think BYU could beat any of the top tier teams (even if you include BC) aside from a fluke here and there.

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by NorthernCougar - 2006/09/16 17:25

---

realistYou know . . . the more I read on this forum the more i'm convinced all you guys are just zealot jerks. Someone disagrees with you and you start with name calling and intellect accusations. I guess being a good person would be hard for you.

I am not agreeing or disagreeing with you. Just laughing at you for calling others names to prove that all they do is call others names.

Now my head hurts.

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by realist - 2006/09/16 17:29

---

NorthernCougarrealistYou know . . . the more I read on this forum the more i'm convinced all you guys are just zealot jerks. Someone disagrees with you and you start with name calling and intellect accusations. I guess being a good person would be hard for you.

I am not agreeing or disagreeing with you. Just laughing at you for calling others names to prove that all they do is call others names.

Now my head hurts.

zealot isn't calling names . . . . jerk is. Just calling them out because they act like jerks. I said nothing to anyone that should have resulted in a personal character attack.

=====

---

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by kiwibacon - 2006/09/16 17:32

---

realistlovelldogrealistPwrideUntil the last line you were attempting logic. You are a putz.

A true zealot . . . You can't argue with logic so you hit with personal insult.

My point stands . . . BYU can't win against good teams. Sure they can pick around on the smaller teams that everyone else beats up on . . . But they've consistantly proven they can't hang with the top tier schools.

I remember when Tulsa was a good team. Then all of a sudden BYU beats them and now they suck. Where is the logic in that Mr. Logical?

Because someone is a good team in your mind doesn't make them a good team . . . .When have they ever been in the top 10?

BC isn't in the league with teams like FSU, Texas, Ohio State . . . Those are top tier teams.FSU IS in the ACC with BC...So scratch that statement...plus isn't that the same FSU that struggled to beat Troy last week?

---

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by kiwibacon - 2006/09/16 17:32

---

double post.

---

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by NorthernCougar - 2006/09/16 17:37

---

realistNorthernCougarrealistYou know . . . the more I read on this forum the more i'm convinced all you guys are just zealot jerks. Someone disagrees with you and you start with name calling and intellect accusations. I guess being a good person would be hard for you.

I am not agreeing or disagreeing with you. Just laughing at you for calling others names to prove that all they do is call others names.

Now my head hurts.

zealot isn't calling names . . . . jerk is. Just calling them out because they act like jerks. I said nothing to anyone that should have resulted in a personal character attack.

I agree, just made me laugh. Jerk is name calling regardless of what others are doing. I still think it is funny.

---

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by realist - 2006/09/16 17:39

---

By league I mean quality, not conference, which is what ACC stands is Atlantic Coast CONFERENCE.

And again, you guys are missing the point. The good teams WIN. . . It doesn't matter if you struggle but come away with the win. If we ranked teams on how hard they played and took away the scores the entire top 25 would be full of teams like Kentucky and Vanderbilt . . . and BYU (sad to say)

---

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Forum - Cougarblue

FireBoard Forum Component version: 1.0.4

Generated: 7 January, 2009, 20:03

---

Posted by realist - 2006/09/16 17:40

NorthernCougarrealistNorthernCougarrealistYou know . . . the more I read on this forum the more i'm convinced all you guys are just zealot jerks. Someone disagrees with you and you start with name calling and intellect accusations. I guess being a good person would be hard for you.

I am not agreeing or disagreeing with you. Just laughing at you for calling others names to prove that all they do is call others names.

Now my head hurts.

zealot isn't calling names . . . . jerk is. Just calling them out because they act like jerks. I said nothing to anyone that should have resulted in a personal character attack.

I agree, just made me laugh. Jerk is name calling regardless of what others are doing. I still think it is funny.

Yeah, the irony even made me chuckle a little after I read it :D

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by #81 - 2006/09/16 17:46

---

I think people are giving you a hard time because of how far out there your first statement was.

I can understand if you were maybe saying we needed to drop to a different CONFERENCE, but saying we need to drop to another division? That's just ridiculous. So any team that isn't solidly in the top 25 should drop to I-AA?

We'll be competitive in our conference this year, unless something crazy happens. So we can't pull out the close games against BCS teams, that's probably a drive/heart issue, as well as a money/recruiting issue. But again, your statement that we should drop to I-AA is so far out there, most people aren't even bothering to respond to it, they're just slamming you.

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by realist - 2006/09/16 18:00

---

#81I think people are giving you a hard time because of how far out there your first statement was.

I can understand if you were maybe saying we needed to drop to a different CONFERENCE, but saying we need to drop to another division? That's just ridiculous. So any team that isn't solidly in the top 25 should drop to I-AA?

We'll be competitive in our conference this year, unless something crazy happens. So we can't pull out the close games against BCS teams, that's probably a drive/heart issue, as well as a money/recruiting issue. But again, your statement that we should drop to I-AA is so far out there, most people aren't even bothering to respond to it, they're just slamming you.

I'll accept that. But I still do think BYU is the MWC version of Kentucky. Just can't get it done against the better teams although they always somehow play them tight . . . That's what Kentucky does. If games were 3 quarters long Kentucky would be in the top 25 every year.

. . . I guess i'm just shocked at how fellow mormons treat their own when they disagree with them. I live in Alabama and there aren't many BYU fans around here. I am shocked at how rude some of the cougar fans are because the church teaches us that we should treat others as equals and not beat down people that disagree with us.

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by eldermars - 2006/09/16 18:02

---

BYU is more like Fresno State right now.

We lose close games to BCS teams. We lose games to conference-mates that we shouldn't. We finish 2nd or 3rd in conference most years. We schedule tough and are more proud of our losses than we should be. We can't get over the hump when it comes to our big conference rival. We dream BCS conferences/bowl games, but then don't show up some weeks.

Yes, BYU is a lot like Fresno State right now. Tho' back in 2002/03, we were more like Utah State.

=====

## Center Position

Posted by SactoCoug - 2006/09/16 18:03

---

Did anybody else notice that more than half of the snaps to Beck when he is in shotgun are below his knees? Can't we get a center that knows how to snap the ball? This is ridiculous.

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by cachevalleycougar - 2006/09/16 18:05

---

Realist, I don't think people are trying to beat you down, just finding flaws in your arguments. If you stick to facts/reason/logic, you'll be ok. Saying that BYU needs to drop down a division or that BC, as a Top25 team who for the past 5 or 6 years has consistently fielded very good teams, is not top tier, is ridiculous.

=====

## My bad

Posted by SactoCoug - 2006/09/16 18:07

---

Sorry guys....my previous post was a little of topic. I thought I was starting a new topic. Regardless, does anybody else see problems with the snaps from center when Beck is in the shotgun formation?

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by #81 - 2006/09/16 18:09

---

realist

. . . I guess i'm just shocked at how fellow mormons treat their own when they disagree with them. I live in Alabama and there aren't many BYU fans around here. I am shocked at how rude some of the cougar fans are because the church teaches us that we should treat others as equals and not beat down people that disagree with us.

You have to see it from their POV too though. After every loss we have tons of U of U fans that pile on here ripping on the team. You registered today, and your first post suggested BYU should play in I-AA. How did you expect people to react? As far as anyone knows, you're a UofU troll (which is what I thought when I read your first post).

I do agree though, some of the replies were pretty ridiculous, but again, people probably just expected you were a troll.

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by realist - 2006/09/16 18:21

---

---

#81realist

. . . I guess i'm just shocked at how fellow mormons treat their own when they disagree with them. I live in Alabama and there aren't many BYU fans around here. I am shocked at how rude some of the cougar fans are because the church teaches us that we should treat others as equals and not beat down people that disagree with us.

You have to see it from their POV too though. After every loss we have tons of U of U fans that pile on here ripping on the team. You registered today, and your first post suggested BYU should play in I-AA. How did you expect people to react? As far as anyone knows, you're a UofU troll (which is what I thought when I read your first post).

I do agree though, some of the replies were pretty ridiculous, but again, people probably just expected you were a troll.

Heh, most certainly not a Utah troll . . . that I can guarantee. My 1AA statement was meant to say that in BYU's current state that it could win consistently at a lower level but do exactly what it's doing at the current level. I hope this changes and really like Mendenhall (not a huge Anae fan mainly because I'm not a fan of the frequent hitch passes making up the bulk of an offense) and I hope the cougs will get on one of those win streaks that proves my fears wrong. Perhaps I could have clarified more and shouldn't have gotten into the whole banter posts rotunda.

I'm also thinking I may have picked a bad username since i've seen a bunch of posts that could easily be confused with the username.

=====

### **BYU . . the new Kentucky!**

Posted by cachevalleycougar - 2006/09/16 18:26

realist!i'm also thinking I may have picked a bad username since i've seen a bunch of posts that could easily be confused with the username.

Excellent observation. I thought you were on here to stir up trouble before even reading what you had to say in your first post, and then what you did say made it even worse.

=====

### **BYU . . the new Kentucky!**

Posted by cougarfan144 - 2006/09/16 18:36

I love how realist keeps using Kentucky as the team to compare us to. Kentucky. Kentucky. Kentucky. Who the \*\*&# is Kentucky? Like they must have been sooooo good for years but just couldn't reach the top of the hill. When you ask someone off the street who Kentucky is I guarantee you they can't say 1 thing (except realist) about them. Whatever.

People have heard of BYU. They know we've taken a dip the last few years because of where we've been in the past.

I would agree that we are more like Fresno State right now. We are competitive against the BCS but not quite there. We won't get better by playing IAA and then making a comeback down the road. What kind of joke would we be doing that? I don't even want to think about it.

Regardless, what a stupid thread.

=====

### **BYU . . the new Kentucky!**

Posted by cachevalleycougar - 2006/09/16 18:41

cougarfan144Who the \*\*&# is Kentucky? Like they must have been sooooo good for years but just couldn't reach the top of the hill. When you ask someone off the street who Kentucky is I guarantee you they can't say 1 thing (except realist) about them.

Actually, I knew what the point of the thread was about just from the title. It's a good analogy - although I like the Fresno St. one better because they've actually busted into the rankings with some solid teams, just haven't closed it out in the

---

end. But Kentucky has been an underachiever for many years - and the QB they had a couple years ago - Lorenzen - was HUGE! He had a cannon. Saw him the other week playing for the Giants in pre-season.

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by realist - 2006/09/16 19:03

---

cachevalleycougarcougarfan144Who the \*\*&# is Kentucky? Like they must have been sooooo good for years but just couldn't reach the top of the hill. When you ask someone off the street who Kentucky is I guarantee you they can't say 1 thing (except realist) about them.

Actually, I knew what the point of the thread was about just from the title. It's a good analogy - although I like the Fresno St. one better because they've actually busted into the rankings with some solid teams, just haven't closed it out in the end. But Kentucky has been an underachiever for many years - and the QB they had a couple years ago - Lorenzen - was HUGE! He had a cannon. Saw him the other week playing for the Giants in pre-season.

Thanks for understanding it. . . I too agree that the fresno state one is probably a better fit but i'm from the South so I have SEC teams as my basis for most comparison.

So that guy plays for the Giants now eh? I always thought he had amazing talent and was hendered by where he was. I remember that guy hitting the endzone from some unreal distances. One of those guys you want to have on your team at the latter stages of a game when you need to move the ball 70-80 yards in one shot.

To the other guy that asked who said everyone knows who BYU is . . . . that's not all that accurate. Come to the south and you mostly get puzzling looks when you mention BYU. Alot of people here don't know anything about BYU except that Alabama beat them a few years back (and that's for the few that even remember that). Probably the same with Ole Miss or Vanderbilt there. I know who they are because I see them on TV every week because they're in the SEC and are in my region . . . but someone from Utah or California might not know who they are.

BYU for the most part is at best an obscure team to most people here. Before anyone flames that please read on - I'm not saying they don't think BYU is good . . . I'm saying they don't know who BYU is. You'd have to be here to understand that. My ward has maybe 200-300 people in it (even fewer active) and the next ward is maybe 60 miles away. Mormons are rare here and BYU fans are practically nonexistent.

=====

## Re: BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by Santos\_94\_BYU - 2006/09/16 19:25

---

realist!ve stuck with BYU for years but i've came to the conclusion that BYU is the new Kentucky. They always play hard and do their best . . . but it's not good enough to win against good teams. Sure they can beatup on Tulsa (yet still allow 24 points) but they can't beat any good teams unless the good team self destructs.

I think the fanbase mirrors this in that there are always so many people pointing out how close they come. They do play hard and there's something to be said for effort . . .But effort doesn't make a good team, it simply means the players give a good try. To view a team as doing good because they only manage to lose by a small margin doesn't cut it! If BYU wants to win they need to drop to 1AA.

Louisville is the new BYU. Sad, really sad times.

=====

## Re: BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by eldermars - 2006/09/16 20:20

---

Santos\_94\_BYU Louisville is the new BYU. Sad, really sad times.

Louisville is part of the evil BCS.

Boise State is easily the new BYU.

---

## Re: BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by Santos\_94\_BYU - 2006/09/16 20:48

---

eldermarsSantos\_94\_BYU Louisville is the new BYU. Sad, really sad times.  
Louisville is part of the evil BCS.

Boise State is easily the new BYU.

Ya, I can agree with Boise. Either way, it aint BYU. Which kinda is the point. WE used to be the buster, not so anymore.

=====

## Re: BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by realist - 2006/09/16 21:35

---

Santos\_94\_BYUrealistI've stuck with BYU for years but i've come to the conclusion that BYU is the new Kentucky. They always play hard and do their best . . . but it's not good enough to win against good teams. Sure they can beatup on Tulsa (yet still allow 24 points) but they can't beat any good teams unless the good team self destructs.

I think the fanbase mirrors this in that there are always so many people pointing out how close they come. They do play hard and there's something to be said for effort . . .But effort doesn't make a good team, it simply means the players give a good try. To view a team as doing good because they only manage to lose by a small margin doesn't cut it! If BYU wants to win they need to drop to 1AA.

Louisville is the new BYU. Sad, really sad times.

Yeah, Louisville is kicking . . . Which IS really sad. When I went to the Liberty Bowl to see BYU vs. Louisville the cardinal fans were the worst i've ever seen. . . . That says alot coming from Alabama. Easily the most rude fans i've experienced.

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by BleedPurple - 2006/09/16 21:37

---

realistPwrideUntil the last line you were attempting logic. You are a putz.

A true zealot . . . You can't argue with logic so you hit with personal insult.

My point stands . . . BYU can't win against good teams. Sure they can pick around on the smaller teams that everyone else beats up on . . . But they've consistantly proven they can't hang with the top tier schools.

Although I don't agree that BYU needs to be in 1AA, I do agree that they haven't beaten anyone for 7 years now! They haven't beat a ranked team since 1999. That says something for how many they have played in that time. What he said about not beating anyone except the cup cakes, there is a lot of truth to that.

=====

## Re: BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by faithfullonghorn - 2006/09/16 21:52

---

Y-TownrealistI've stuck with BYU for years but i've come to the conclusion that BYU is the new Kentucky. They always play hard and do their best . . . but it's not good enough to win against good teams. Sure they can beatup on Tulsa (yet still allow 24 points) but they can't beat any good teams unless the good team self destructs.

I think the fanbase mirrors this in that there are always so many people pointing out how close they come. They do play hard and there's something to be said for effort . . .But effort doesn't make a good team, it simply means the players give a good try. To view a team as doing good because they only manage to lose by a small margin doesn't cut it! If BYU wants to win they need to drop to 1AA.

---

Um. . . ya that's it . . . .

Your a moron :!: :!: :!: :!: :!: :!: :evil:

Realist is right. Y-town is in denial. Today's loss was the 12th consecutive loss to a ranked opponent. Speak your mind Realist and let everyone know just how it truly is!

-IMA Realist

---

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by lovelldog - 2006/09/16 22:28

realistGood point, I should have said that it is my opinion that BC isn't a top tier team and that they won't be in the top 25 long. Furthermore I don't think BYU could beat any of the top tier teams (even if you include BC) aside from a fluke here and there.

Oh come on BYU lost to them in double overtime at BC and you don't think BYU could beat this team. Change the setting to Provo or just have Jason Beck catch the snap for that last field goal and BYU wins this game. The fluke went in favor of BC this time.

---

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by realist - 2006/09/16 22:35

lovelldogrealistGood point, I should have said that it is my opinion that BC isn't a top tier team and that they won't be in the top 25 long. Furthermore I don't think BYU could beat any of the top tier teams (even if you include BC) aside from a fluke here and there.

Oh come on BYU lost to them in double overtime at BC and you don't think BYU could beat this team. Change the setting to Provo or just have Jason Beck catch the snap for that last field goal and BYU wins this game. The fluke went in favor of BC this time.

This sorta backs up my original point that BYU is alot like Kentucky . . . . They just can't get it done. I don't buy into the whole "if only this or that went right" mentality because that doesn't cover for the fact that those things DID happen and they did lose. My point was that we're like Kentucky . . . Play hard and come close, but can't seal the win. Sadly this seems to be the running theme with BYU football. Maybe things will change soon, maybe they won't . . . But the truth is that we can't seal the win against anthing short of the pushover teams.

...It stinks but that's the way it is.

---

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by cougarfan144 - 2006/09/16 22:38

realist BYU for the most part is at best an obscure team to most people here. Before anyone flames that please read on - I'm not saying they don't think BYU is good . . . I'm saying they don't know who BYU is. You'd have to be here to understand that. My ward has maybe 200-300 people in it (even fewer active) and the next ward is maybe 60 miles away. Mormons are rare here and BYU fans are practically nonexistent.

Please don't include yourself in the count of BYU fans that are existent down there.

---

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by kiwibacon - 2006/09/16 22:42

---

cougarfan144realist BYU for the most part is at best an obscure team to most people here. Before anyone flames that please read on - I'm not saying they don't think BYU is good . . . I'm saying they don't know who BYU is. You'd have to be here to understand that. My ward has maybe 200-300 people in it (even fewer active) and the next ward is maybe 60 miles away. Mormons are rare here and BYU fans are practically nonexistent.

Please don't include yourself in the count of BYU fans that are existent down there. His name is bad cougar144, but his views are not like the name. Don't throw this "realist" under the bus...yet. :wink:

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by realist - 2006/09/17 08:45

---

kiwibaconcougarfan144realist BYU for the most part is at best an obscure team to most people here. Before anyone flames that please read on - I'm not saying they don't think BYU is good . . . I'm saying they don't know who BYU is. You'd have to be here to understand that. My ward has maybe 200-300 people in it (even fewer active) and the next ward is maybe 60 miles away. Mormons are rare here and BYU fans are practically nonexistent.

Please don't include yourself in the count of BYU fans that are existent down there. His name is bad cougar144, but his views are not like the name. Don't throw this "realist" under the bus...yet. :wink:

So because I have a different view that you guys I shouldn't be a fan?

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by lovelldog - 2006/09/17 10:50

---

BleedPurplerealistPwrideUntil the last line you were attempting logic. You are a putz.

A true zealot . . . You can't argue with logic so you hit with personal insult.

My point stands . . . BYU can't win against good teams. Sure they can pick around on the smaller teams that everyone else beats up on . . . But they've consistently proven they can't hang with the top tier schools.

Although I don't agree that BYU needs to be in 1AA, I do agree that they haven't beaten anyone for 7 years now! They haven't beat a ranked team since 1999. That says something for how many they have played in that time. What he said about not beating anyone except the cup cakes, there is a lot of truth to that.

I thought CSU was ranked when they beat them in 2001. I believe they haven't beaten a ranked team on the road since 1999.

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by lovelldog - 2006/09/17 10:54

---

realistkiwibaconcougarfan144realist BYU for the most part is at best an obscure team to most people here. Before anyone flames that please read on - I'm not saying they don't think BYU is good . . . I'm saying they don't know who BYU is. You'd have to be here to understand that. My ward has maybe 200-300 people in it (even fewer active) and the next ward is maybe 60 miles away. Mormons are rare here and BYU fans are practically nonexistent.

Please don't include yourself in the count of BYU fans that are existent down there. His name is bad cougar144, but his views are not like the name. Don't throw this "realist" under the bus...yet. :wink:

So because I have a different view that you guys I shouldn't be a fan?

Actually Kiwi is defending you here. You picked a poor name given recent occurrences and realist has come to mean, BYU is not my favorite team, I just come on here to heckle people. I don't agree with many of your views but I don't discredit you as a fan.

---

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by mormonrasta - 2006/09/17 10:59

---

lovelldog

I thought CSU was ranked when they beat them in 2001. I believe they haven't beaten a ranked team on the road since 1999.

Unfortunately, BYU didn't beat any ranked teams in 2001. CSU was ranked at the time BYU played them in 1999 which was the first MWC game in history.

However, CSU didn't finish that year ranked. That last team that BYU beat that actually finished the year ranked was ASU in 1997. Which also was the last time BYU beat a ranked team on the road.

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by lovelldog - 2006/09/17 11:09

---

realistkiwibaconcougarfan144realist BYU for the most part is at best an obscure team to most people here. Before anyone flames that please read on - I'm not saying they don't think BYU is good . . . I'm saying they don't know who BYU is. You'd have to be here to understand that. My ward has maybe 200-300 people in it (even fewer active) and the next ward is maybe 60 miles away. Mormons are rare here and BYU fans are practically nonexistent.

Please don't include yourself in the count of BYU fans that are existent down there. His name is bad cougar144, but his views are not like the name. Don't throw this "realist" under the bus...yet. :wink:

So because I have a different view that you guys I shouldn't be a fan?

Actually Kiwi is defending you here. You picked a poor name given recent occurrences and realist has come to mean, BYU is not my favorite team, I just come on here to heckle people. I don't agree with many of your views but I don't discredit you as a fan.

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by eldermars - 2006/09/17 16:52

---

BYU beat Top 25 ranked Colorado State 34-13 in 2001.

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by mormonrasta - 2006/09/17 17:22

---

eldermars

BYU beat Top 25 ranked Colorado State 34-13 in 2001.

That was 1999. BYU beat an unranked CSU 56-34 in 2001.

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by eldermars - 2006/09/17 17:28

---

---

Okay, then was the OOC win on the road against Top 25 Arizona State 13-10 in 1999 or 1997? I just may be two years off here.

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by CougarChick - 2006/09/17 17:34

---

lovelldogrealistkiwibaconcougarfan144realist BYU for the most part is at best an obscure team to most people here. Before anyone flames that please read on - I'm not saying they don't think BYU is good . . . I'm saying they don't know who BYU is. You'd have to be here to understand that. My ward has maybe 200-300 people in it (even fewer active) and the next ward is maybe 60 miles away. Mormons are rare here and BYU fans are practically nonexistent.

Please don't include yourself in the count of BYU fans that are existent down there. His name is bad cougar144, but his views are not like the name. Don't throw this "realist" under the bus...yet. :wink:

So because I have a different view that you guys I shouldn't be a fan?

Actually Kiwi is defending you here. You picked a poor name given recent occurrences and realist has come to mean, BYU is not my favorite team, I just come on here to heckle people. I don't agree with many of your views but I don't discredit you as a fan.

Realist, if you say anything that is not overly optimistic on here, everyone assumes that you want BYU to fail.

LovellDog-where have I ever said that BYU wasn't my favorite team?? Just like to know.

But anyways realist I agree with you. BYU has this same problem this year as they have had for the last 4 years. They can't win close games and have difficulty winning on the road. They have the capability to win big and crush opponents, but if the game is close they just can't seem to find a way to get it done.

IMA Realist 4

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by mormonrasta - 2006/09/17 17:44

---

eldermars

Okay, then was the OOC win on the road against Top 25 Arizona State 13-10 in 1999 or 1997? I just may be two years off here.

It was 1997. I was at that game. My favorite Cougar win ever!!! I hate the Sun Devils more than the Utes.

=====

## BYU . . the new Kentucky!

Posted by Phillycougar - 2006/09/17 17:52

---

realistcachevalleycougarOh, so BYU has to play a top5 or top10 team to get a shot at beating a top tier team. Hmmm, when's the last time that happened? I remember beating Miami in 1990...

I remember that too . . . good times. My best friend is a HUGE Miami fan and that was a sweet victory. But comparing the current cougars to what Lavelle built isn't a fair comparison. My statement still stands that BYU is the new Kentucky because they can't get it done anymore. They play well and ALMOST do it but just can't get it done.

And I still think for the most part you guys are being condescending jerks just because someone doesn't agree with you.

If you don't consider Boston College a good team then who do you think is? From what I have read about them and what was said about them on ESPN they are a good team for the following reasons:

1) Currently Ranked in the top 25

---

2) Winning bowl games 6 years in a row

3) Consistency in coaching appears to show that the winning will continue.

Now if you are going to contend that BYU is the new Kentucky because the lost to what you contend is a mid level team in Boston college then I would contend that based on those assumptions BYU has always been Kentucky.

If you argue that Boston College is not a good top 25 team then BYU NEVER met that criteria. BYU never won 6 Bowl games in a row yet alone 6 years in a row. (although I would wager we had a 6 game bowl loosing streak going into the miracle bowl)

My point is not to down the Lavell years but to say that I disagree with your agrument. Boston College is a good team this decade and while it would have been great to win loosing to them does not say we suck.

I think you are over reacting and are actually trying to get a response by being so extreme, becasue there is NO logical argument to say BC is not a top school.

=====